Page 1 of 3

From:	Anthony Townsend/20978/Staff/NSWPolice	
To:	Brad Tayler/21627/Staff/NSWPolice@NSWPolice	
CC:	Wayne Humphrey/19634/Staff/NSWPolice@NSWPolice	

 Date:
 Monday, September 20, 2010 11:18AM

 Subject:
 Fw: from Joanne McCarthy, Newcastle Herald

Brad

Forwarded for your information. (There was a second email sent to me which I will forward separately but it is related.)

I received this information unsolicited on friday. McCarthy rang the office and I was unavailable one of the staff provided my email address. I have not responsed.

It apparently relates to Father Brian Lucas who was mentioned in the file that has been forward to your command for investigation. Lucas is mentioned in a letter sent from McAlinden to Malon 1995 protesting about the church taken action to remove him. In the letter he states he was up that he had told Father Lucas information in confidence and now it was being used against him. has been interpreted as McAlinden making an admission of sexual assault to Lucas. There is no other supporting information in the file to support this.

It seems that Joanne McCarthy Is using this as a basis to suggest Lucas lied to the Royal Commission based on what I assume are extracts of his testimony to the Commission contained her email below. On my brief reading it seems very tenuous.

I do not intend to respond to Joanne McCarthy about the information and if contacted I will be saying that it has been forwarded to Newcastle City for their information (Not Investigation).

Regards



Inspector Tony Townsend Northern Region Operations Manager • Northern Region • NSW Police Force 중 (82) 4529 8583 [78683] & (82) 4329 8945 [78945] 8°0489 306 341 E3townfant@police.nsw.gov.su

----- Forwarded by Anthony Townsend/20978/Staff/NSWPolice on 20/09/2010 11:07 -----

Joanne McCarthy <jmccarthy@theherald.com.au> To"town1ant@police.nsw.gov <town1ant@police.nsw.go

17/09/2010 11:58

Subjectfrom Joanne McCarthy, Newcastle Herald

CC

Dear Inspector Townsend,

I am forwarding the following after contact with the office of former Justice James Wood this morning. I rang his office after reading Father Brian Lucas's testimony to the Wood Royal Commission/pedophile inquir April 1996 which was given exactly six months after the attempted secret defrocking of Denis McAlinden. Lucas was one of only two Catholic Church representatives to give evidence. He did so because of his senior in the church and involvement in the handling of this kind of matter, including a fairly notorious case at Wollon in 1993/94.

Brian Lucas was involved in the McAlinden matter. The defrocking was initiated in part because of an "admiss to Brian Lucas by McAlinden and other evidence.

Potential witnesses I have already spoken to are prepared to give statements that his involvement went considerably further than that.

I contacted Mr Wood's office seeking comment or an interview with him, and raised the issue of Lucas's evide

https://dommp07.glb.police.nsw.gov.au/mail/21627.nsf/(\$Inbox)/0B71443689F6D90... 20/09/2010

473

474

to the inquiry and its possible inconsistency with matters in Newcastle six months earlier. I will forward the response from Mr Wood's office. In the light of that response I would like to raise the matter formally with police. Can you advise me how I should do that. My phone number is 0419977330.

The evidence quoted below follows questions to Lucas about how the church had changed its approach to pedophile priests in an internal sense. It's also worth noting that elsewhere in his evidence he made the statement that:

- "There's nothing that I am aware of in Church law
 - 55 that would prohibit a priest following the 56 provisions of the Crimes Act."

Regards. Joanne McCarthy.

From: Joanne McCarthy Sent: Monday, 31 May 2010 5:24 PM To: Joanne McCarthy Subject: also Brian Lucas to the Wood Royal Commission, April 1996

I would certainly think that the nature of any

- organisation where people belong to an 12
- 13 organisation and some offences occurred to them
- 14 within the context of that organisation, creates
- 15 quite significant, what I might call
- institutional organisational problems, that 16
- 17 tendency to keep the matter within the family, if
- 18 I might put it in those terms, is certainly very
- real. What we have tried to do in implementing a 19
- protocol is to break that culture so that people 20
- 21 understand that the very worst thing that can be
- 22 done is to try, to use the word that's very
- 23 popular in the media, to engage in a cover-up.
- 24 That is the very worst way of approaching it. 25

Q. You believe, do you, the Catholic Church of which you have direct knowledge, would now abandon that 26 27 28 kind of approach?

A. It is a matter of absolute policy. 29

The information contained in this e-mail message and any accompanying files is or may be confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, dissemination, reliance, forwarding, printing or copying of this e-mail or any attached files is unauthorised. This e-mail is subject to copyright. No part of it should be reproduced, adapted or communicated without the written consent of the copyright owner. If you have received this e-mail in error please advise the sender immediately by return e-mail or telephone and delete all copies. Fairfax does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of any information contained in this e-mail or attached files. Internet communications are not secure,

therefore Fairfax does not accept legal responsibility for the contents of this message or attached files.

All mail is subject to content scanning for possible violation of NSW Police

https://dommp07.glb.police.nsw.gov.au/mail/21627.nsf/(\$Inbox)/0B71443689F6D90... 20/09/2010

475

Force

Electronic Messaging Policy. All NSW Police Force employees are required to familiarise themselves with the content of the policy, found under Policies on the

NSW Police Force Intranet.

https://dommp07.glb.police.nsw.gov.au/mail/21627.nsf/(\$Inbox)/0B71443689F6D90... 20/09/2010