



Author: unknown

Client/Worker:.....Policy/Claim No:.....

Date:	
19/1/03	Reconstruction of Conversations with BI
	- Initial contact following a media report where we had nothing of our file surrounding criminal charges
	- I think, initially I contacted Ed to see who the Processer contact person would have been.
	- 15/5/03 BI called me after Ed's assistance. I did not know the matter referred to his son.
	- BI initially did not volunteer the information that it was his son
	- I asked general questions surround dates of offenses, discussed policies in place etc
	- The impression I have is that this occurred in mid-late 1990's and that the victim was now 27 yrs and not envisaging a claim as such yet.
	- BI told me that the matter was due back in court 23/5/03 for defendant to enter a plea which was predicted to be "not guilty" (not confirmed yet as no following done).
	- There were no prior complaints known by the Processer re Fr Pickler - this is the first.
	- The complaints were not reported to the Ombudsman in accordance with those rules and were some



Client/Worker:.....Policy/Claim No:.....

Date:	
	months late. I think the Bishop may have
	known about the matter Nov '02 (but I am not certain).
	- Fr Jim Saunders handling the matter - At this
	point BI disclosed that it was his son at
	the centre of the complaint. (I think) Also
	Bishop Dunn from Portlaoise will be involved - (can't
	recall why)
	- BI advised that Bishop was only
	appointed in June '02.
	- I advised BI that given the particularly
	delicate nature of this matter that I would
	discuss the matter exclusively with Peter Bush
	on his return. I advised him that written records
	or notes would not be made in any way
	identified and that any office is locked and
	all files kept in locked cabinets.
	- BI assured me that he was happy with that
	arrangement and that he would have to remain
	at a distance from this claim.

File