

What risk-assessment did I make

1. Jim Fletcher's reputation
2. His illness - severe stroke
3. ^{2/7} ~~His~~ ^{His} illness - NOT head of village
3. NSW Prof. standards advised that I did not have to stand him down after initial complaint
4. Spoke to School Principal (no risk!)
5. Spoke to Dt of Schools
6. Spoke to Jim Saunders + Bill Burston
7. Newcastle notorious for gossip + scandal + slander
8. I know father (works for Tel case)
 - his ^{early} ~~adult~~ ^{adults} about son.

1. Personal Loan
- commercial basis (term, rate of)
 - security from a relative?
 - transparent process
 - repayment process
 - borrower could waive loan +
Disease could pay it
(whole or part)

(it's Jim Fletcher's call!)
Legal aid or loan?

Legal aid could begin now + private
loan later?

Issue of credit i.e., character references
important when it comes to a trial.

2. Contact Person be appointed
for alleged victim.

- he/she should clear contact with
police

870

- contact person is conduit

- if letters sent, make no admissions

- NO phone call out of the blue.

Complainant's fate:

dilemma!

Industrial Relations? Michael McDonald

have chat to BI in presence of someone else! Peter Michael Jim Shuttle

what impact does BI engage this will have on his working life.

BI could claim that I didn't say anything to him. We skirted around issues.

Informing Jim?

- intention?

- I thought it appropriate!

flack possible

Remain in place?

flack possible

If Contact Person arranges counselling with Cantacorey, notes could be subpoenaed for Court in criminal matter

- advice could be that notes not be taken
- Bishop doesn't write unless complainant asks for it
- any meeting should involve 3 or more people
- every step of the way → transparency
- different Contact Person for family (different needs from complainant)
- NOT a priest in either case
- only appoint C.P. if complainant + family want it