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THE CHAIR: Application was made yesterday for a pseudonym to be given to a person who is apparently named in documents. I will not name him again. The ground for the application was that this person was the subject of complaint and his conduct investigated by the relevant committee or panel of the Church some six years ago. At that time this person's daughter was aged eight; she is now aged 14. It was submitted, without any evidence, that the consequence of the publication of his name may now be harmful to her.

The fact that someone's name is published in a Royal Commission will always have potential consequences, both for them and for members of their family. If those consequences are adverse that is regrettable, but it is an inevitable part of a public hearing and for that reason I would not have provided a pseudonym in this case.

However, as I understand the position at present, this person's part in the inquiry which we are undertaking is not in strict terms within our Terms of Reference.

Counsel Assisting has submitted that the only reason his actions are relevant is to record the history of the development of the processes of professional standards review within the Diocese.

Accordingly, on that understanding and upon the assumption that understanding is made good by the documents when they ultimately are examined, I will accede at this stage to allow this person to be identified by a pseudonym and Counsel Assisting, I would be grateful if you could arrange for an appropriate pseudonym. If, however, it becomes apparent that he is a person whose conduct is within our Terms of Reference, then I will review that decision.

MS SHARP: Thank you, your Honour. May I indicate that I understand that that person has been assigned the pseudonym [COJ].

THE CHAIR: Very well. Thank you.

## <EXAMINATION BY MS SHARP CONTINUED:

MS SHARP: Q. Bishop Appleby, we spoke yesterday afternoon about the incident involving Stephen Gray that you referred to in your statement to this Commission. Can you tell me how Keith Allen came to be involved in this matter?
A. I've got no idea. My understanding was that he was engaged by Mr Gray, or people associated with him, to act for him, but beyond that I've got no idea.
Q. Can I take you to a document at tab 7. You will see, Bishop, this is a letter addressed to you from Keith Allen? A. Correct.
Q. You will see that it is dated 30 May 1990. You wil1 see that there is a stamp on it that says that it was received by your office on 31 May 1990.
A. Yes.
Q. You received this letter, didn't you?
A. The fact it was received by my office, the answer would be yes.
Q. You read this letter at the time?
A. I would imagine so, yes.
Q. You will see in the first paragraph it advises:

As you are aware the writer acts for Stephen Gray.

Is it right that prior to receiving this matter you knew that Keith Allen acted for Stephen Gray?
A. About that I could not be certain. I've got no
recollection of Mr Allen being in touch with me prior to this, but certainly I received this letter with that information.
Q. You will see that in the first paragraph it advises:
... the matter will be coming before the District Court at Gosford.
A. Yes.
Q. You were aware at the time of reading this letter that

Mr Gray was being prosecuted, weren't you?
A. That certainly is the clear implication there, yes.
Q. I am asking you were aware of that at the time, weren't you?
A. Whether I was aware of it before the receipt of this letter I could not be sure.
Q. I am just trying to understand. Is it your evidence that you recall receiving this letter or you don't remember?
A. Oh, I don't remember at all, but having now seen it and the fact it was received by my office, I could say quite certainly I would have seen it at the time, yes.
Q. Do you recall that one of your clergy in your Diocese was being prosecuted for a criminal offence?

THE CHAIR: Ms Sharp, I think we have been over a lot of this. Where are we going now?

MS SHARP: A little further, your Honour. I will move on from this particular --

THE CHAIR: Can we go to where we want to go? We have a pretty good understanding of how this all fits together.

MS SHARP: Yes, thank you.
Q. Were you aware that Stephen Gray was being prosecuted for a sexual offence against a child?
A. I don't believe I was.
Q. You will see that you were told, in the second paragraph, that there were no press present and then you will see in the third paragraph you're asked to write a written reference?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you write a written reference?
A. No, I don't believe I did.
Q. Why was that?
A. My understanding is that when I received such a letter I would have discussed it with Bishop Holland and I noticed subsequently that he wrote a reference and my guess is, though I've got no remembrance of the actual event, my
guess is it's likely Bishop Holland would have said to this, "You leave this with me, I'm dealing with this."
Q. Mr Allen was, to your knowledge, back in 1990, a person with a longstanding involvement in the Diocese?
A. During the years I was there, yes. I really can't
recall the various roles he had, but he - I mean, for example, I'm not sure that he was a member of the Diocesan Council in that time, he may have been, and I know he became a trustee, but just when I'm not sure.
Q. This letter is dated 1990, that is six years after you became the Assistant Bishop?
A. Seven years.
Q. It is right that by six years into your
assistant bishophood, you were well aware that Keith Allen was quite involved in the affairs of the Diocese?
A. Certainly towards - I knew he became a trustee, but as to when he became a trustee and his involvement, the answer would be, yes, I was aware of him, but the exact extent of that involvement I'm not sure.
Q. You were aware that he was acting for Stephen Gray in a criminal prosecution?
A. This letter alerted me to that, yes.
Q. Did you not think it incumbent upon you to inquire as to the nature of that criminal prosecution?
A. I believe I would have discussed the matter with Bishop Holland, who was the Diocesan Bishop, and I believe that he would have indicated to me that he was handling this matter and that I should not be involved.

THE CHAIR: Ms Sharp, I think we have been over all this too. Can we move on?

MS SHARP: Yes, your Honour, I will move on.
Q. Can I show you a document at exhibit 18. This is not a document that you will have seen before, Bishop Appleby, but I want to draw some matters to your attention in this document. You will see this is a Crime Information Report?
A. Yes.
Q. You will see that the incident reported - I will need to have that enlarged, if I can, and a focus on the top
left-hand side of the document. You will see, towards the top of the document on the left-hand side, it states:

> Type of incident: homosexual intercourse with a male over $10-16$ years
A. Yes.
Q. Can I then draw your attention a little further down to the right-hand side. It says:

Date reported: 5.15am on 12.2.90.
You can take it from this document that this is the time the allegation against Stephen Gray was reported to the police?
A. Yes.
Q. Can I show you a further document. This is at tab 430D. Can I just have that document enlarged, please. You will see that is a document headed:

Facts relative to Stephen Hatley Gray.
Could I just show this witness the final page of the document and the signature at the bottom. If we can enlarge that, you will see that this document has been signed by a detective sergeant in the Police Force? A. Yes.
Q. Could I take your attention to that last page. You will see in the second-last paragraph:

The victim was taken to the ... Hospital on
the morning of 12 February, 1990 ...
A. Yes.
Q. You will see that the prisoner, that is, Mr Gray, was interviewed later that day?
A. Yes.
Q. That is on 12 February.
A. Correct.
Q. It's right that you did not visit Mr Gray until after the matter had been reported to the police; correct?
A. My understanding was that the reporting to the police happened after I was sent down early in the morning, but I've got no evidence to support my view on that, apart from what I have seen in documents before this Commission.
Q. I will ask you to assume that the incident occurred on 12 February and the incident was reported to the police on
12 February. Will you make that assumption for me?
A. Well, I mean, I don't know otherwise.
Q. Al1 right. You say that you were asked - you were told there had been a "serious disturbance" and you were asked to go and see Stephen Gray --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and obtain his resignation?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you go immediately to see Stephen Gray after that request was made from you, or was it a few days later, or what?
A. I went immediately. I was phoned early in the morning. I got dressed and got in the car and went straight to Wyong.
Q. You have told us that you obtained his written resignation?
A. Correct.
Q. You have told us that he wrote out that resignation in front of you?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you have any conversation with him about the date he should write on that letter of resignation?
A. No. I just simply asked him to write his resignation addressed to "The Bishop of Newcastle".
Q. Can I show you that letter of resignation at tab 5. Can you see that that resignation is dated 11 February 1990?
A. Yes.
Q. The incident, I will ask you to assume, didn't occur until 12 February 1990 and therefore you could not have seen Mr Gray before 12 February 1990. Are you able to assist the Royal Commission in relation to the question of
why this letter is dated 11 February $1990 ?$
A. I'm sorry, I've got no idea. I mean it could have been a careless mistake. I have got no idea. I'm sorry, as much as I would like to, I really do not have any knowledge on this particular issue with which I could assist the Commission; I'm sorry about that.
Q. Is it right then that you had no discussion with Reverend Gray about the date he should place on this letter of resignation?
A. Definitely not. I simply asked him to give me his resignation in writing.
Q. Have you had any discussion with anybody about the date of this resignation?
A. No, not to my knowledge, never.
Q. Have you ever had any discussion with Keith Allen about the date of this resignation?
A. No.
Q. Is it your evidence that you never became aware that an underage boy was associated with this incident?
A. It's only more recently with documentation at this Commission that I have become aware of that.

THE CHAIR: I think we have been over that too, Ms Sharp.
MS SHARP: Yes.
Q. May we take it then that, to the best of your knowledge, no efforts whatsoever were taken by anyone within the Diocese to provide any assistance to this underage boy?
A. I cannot answer for what the Bishop of the Diocese, the Bishop of Newcastle did and he was clearly handling this matter and so, I'm sorry, I do not know.
Q. Bishop Appleby, let me ask you squarely. Did you turn a blind eye to what was going on with Stephen Gray?
A. No. No.
Q. Did you turn a blind eye to allegations that [CKZ] had been assaulted by James Brown?
A. Definitely not because I was not aware of that.
Q. Did you turn a blind eye to allegations that [CKA] had
been assaulted by [CKC]?
A. No, in that I did not know about it.
Q. Can I take you to paragraph 67 of your statement,
please. Here you talk about the process of selecting who
was to be ordained in the Diocese?
A. Correct.
Q. I think you gave some evidence that you improved the
process of selection during your term as the
Assistant Bishop?
A. I've made that point in this statement, yes.
Q. How did you do that?
A. I introduced a much more rigorous process with more
intensive interviews. It involved a residential weekend
conference to assess the students. I involved a senior
psychiatrist and clinical psychologist in the interview
process and I required, with the support of the Diocese,
police checks as well. It was one of the most rigorous and
best processes around the Anglican Church of Australia and
many bishops came to me to check what we were doing and to
seek advice as to how they might implement it themselves.
Q. Were you aware that Stephen Gray had attended
Morpeth College in the 1970s?
A. No, I wasn't, no. That was certainly before my time
in the Diocese and I was - I mean many of the clergy of the
Diocese had been to St John's College at Morpeth but not
all of them.
Q. That of course makes sense because Morpeth is located
within the Diocese?
A. Correct.
Q. Were you at Morpeth College as a student at the time
Allan Kitchingman was there?
A. No.
Q. Was that before your time at Morpeth College?
A. I believe so.
Q. Is it right that was he there at the time that
Graeme Lawrence was?
A. That I do not know.
Q. Is it fair to say that, at least as at today's date,

Keith Allen has given long and significant service to the Diocese of Newcastle?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you aware that the New South Wales Police have been re-investigating the [CKC] matter?
A. I indicated in my statement that I was asked by
a detective involved in that work for a statement and so as
a result of that, the answer was yes, I was.
Q. Have you ever discussed that new investigation with Keith Allen?
A. No. The new investigation, no.
Q. Are you sure about that?
A. I certainly have no recollection of having done that.
Q. I am just trying to understand. Is it the case that you don't remember or do you say, "No, I did not"?
A. I certainly don't remember, no.
Q. So it's not something you recollect?
A. No.
Q. Could it be that Mr Allen has suggested to you some of the things you might say to the police during that investigation?
A. Oh, no. The policeman - the detective, there was one issue he wished to have a statement from me about and this was certainly on my own initiative and I cooperated fully and provided the statement.

MS SHARP: Those are my questions.
THE CHAIR: Who has questions for the Bishop? There are only two hands at the moment. Are there any others? Three. Yes, you can go first, Mr O'Brien.

## <EXAMINATION BY MR O'BRIEN:

MR O'BRIEN: Q. O'Brien is my name and I appear for Paul Gray, [CKA] and [CKG] and I want to ask you, obvious7y, about the involvement with the [CKA] allegations, do you understand that?
A. Yes.
Q. When, as you say, Keith Allen called you and informed
you that [CKC] had been charged --
A. Correct.
Q. -- did you ask why he was contacting you about that?
A. He told me he was contacting me about it because it had been alleged that [CKA] had been to see me in 1984 to tell me about this abuse.
Q. So Keith Allen told you that?
A. That is my recollection, yes.
Q. Did he tell you that that information had come from Gray and Lawrence?
A. No. No.
Q. Did he tell you that [CKA] had told Graeme Lawrence in 1999 that he had come to you in 1984 and complained of being abused by [CKC]?
A. You are referring to [CKA] coming to see me in 1999 ?
Q. No. Did Keith Allen tell you that he had been told by Graeme Lawrence that [CKA] had complained to you about what [CKC] had done to him?
A. I was simply told that there was an allegation that a report had been made to me, but there was no reference as to who had told who and where it had come from.
Q. Did you ask?
A. No. I was simply being told that this matter was coming before the court and that I had been - it had been alleged that the matter had been reported to me in 1984, as I recall it.
Q. You have said to this Royal Commission that you were familiar by that stage, in 2000, with Keith Allen?
A. Yes.
Q. You have said that he had by that stage been
significantly involved in the church affairs within the Diocese of Newcastle?
A. Yes.
Q. The yearbook establishes in 2000 he was a member of the Diocesan Financial Advice Board, he was on the Panel of Triers and he was on the presentation panel within the Diocese?
A. Correct.
Q. When he rang you, you thought of him as a legal representative significantly involved in the church; is that right?
A. I wouldn't say as a legal representative involved but someone who had a fairly high level of involvement, yes.
Q. You also knew by the time he spoke to you that he was also the lawyer representing [CKC] in relation to criminal charges?
A. That I believe was what was revealed to me in that conversation, yes.
Q. Did you feel then that he was someone coming to you with the hope that you might protect the Church and protect [CKC]?

MR SKINNER: I object to that.
THE WITNESS: No.
THE CHAIR: He can answer it.
MR SKINNER: May it please the Commission.
THE WITNESS: The answer is no. No. I mean my concern, when I was approached, was to establish the truth of the matter and the matter that particularly touched on me was the allegation that it had been reported to me in 1984.

MR O'BRIEN: Q. I want to suggest to the contrary. You would have said to Keith Allen at that stage anything to protect the reputation of the Church and protect the reputation of [CKC], is that the case?
A. No. No.
Q. Did you know [CKC] to be an abuser of children --
A. No.
Q. -- by 2000?
A. No, I didn't. Had I known, as I indicated yesterday, I would have acted, but no, I didn't.
Q. Were you in any way instrumental in the forced leave of [CKC] from the Diocese?

MR SKINNER: I object to that. That is an assumption in
that question. With the greatest respect, I don't think it has been established, the forced leave.

MR O'BRIEN: I will come at it in a different way. I will withdraw the question.
Q. Did you have anything to do with [CKC] leaving the Diocese of Newcastle?
A. No. He left the Diocese, as I understand it, in 1996, by which stage I had been the Bishop of the Northern Territory for some years. I was not in the Diocese at the time he left and I only discovered subsequently that he had accepted an appointment in the Diocese of Ballarat.
Q. Did you hear the evidence of Roger Dyer over the last couple of days?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. He told this Royal Commission that [CKC] had told him, in around 1996, that you had caused his resignation?
A. I can only say I believe that there is something wrong about that, in that I did not. I was not in the Diocese at the time. I had nothing whatsoever to do with it and I only became aware of it subsequently.
Q. And [CKC], according to Roger Dyer, had said that he was very unhappy with you for having caused his resignation from the Diocese of Newcastle. Do you say that that is wrong evidence, do you?
A. The answer is, yes, I do.
Q. In 1984 there were problems with Father Arthur Bridge? A. Correct.
Q. He was the subject of a number of complaints from parishioners within the parish that he was the priest of; is that right?
A. There was difficulty in the parish. Whether there were - the extent of the complaints I'm not quite sure but as I indicated in my evidence yesterday, the issue that particularly involved me in that parish was an Art Union which he had set up and was exposing both the Parish and the Diocese particularly to significant financial risk. It was a mess and people were very concerned and I was called in. I had a series of meetings with key parishioners concerning that. Father Arthur Bridge was an able and
entrepreneurial priest and the longer he was in the parish he got more and more erratic and this issue of the Art Union was, as I recall it, the focus for much concern.
Q. You have heard [CKA] tell the Royal Commission that around about 6 June 1984, he met with you to discuss his problems with Arthur Bridge?
A. I have absolutely no recollection of that.
Q. You heard him say that, didn't you?
A. I heard him say that, yes.
Q. Did you check your diaries for around about that time, 6 June, the middle of 1984 ?
A. I indicated in my evidence yesterday that I checked with great care my appointments diaries for not only 1984 but I also looked at the whole of 1983, the whole of 1984 and the whole of 1985 and there is no single entry for [CKA] having made a time to come and see me.
Q. Have you made those diaries available to the Royal Commission staff?
A. I'd be very happy to do that.
Q. Do those diaries indicate to you that there had been meetings within that parish involving discussions connected with Arthur Bridge?
A. That I can't answer in that I haven't looked particularly for that purpose, but the answer is I do recall meeting with key leaders of that parish concerning Father Arthur Bridge at that time.

THE CHAIR: Q. Bishop, are you telling the Commission that every meeting you ever had was in your diary?
A. Anyone who - the answer, your Honour, is anyone who made an appointment to come and see me, either through my secretary or to myself, was entered into my diary.
Q. I can understand that, but you are not saying that every meeting you have ever had was in your diary, are you?
A. Most meetings would have been put in, in that they would have occupied a slice of the time and I would have put it in with the time and the nature of it, yes.
Q. Can you answer my question?
A. I'm sorry?
Q. Are you saying to us that every meeting you ever had would be recorded in your diary?
A. Appointments which were set up with a time for me to go --
Q. No, please answer my question.
A. So far as I know, the answer would be yes.
Q. So far as you know. It would be extraordinary, I would have thought, that anyone in your position would record every meeting they ever had in a diary. Some would be incidental, some would happen impromptu and so on? A. Yes, it is quite possible when I was in the parish for, for example, Sunday liturgy, a group of parishioners would want to talk to me afterwards.
Q. What about someone coming to your home and saying, "Bishop, I need to talk to you", do you put that in your diary?
A. That would have been a very, very rare event.
Q. But do you put it in your diary?
A. Probably not.

THE CHAIR: Yes, Mr O'Brien.
MR O'BRIEN: Q. You have come to learn, at least now, that [CKA], his father, [CKY], and his stepmother were significantly involved in the parish of which Arthur Bridge was the Rector?
A. Yes.
Q. You know, at least now, that CKY was the warden of the church?
A. I've learned that from evidence given to this Commission.
Q. You saw, during the evidence of now two witnesses, Bishop Holland and also [CKA], that [CKY] resigned in connection with discussions about Arthur Bridge; is that the case?
A. I have no recollection of that at all, but I saw that evidence when it was presented.
Q. You are in no position to deny that you had a meeting with [CKA] about Arthur Bridge, are you?
A. As I indicated yesterday, I think it is quite likely.
Q. It's quite likely that meeting did take place?
A. I believe so.
Q. Notwithstanding the fact that it doesn't appear in your diaries?
A. A meeting - this would be a meeting in the parish concerning Father Arthur Bridge with key people in the parish?
Q. I am suggesting that happened in your home.
A. Mr O'Brien, there is confusion here in that when the police approached me about this for a statement, they indicated that [CKA] had told them that he had met with me in the Diocesan Office and they were at great pains to ascertain the details of the office and where it was and about it and evidence then given now to this Commission suggests in my home, so there is a degree of confusion here.
Q. I am suggesting to you that the meeting happened in your home. Notwithstanding what the police have told you, the meeting happened in your home and you are in no position to deny that that took place, are you?
A. If someone had made a time to come to see me that would be in my diary in my home, yes, that's quite clear.
Q. You cannot recall whether you met with him, is that your evidence?
A. In my home?

MR SKINNER: There is an assumption there as well really.
THE CHAIR: I will allow that question.
MR O'BRIEN: Q. You cannot recall whether you met with him in your house or anywhere else; correct?
A. I'm saying that I believe it is very likely that I met - in meeting with key parishioners of that parish in relation to Arthur Bridge issues, I would most likely have met with him and other members of his family when I was visiting the parish.
Q. It is most likely that you did meet with [CKA] in connection with Bridge because there were problems with Bridge in 1984; right?
A. Insofar as I visited the parish on a number of
occasions and met with key people of the parish, there is every likelihood that that happened.
Q. Not only did you meet with [CKA], I suggest to you you met with his stepmother and you met with his father, [CKY], within weeks of meeting with [CKA]. You can't possibly deny that, can you?
A. In terms of my business at the parish, there is every possibility, in discussing the Arthur Bridge issue with key people, that that occurred.
Q. Thank you. I suggest to you that the reason you're so scant in your knowledge and your preparedness to accept that you met with [CKA] about Arthur Bridge is because in the same conversation you had spoken with him about Arthur Bridge, he talked to you about the abuse that happened under the hand of [CKC], didn't he?
A. As I indicated yesterday that had that been revealed to me, it is not something I would have forgotten in that it is abhorrent behaviour and I would have acted upon it. The fact that I did not act and that I have no recollection whatsoever would suggest to me very clearly that that matter was not raised with me.

THE CHAIR: Q. Bishop, there is a conflict here, you understand, in the evidence that we will have to resolve. If we came to the view that such a discussion did happen, that would mean that you're not telling the truth. Do you understand?
A. Yes. I assure you, your Honour, that I tell the truth.
Q. Do you understand that that's the consequence?
A. Indeed.
Q. Your denial in those terms has that consequence?
A. Yes.

MR O'BRIEN: Q. Arthur Bridge was a significant problem for you in 1984; that's the case, isn't it?
A. It developed into a significant problem, yes.
Q. You said to the Commission yesterday in your evidence that dealing with problems, it was ordinary for you to take a file note, dictate a note, and an aide-memoire would be prepared; is that the case?
A. On serious matters, yes.
Q. You were dealing then, in 1984, with a significant issue of a priest who was being, it was suggested, unfrugal and intemperate and having difficulty with the management of the funds of the parish; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you make notes about the meetings you had with the parishioners who raised those concerns with you?
A. I certainly made a point of reporting on such matters to Bishop Holland and to alert him to the situation that we had before us. Beyond that, I cannot say any more.
Q. I want to come back to the question. Did you make any notes? Did you prepare any aide-memoirs?
A. I may have --
Q. Did you dictate anything --
A. I may have --
Q. -- in relation to your meetings with parishioners in relation to Arthur Bridge?
A. It's very likely that I did, but I cannot recall it.
Q. Have they been provided, to your knowledge, to this Royal Commission?
A. I do not know.
Q. Can they be?
A. If insofar as they exist, the answer is yes.
Q. Do they exist?

MR SKINNER: He has already answered that, your Honour, with respect.

MR O'BRIEN: Q. Are there, to your knowledge, memos recorded by you related to the concerns that were raised by parishioners about Arthur Bridge?
A. Certainly, the matter, as I indicated, would have been reported. Beyond that, I cannot say much more at this stage.
Q. Is there any stage that you can tell us about that?
A. Maybe that was badly phrased. The answer is no, I don't.
Q. So we just don't know, do we, if there are any memos documenting any meetings you had about Arthur Bridge; is that the situation?
A. Yes.
Q. It flies in the face of your evidence yesterday that you took aide-memoirs and memos about important features involving decisions in the parishes you were overseeing?

MR SKINNER: I object to that. The fact that we just don't know because no-one has asked does not fly in the face of anything, your Honour.

MR O'BRIEN: Very well, I withdraw it.
Q. I want to suggest to you very squarely, sir, that you in 2000, when you were asked about this, were intent on protecting [CKC]?
A. No.
Q. And you, when spoken to by Keith Allen, were intent on protecting the reputation of the Church above everything else?
A. No. No.
Q. And you, sir, by this time, now, are not giving truthful evidence about what [CKC] told you in 1984, are you?
A. Sorry, what [CKC] --
Q. [CKA] told you. I will withdraw that. You, by this stage now, are not telling truthful evidence about what [CKA] told you in 1984?
A. I'm telling the absolute truth, absolute truth.
Q. Because it has gone from a stage where you were protecting the Church and protecting [CKC] and now you are protecting yourself?
A. Mr O'Brien, the assertion that $I$ was protecting the Church and [CKC] is not true and the fact - and your assertion that $I$ am protecting myself is certainly not true.

MR O'BRIEN: I have nothing further of you. Thank you.
THE CHAIR: Yes. Mr Gyles.

MR GYLES: Thank you, your Honour.

## <EXAMINATION BY MR GYLES:

MR GYLES: Q. Bishop, my names is Gyles and I appear for Bishop Thompson. I would just like to clarify one matter in relation to paragraph 41 of your statement, please. In that paragraph you make reference to the telephone conversation with Mr Allen which you have just been asked about.
A. Yes.
Q. You say that it occurred while you were the Bishop of the Northern Territory?
A. Yes.
Q. Can I put this timeline to you. You were the Bishop of the Northern Territory between 1992 and 1999?
A. Correct.
Q. In 1999 you became the Bishop of the Northern Region, Brisbane Diocese?
A. Correct.
Q. If you could assume for the purpose of my question that [CKC] was charged in 2001, do you accept that your recollection as to when that phone call was received by you may be mistaken and you may have received that phone call in 2001 after you had moved to the Brisbane Diocese?
A. I don't believe I'm mistaken in that I have a clear recollection that I was in Darwin, in my office in Darwin, when I received the call, but that would mean if that was the case, I left the Northern Territory in June of 1999, that it would have had to be before that.
Q. If you could assume for the purpose of the question, as I say, that [CKC] was not charged unti1 2001, what I am suggesting to you is that you may be mistaken as to where you were at the time of that telephone call being received? A. Insofar as it related to him being charged, and so on, I accept that there may be a mistake there, yes.
Q. All right. Is it your position that you have a clear recollection of a conversation having taken place with Mr Allen?
A. Yes.
Q. And you accept the possibility that that conversation may have taken place in 2001 after [CKC] had been charged?
A. Except that my - I do recall a conversation which I believe was when I was still in the Northern Territory.

THE CHAIR: Mr Gyles, there is an alternative explanation.
Q. You record, Bishop, that you were told he was facing criminal charges. It may be - and perhaps you can tell us - were you told by Mr Allen that the police were investigating [CKC]?
A. No, I don't believe so. I was told that there were charges.
Q. You see what Mr Gyles is saying to you makes your evidence impossible?
A. Yes.
Q. I am just seeking to see whether or not the mistake lies in the way you have expressed yourself in paragraph 41, but if you deny that then that's fine, but it means your evidence is just not possible?
A. In which case, your Honour, if that's the case, I would accept that my memory has let me down and I have made a mistake in what I have said there, but I was certainly approached by $\operatorname{Mr}$ Allen in relation to this matter.
Q. I understand that. What I am suggesting to you is it may have been you were approached before he had actually been charged --
A. Yes.
Q. -- but when it was known the police were investigating and $\operatorname{Mr}$ Allen wanted to know what you knew; could that be right?
A. Yes, that's possible.
Q. So Mr Allen was seeking from you your knowledge of [CKC]'s activities; would that be right?
A. The answer was, yes, he was seeking what I knew about [CKA]'s activities and the answer was I didn't. This was - this was the first occasion I'd heard allegations that [CKC] had been involved in inappropriate sexual relationships with children, first occasion.
Q. But one assumes that $\operatorname{Mr} \mathrm{Allen}$ at least was prepared to
think that you may have known something?
A. Yes.
Q. That is why he rang you up?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's possibly not an unreasonable assumption given that you were an Assistant Bishop during the relevant time, isn't it?
A. That's true, but as I indicated there, which is the absolute truth, that this came as a complete surprise to me. I had not heard of it before and as a consequence, I was unable to be of assistance to Mr Allen.

THE CHAIR: Mr Gyles, I don't know whether $I$ have made it clearer or not.

MR GYLES: I don't need to ask any further questions, your Honour.
<EXAMINATION BY MS McLAUGHLIN:
MS McLAUGHLIN: Q. Bishop Appleby, my name is McLaugh1in. I appear for current Assistant Bishop Peter Stuart. A. Yes.
Q. I would like to ask you some questions about your involvement with the St Stephen's Parish in 2013.
A. Yes.
Q. As I understand your statement and your evidence, that was your parish between 2009 and 2016; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. That's where Reverend Chris Bird is the priest?
A. Yes.
Q. You say in your statement - and this is around paragraphs 44 to 53 when you talk about Graeme Lawrence -A. Yes .
Q. -- that when the issue of his proceedings before the Professional Standards Board was occurring, the subsequent court proceedings, the challenge and the like, you were well aware of those proceedings as they occurred?
A. Through news media reports, yes.
Q. So you were aware through news media reports that Bishop Brian Farran ultimately followed the Board's recommendations and deposed Graeme Lawrence of his Holy Orders?
A. Yes, not just through news media reports, but Bishop Farran also informed the clergy of his diocese, including retired clergy like me, of his actions.
Q. Graeme Lawrence was a parishioner at St Stephen's at the time?
A. Yes.
Q. It would be fair to say that it would have been
a topic of some note amongst the parishioners in
St Stephen's?
A. The answer would be probably more in private conversations among groups of people, but people would have certainly been alert to that and aware of it and there was a degree of sensitivity about it, yes.
Q. You are an experienced and senior Bishop - yes?
A. I think the answer would have to be yes.
Q. Yes. You understand what the consequences are or what is meant by someone being deposed from their Holy Orders?
A. Indeed.
Q. And that includes that person can't take a public role in any church service or ceremony?
A. Can't exercise any of the functions of a priest.
Q. Yes. You were given permission to officiate as a bishop in the Diocese of Newcastle despite having been retired from that position; that's correct, isn't it?
A. Yes .
Q. That permission was clarified in 2013 when

Assistant Bishop Peter Stuart was the Acting Bishop in that year?
A. Yes, I believe that's true.
Q. A condition of the permission given to you to undertake episcopal functions was that you must do so with the permission of the Bishop?
A. Yes.
Q. You understand that to have been the case at that
time?
A. Yes.
Q. In December 2013 you presided over the re-hallowing service at the St Stephen's Church in Adamstown Parish?
A. That's true.
Q. You did that without the permission of

Assistant Bishop Peter Stuart; that's true, isn't it?
A. I now realise that is the case. I think I had assumed that sufficient clearance had been obtained, but I was wrong about that.
Q. On what basis did you form that assumption?
A. I formed that assumption in that I believed that the parish priest, in organising the service, would have made the necessary clearance.
Q. You had a conversation with Chris Bird about that, did you?
A. He asked me to be involved, yes.
Q. But did you have a conversation with him in which you asked him to clarify that you had the authority to undertake that service?
A. I think there was an assumption there and looking back on it, I believe that I should have been more explicit.
Q. I see. Graeme Lawrence took part in that service, didn't he? He read from the Bible.
A. My recollection is that, yes, he did one of the readings from the Christian scriptures which of course is not a function which is restricted to those who are ordained and hold the Bishop's licence, but laypeople are the normal ones who'd make such readings.
Q. You didn't feel that that was a breach of the deposition orders that had been made by Father Brian?
A. He wasn't exercising any priestly ministry and so my view would have been - if I had been asked, would have been no, it wasn't.
Q. Did you take the view that it was appropriate for Graeme Lawrence to undertake such a role in that parish at that time?
A. That's a matter of judgment and it may well have been wise not to have done that.
Q. What was your judgment at the time?
A. I think my judgment was that it was probably unwise for him to have read one of the scripture readings, even though that was not a priestly thing to be doing.
Q. If you felt it was unwise and you were presiding over the service exercising your episcopal function, why did it go ahead?
A. The arrangements for the service were certainly not in my hands and those who were lined up to do readings and so on were not made by me, as would be normal, so I don't see anything that is odd about that.
Q. Were you aware that in the course of 2013,

Assistant Bishop Peter Stuart and other members of the Church, including Professional Standards, were seeking to ensure that there was an appropriate risk management strategy in place for Graeme Lawrence's interaction with the parish at St Stephen's?
A. I was not - I became aware of that, but at the time I was not and there's probably no reason why, as a parishioner there, I should have been told.
Q. After that service, despite you feeling it was unwise at the time for Graeme Lawrence to participate in that service, you didn't take any subsequent steps, did you, to notify Assistant Bishop Peter Stuart of what had taken place?
A. If Graeme Lawrence had exercised some priestly ministry in some way, the answer would have been I would have wanted to alert the Diocesan Bishop of that, but he was not doing that and so there was no breach of any Church law or protocols in that he was simply doing what a layperson would have done. It may have been unwise to have done it but there was no - my understanding would be there was no rule against that.
Q. And because there was no rule against it, are you saying that is why you didn't advise Acting Bishop Peter Stuart of what had taken place, even though you considered it to be an unwise decision?
A. I didn't see any need to advise.

MS McLAUGHLIN: Yes, no further questions.
THE CHAIR: Does anyone else have any questions?

MR SKINNER: May I, thank you, Commissioner.

## <EXAMINATION BY MR SKINNER:

MR SKINNER: Q. Just one issue, Bishop Appleby. For the record, my name is Skinner, I appear for you. Can I just ask you about what has just fallen from you in questioning by Mr Gyles SC and his Honour about the timing of you receiving a phone call from Keith Allen about [CKC] and that is referred to at paragraph 41 of your statement; do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. You found your proposition, as I understand it, that you took the call whilst you were the Bishop of the Northern Territory because you took it in Darwin?
A. Correct.
Q. Is it possible you were in Darwin after you were the Bishop of the Northern Territory? Did you visit once you had been to Brisbane?
A. No.

MR SKINNER: Al1 right. Thank you, that's all.
THE CHAIR: Ms Sharp?
MS SHARP: There is just one matter arising.
<EXAMINATION BY MS SHARP:
MS SHARP: Q. Can you assume from me that Graeme Lawrence was defrocked in around September 2012
A. I'm sorry, I didn't - I'm sorry, I can't --
Q. Can you assume from me that Graeme Lawrence was defrocked in around September 2012?
A. Yes, that's my understanding.
Q. You have discussed with Assistant Bishop Stuart's counsel the fact that he did read from the scriptures on one occasion when you presided.
A. Yes .
Q. Other than that one occasion, did you ever see Graeme Lawrence in the period from September 2012 on
formally participate in any services at that church?
A. In the whole time that I've been - was involved there never once did he exercise any priestly function. He was involved in the parish, often helping old and frail parishioners with transport on Sundays to and from church, helping in the kitchen with washing up and things like that.
Q. Could I just go back to the services that were performed at the church.
A. Yes.
Q. Were there other occasions upon which he read from the scriptures?
A. Occasionally he did that, yes.
Q. And this is from the period September 2012 onwards?
A. Correct. Correct.
Q. On how many occasions do you estimate that you
observed him reading from the scriptures?
A. Oh, during that period of time?
Q. Yes.
A. Four or five times.
Q. On any of those occasions did he wear his collar, as he did so?
A. No, never, never dressed as a priest, ever.

MS SHARP: Thank you. Those are my questions.
THE CHAIR: Yes. Thank you, Bishop, that concludes your evidence, you are excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honour.
<THE WITNESS WITHDREW
MS SHARP: Your Honour and Commissioners, the next witness is [CKU] and a copy of his statement can be found at tab 18 of the first volume of the statements.
<WITNESS [CKU], sworn:
<EXAMINATION BY MS SHARP:
MS SHARP: Q. Sir, you have elected to be known by the pseudonym [CKU] for the purpose of this hearing?
A. Yes.
Q. But your real name is known to those assisting the Royal Commission?
A. Yes.
Q. And your address is known to those assisting the Royal Commission?
A. Yes.
Q. You prepared and signed a statement dated 22 Ju1y 2016 for the purpose of the Royal Commission?
A. Yes.
Q. Are the contents of that statement true and correct to the best of your knowledge?
A. Yes.

MS SHARP: I tender that statement.
THE CHAIR: It will become exhibit 42-026
EXHIBIT \#42-026 STATEMENT OF [CKU] DATED 22/07/2016
MS SHARP: Q. Sir, could I ask you to read from paragraph 5 of your statement.
A. Yes.
"My full name is [CKU] and I was born in 1984. I am 32 years of age. I grew up with my mum, [CKR], and my two older sisters. I have always been close to [REDACTED] because she often looked after me when mum was away.

I was born in Australia but when I was 2 years old, my family moved to England. When I was 5 years old, my parents separated and I lived with my mum. When I was 12 years old, my mum and I returned to Australia so that she could train to become a priest in the Newcastle Diocese of the Anglican Church. My dad remained in England and has never returned to Australia.

Both my parents are religious. Throughout my childhood, mum was away a lot for her church work and studies. As a child, I did not talk to my mum a lot but we became closer as I grew up. My dad lives in England so our relationship has generally been a bit distant.

I was raised as an Anglican but no longer consider myself very religious. I only went to church because I had to. I would describe myself as a Christian, but I do not trust the Church.

St John's Theological College, Morpeth
In early 1997, mum and I moved into St John's Theological College in Morpeth, NSW, where mum commenced training to be an Anglican priest. There was house style accommodation on the property for the people training to become priests, along with their families. My sisters joined us shortly afterwards.

When we first moved to St John's, there were two other families on the Campus. I became friends with [REDACTED]. He lived on the Campus and was in my year at Maitland High School. His dad was also training to become a priest.

Apart from [REDACTED] I was a bit of a loner and never had many friends. Mum was studying and away a lot and at times I felt isolated and bored.

## Ian Barrack

Within my first six months at St John's College, I met Ian. Ian studied with my mum and was also training to be a priest.

When we met, Ian was about 28 years old and I was 12. Ian lived on the Campus at St John's with his wife, about five minutes' walk from my house. They did not have any children.

I think Ian learnt through my mum that dad was in Eng1and. In mid-1997 [REDACTED] and I started going to Ian's house to use his computer and internet. There were no other computers with internet access on the Campus or at school. Ian agreed to let us use his computer and internet if we both paid him money every month. I cannot remember how much we paid him. After a couple of months he let us
use it for free. We started going to Ian's house a couple of times a week, generally after school.

My friend and I played computer games and searched the internet on Ian's computer. I also sent emails to my father. Ian was always there, either on the computer with us or in the kitchen.

Ian would talk and joke around with me and my friend as if he was one of our mates. We became pretty good friends. His wife was hardly ever home. I did not know her well because I only ever saw her a handful of times.

Ian also paid us to mow his lawn every few weeks. After about six months of knowing Ian, he gave me the key to his house. I would often feed his cat and use the internet when he went away.

Around the time Ian gave me a key to his house, he also started 'pushing the boundaries' with me. I think he was trying to gauge my reaction. He started telling me dirty jokes. On one occasion he showed me and [REDACTED] heterosexual pornography on his computer and made some joke about it.

After my friend and his family left St John's in November 1997, Ian's behaviour towards me changed. Ian befriended me more and he became 'touchy' with me. It started with a pat on the back, then a rub on the shoulder and it progressed to massages when I stayed over at his house. Each time he pushed the boundaries with where he massaged me.

Ian also bought me things. If I wanted something he would get it for me. The gifts started with small things like the free internet, soft drinks, chips and toys. Ian helped me buy and build my first computer. He spent a lot of time with me and it made me feel loved.

Mum trusted Ian and let me go to his house all the time. In late 1997, when I was 13 years old, I was allowed to sleep over at his house. It started off as a one-off invite to come over and watch a movie. Within two months it had become a weekly thing. I slept in the spare room. Mum assumed that Ian's wife was home when I slept there but she never was. There was never anyone else around.

At this time in late 1997, I heard rumours from Mum and sister that Ian was having a relationship with another man. This man was also studying at St John's and was married. I remember seeing this man on two occasions at Ian's home. On both occasions they went outside and argued, like a couple. This man left after his first year around the end of 1997. I heard rumours he left because the College had found out about his relationship with Ian.

The first time Ian sexually abused me was one afternoon in June 1998, while we were playing computer games at his house. It was a month after my 14th birthday. Ian and I were playing a game called 'Quake'. We would swap turns on the computer when either of us lost or died. I was sitting on Ian's lap in front of the computer. I was playing the game when Ian started rubbing my leg on the inside of my thigh. I didn't really 'click' to what was happening because I was concentrating on the game. Ian said, 'Give me a go or I'11 suck your dick'. I said, 'Okay, you can have a go' and got off his lap. I was stunned at what Ian said.

I sat down on a beanbag near the computer and Ian continued to play on the computer for a few minutes. Then he came over and knelt down in front of me. Ian asked me to pull down my pants. I then remember my pants and boxer shorts being around my knees. As soon as my pants were down, Ian started to suck and play with my penis. This lasted for about ten minutes until I ejaculated in his mouth.

I felt very confused and embarrassed. I felt like it was not really happening. I did not say anything. When Ian stopped, he might have asked if I was okay and started talking like nothing had happened. I pulled up my pants and sat on the beanbag in silence until I left within ten minutes.

I did not really know about sex or sexual acts. I had received some sex education at school, but it had focused on reproduction.

I did not tell anyone what had happened and I stayed away from Ian and his house for about a week. I felt embarrassed and in some way I knew what he did was wrong. One morning during that week in June 1998, Ian approached me while I was waiting at the bus stop. He asked me where

I had been and if anything was wrong. He then said, 'Do you think it's wrong? Did I scare you off?' I shrugged my shoulders and did not reply.

I started going back to Ian's house around July 1998, because I wanted to use the internet to communicate with my father. I was not able to use the phone at home because Mum would not have been able to pay for the calls and the only other option was writing letters. It was really important to me as a kid to stay in contact with my father.

Ian acted as if nothing had happened and this had the effect of normalising the abuse for me. From July to August 1998, the next incidents of abuse were progressive. He was 'touchy' for a few weeks and he would often tell me how much he cared and how he loved me. After a few weeks of this he started to abuse me again.

It felt like what he was doing was okay and I felt safe. Ian was like a family member. He was always there for me and willing to fulfil my needs. I thought he cared for me. After the second time he abused me in around August 1998 it became a regular thing. It was the same type of abuse each time: Ian would perform oral sex on me until I ejaculated in his mouth.

Also in about August 1998, Ian introduced me to pornographic movies. I was watching television in his bedroom. Ian went out and returned with a box containing three pornographic videos. He said he had bought one of them for me. It was heterosexual pornography. He asked if I wanted to watch one and I said yes. Ian put on the video and I sat on the bed watching it while he abused me. I probably watched pornographic videos with Ian on six other occasions, he abused me on each occasion.

Also around August 1998, I came across a PowerPoint program on his computer which contained images of young naked boys. They were aged about 12 years old and were all giving or receiving oral sex. Ian was with me in the computer room and said something like 'I see you found my secret stash'. The videos were saved in a folder and it was not difficult to find. I closed the program straight away. I remember a few weeks later, around September 1998, I walked past Ian's computer room and saw him looking at similar images on his computer.

By October 1998, I was going to Ian's house every day. He performed oral sex on me three or four times a week. His wife was never there.

On one occasion, on a Friday night between September and November 1998, Ian had sexual intercourse with me. I was 14 years old. Ian had once asked before if he could have sex with me and I said no. When he asked again, I still did not want to, but I knew he would keep asking until I let him. Ian took me into his bedroom and gave me a condom. He knelt in front of me and I anally penetrated him.

When I was being abused by Ian I did not know how to feel. I was numb and confused. I felt like it was a dream. I did not know it was wrong, Ian treated it like it was completely normal and I felt confused.

In or around October 1998, Ian gave me a wind-up toy figurine of a man standing behind a sheep. The man had no pants on and an erect penis. When you wound it up, the man thrust his penis in and out of the sheep. At the time I thought the toy was funny and I didn't see a problem with it.

In October 1998, I remember being at Ian's house. We were mucking around and I said, 'Don't do that or I'11 tell'. I did not mention the abuse, but it seemed to make him angry and he said 'don't do that, I'll be done for a paedophile'. I do not think I even knew what that word meant but I knew it must have been bad because of his reaction. I remember feeling guilty for saying it, because I did not want him to get in trouble.

Towards the end of 1998, Mum had to move to Forster-Tuncurry to take up a position as an assistant priest. She told me Ian had spoken to her about me moving in with him. Mum said she did not want that and neither did I. At this stage I was starting to realise that what Ian was doing to me was not right.

Ian started talking to me about going to boarding school in Armidale. He initially encouraged it. He was a good friend of the school counsellor, Brother James. Ian said if I went there he would be able to visit me. At the time I still wanted to be able to see Ian.

I decided I wanted to go to Armidale School and spoke with my Mum about it. Ian drove me and Mum to school for the admissions interview. We stayed overnight in accommodation on the school grounds. Ian's friend, Brother James, had arranged the accommodation for us. I cannot recall any discussion between my Mum and Ian in the car drive to or from Armidale. I was in the back seat and probably not taking notice.

In December 1998, I stopped talking to Ian. I decided I had had enough of the abuse. In mid-December that year I went to England to visit my father. Ian emailed me while I was away, he sent the email to my father's email address. He wanted to know how I was and why I was not talking to him. I did not reply.

In February 1999, I started boarding at the Armidale School. I had not seen Ian since I left for England in December the year before. During my first year at boarding school, I received three letters from Ian, they had just general stuff in them. I read them, ripped them up and threw them away. The last one was at Christmas 1999, it had $\$ 50$ in it. I threw away the letter and spent the money.

I felt hounded by Ian and did not feel safe at boarding school. I knew Ian was friends with Brother James and had access to the school through him. Brother James never said or did anything to me that caused me concern. It was just the fact that they knew each other and might be speaking about me.

I called my Mum about once a week from boarding school. I had not told her about Ian abusing me. However, I always felt she knew that something had happened to me and that it involved Ian. I told my mum in the general conversation 'Ian's contacted me again ...' I felt like Mum was waiting for me to bring it up.

In May 2002, I received an email from Ian, by this time I was scared and I was angry at him. I do not know how he got my email address because I had changed it. The email said, 'Hi, how are you? Ian'. I immediately deleted it, I did not respond.

In or around November 1998, I showed the sheep figurine to my sister and her husband. I had a close
relationship with my sister and I thought the toy was funny. I did not usually show people the gifts that Ian gave me because I did not want people to wonder why he was giving me all these things. I thought that someone, probably Mum, might tell him not to buy them for me and I did not want him to stop. Later that same day Mum came and took the toy away from me. I do not remember what Mum said to me, but she was angry. At the time I did not know what she did with the toy.

At the end of November 1998, about two weeks before I left to go to England, I was at my sister's house with a friend. Mum was away on an ordination retreat. I was telling my friend about the pornographic movies I had watched with Ian at his house. I do not remember the exact conversation, but my friend thought it was all a bit weird.

My sister overheard this conversation and started hounding me, asking me questions. I told them that Ian watched pornographic movies in front of me. I did not mention any sexual abuse. They asked questions and I gave one word answers. I felt so embarrassed, there was also a part of me that wanted to protect Ian. However, I also hoped that after telling, she or Mum would say something to Ian that would keep him away from me. I assume my sister would have told my Mum about the pornography but I never knew. Mum never spoke to me about it.

In January 2002, when I was 17, I told my girlfriend at the time that I had been abused by Ian. I cannot remember exactly what I told her. I know I told her about the oral sex. I felt a mixture of vulnerability and relief. At that time $I$ was still processing it all by myself. At the time, there were various other cases of child sexual abuse in the news, so it was everywhere you looked. I suppose it just came out.

In May 2002, I thought I saw Ian in Singleton. That same day, he'd mailed me (for the last time ). I got so scared and upset, I thought he had come looking for me. I called Mum but she was away at the time and she was not allowed access to her mobile phone. I left her a frantic voicemail message. She called me back, I told her I thought I saw Ian, that he had emailed me and that I was scared. Mum asked me why I was so scared. I did not tell her about the abuse but I think she had an inkling. Mum said she would come home as soon as she could.

The next day she came home and we spoke. I was really upset and told Mum Ian abused me. I did not go into detail. I told Mum that I wanted to do something about what had happened to me and she recommended that I go to the police. I agreed because I knew Ian had done something wrong. About a week later mum took me to the police station at Singleton.

When I first attended Singleton police station on 29 May 2002, I was there all afternoon. I spoke to a male police officer, a detective, I cannot recall his name. There was also another officer in the room but they did not say anything. I made a police statement that day. I was 18 years old at the time.

When I spoke to the police officer he may have discussed options and processes with me, but I cannot recall. I was not offered the opportunity to have someone with me as support. Although it felt good for me to tell someone and to get it off my chest, I do remember feeling as if the officer did not really care, his body language and manner seemed blasé.

I did not hear anything back from the police for three years until sometime in early 2005 when I was contacted by Detective John Mongan. I thought either the police did not really care or that maybe they had done something with my complaint and did not need my help anymore. I was upset that I did not receive any contact from the police. I started getting paranoid, thinking someone in the church had influence over the police and my complaint was just thrown away. It is difficult not knowing. I did not call the police to find out.

Sometime in early 2005 I was contacted by Detective John Mongan from The Entrance police station. He asked me to come into the station to give another statement. It was like two separate cases. In 2002 nothing really happened, then in 2005, it was just like they started again. When I received the call I felt a bit of hope, like something might happen this time.

On 21 February 2005, Ian was charged with two counts of aggravated sexual assault against me. I am not sure if it was the police or my Mum that told me about this.

In April or May 2005 the DPP contacted me. They explained in order for the charges against Ian to succeed they would have to convince the court that I did not consent to any of the abuse. In my statement I had said that I agreed to let Ian have sex with me. This meant the charges may not succeed and Ian would not be found guilty. The DPP wanted to change the charges against Ian to three counts of sexual intercourse with a person aged between 10 and 16. I was not happy about this, but my main concern was that he would not offend again. The DPP said they had a much better chance of convicting Ian with these amended charges and although the potential sentence was less, it was still a maximum of ten years.

On 26 May 2005, I went into the police station at The Entrance and spoke with Detective Mongan. I was asked the same type of questions as the first time, although Detective Mongan was more thorough. I did not receive any further contact from the police until May 2006.

The court matter was handled by the DPP. They kept in contact regularly. They also provided me a support person when I went to court. I felt like the DPP actually cared. From memory, the court process only lasted a few weeks.

I understand there was a plea bargain and the DPP dropped some of the charges to get a guilty plea from Ian. The final charges were brought down to one count of sexual intercourse with a child between 10-16 years old. Before agreeing to the plea bargain the DPP spoke with me about it. They explained to me that Ian was likely to receive a custodial sentence even after the other charges were dropped, whereas if the matters proceeded to trial, he might be found not guilty or be given a lighter sentence. It felt good that the DPP involved me in the decision because it was me who was directly impacted. I was happy with the decision made.

On the first court date, 10 May 2006, Ian pleaded guilty to one count of sexual intercourse with a child between 10-16 years. I attended the hearing and provided a Victim Impact Statement. Graeme Lawrence, Dean of Newcastle Christ Church Cathedral gave a character reference for Ian at the trial. On 22 September 2006 Ian was sentenced to two years' imprisonment with a 12-month non-parole period. I would have liked for him to have received a longer sentence but I was happy that Ian was
found guilty and punished. This helped me to get some closure and I felt like I had finally been believed.

What upset me during the court process was that Ian had a support person from the Church, but the Church didn't support me or my Mum. It felt like a slap in the face. The Church later made contact through Mum, but only after she rang the Bishop directly and had a go at him.

Around 2003 my Mum organised counselling for both she and I. My Mum had been speaking with someone from the diocese, I do not know who, and the diocese was paying for all or part of this counselling. I attended a number of counselling sessions between 2003 and 2006. I cannot recall how many counselling sessions I attended. I do not know what the arrangements were with the diocese but my Mum would.

Around mid-August 2003, I, assisted by my Mum, engaged Lawson Solicitors, seeking advice in relation to a civil claim against both the Diocese of Newcastle and St John's in relation to the sexual abuse I experienced. I was given advice to wait until the outcome of criminal charges before lodging a civil claim. Lawson Solicitors assisted me with this application for victim's compensation.

Not long after Ian was sentenced, I asked the prosecutor of the DPP for a recommendation about pursuing a civil claim against the Diocese. He initially put us in touch with Robert Caddies' law firm, but they conflicted themselves out, so I ultimately engaged Bilbie Dan to help me pursue an action against the Church.

In December 2006, the diocese agreed to an ex gratia payment of $\$ 2,000$. This was paid to me via a cheque written out to my mother in early 2007. The diocese had learnt of my intention to travel overseas through my Mum, and they offered me this money as a 'gift'.

In March 2007, I sought compensation from the Anglican Diocese of Newcastle in relation to sexual abuse by Ian. I obtained legal advice through Bilbie Dan Solicitors, my solicitor was Nicholas Dan.

The compensation process lasted nearly two years. I felt this was a long process considering Ian had pleaded guilty at court. My solicitor communicated with the legal
representative of the Anglican Diocese of Newcastle. I never had any direct contact or communication with the Anglican Diocese or their legal representative.

When I sought compensation through my solicitor, I was offered additional counselling services by the Church where they would pay. I accepted the additional counselling but I was not comfortable going through the Church. After everything that had happened to me, the abuse, the court case and the long compensation process, I just didn't trust the Church anymore. Instead I asked them to pay a lump sum on top of my settlement amount so I could arrange my own counselling.

In February 2009, I reached a settlement with the Newcastle Diocese. I received a total of $\$ 60,000$. To the best of my recollection this sum included the amount of $\$ 3,000$ to cover my cost of ongoing counselling. The Anglican Diocese also paid for my legal fees. I was required to pay back the Victim Compensation payment of about $\$ 6,000$ I had received years earlier. They also agreed to answer mum's 11 questions to the Diocese. I was aware that Mum wanted to get some questions answered but I do not believe I ever read the list, nor did I read the answers she received. I believe that Mum may have told me some of the details in conversation but I cannot now recall those details.

I was required to sign a 'Deed of Release' to receive the compensation amount. The deed included a confidentiality clause. The confidentiality clause was explained to me by my solicitor. I understood the clause to mean that, once signed, I was not allowed to talk about my abuse with anyone, except for a doctor, lawyer or the police. I was told this clause lasted permanently.

I also asked for a written and public apology, to all the people who had been abused within the Anglican Church. On 3 September 2009, I received a written apology from Bishop Brian Farran, the Bishop of Newcastle, in relation to my abuse, but it was not a public apology. This apology was important to me. The apology provided me with some sense of 'closure'. Throughout the compensation process I felt like the Anglican Church fought very hard to avoid responsibility and deny their 'duty of care'. An apology from the Church was an acknowledgement that they were in the wrong and I was happy to receive it.

As a child being abused I felt trapped. You are constantly being manipulated. You get to the point where you believe what is being done to you is good and what they are doing is right. It is this manipulation, I believe, that contributes greatly to people not reporting abuse until much later.

Although I know people around me do not know what happened, I feel self-conscious about being around children and what strangers might think of me if I am seen near children. I have heard theories about abused people becoming abusers and although I know this not true, it plays on my mind."

MS SHARP: Your Honour and Commissioners, I have no questions.

THE CHAIR: Does anyone else have any questions?
<EXAMINATION BY MR KERKYASHARIAN:
MR KERKYASHARIAN: Q. My name is Kerkyasharian and I appear for Robert Caddies. According to I think paragraph 61 of your statement, I won't take you there, Ian was sentenced on 22 September 2006 ?
A. Yes.
Q. And you said that not long after that, a prosecutor from the DPP recommended someone for you to go to to pursue a civil claim?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you remember precisely what that prosecutor said? A. No.
Q. Why do you come to think he said it's Robert Caddies' law firm?
A. Because I saw the sign on the outside of the building.
Q. If I was to suggest to you that Robert Caddies' wasn't working there at that time, would you be able to comment on that one way or another?
A. No.

MRF KERKYASHARIAN: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Anyone else? No. Yes, thank you, [CKU].
Thank you for your evidence, you are excused.

## <THE WITNESS WITHDREW

THE CHAIR: We will take the morning adjournment.
MS SHARP: Thank you, your Honour

## SHORT ADJOURNMENT

MS SHARP: Your Honour and Commissioners, the next witness
is [CKR] and a copy of her statement can be found at tab 19 of the first volume of the statements folder.
<WITNESS [CKR], sworn:
[12.03pm]

## <EXAMINATION BY MS SHARP:

MS SHARP: Q. You have chosen to be known by the pseudonym [CKR] for the purpose of this hearing?
A. Yes .
Q. Your real name is known to those assisting the Royal Commission?
A. Yes.
Q. And your address is known to those assisting the Royal Commission?
A. That's right, yes.
Q. You have prepared and signed a statement dated 21 July 2016?
A. Yes.
Q. There is a correction you wish to make to your statement at paragraph 57.
A. Yes.
Q. Could I ask you if I can interrupt you when you're reading at paragraph 57 and you can make that correction.
A. Okay.
Q. Thank you. Are the remaining contents of that statement true and correct to the best of your knowledge? A. Yes, they are.

MS SHARP: I tender that statement.
THE CHAIR: It will be exhibit 42-027

## EXHIBIT \#42-027 STATEMENT OF [CKU] DATED 21/07/2016

MS SHARP: Q. Could I ask you to read from paragraph 5 of your statement?
A. "My full name is [CKR] and my date of birth is 1949. I was born in the United Kingdom (UK). I prefer to be called [CKR]. I am a Reverend in the Anglican Church, and am currently the Rector in a diocese in the UK. I am the mother of [CKU] who was born in 1984. I also have two daughters, who are both older than [CKU], born in 1978 and born in 1980.

In August 1972 I married. We separated around 1990. Both my ex-husband and I are members of the Anglican Church.

In 1979 my ex-husband and I were living in Australia. My ex-husband commenced studies at St John's Ministry Centre, Morpeth in New South Wales. We lived on Campus for twelve months at St John's while he studied. While I lived on Campus I heard a lot of rumours going around about certain people and certain priests, concerning homosexual activity happening amongst people on Campus, and about certain priests who might fancy little boys. I can remember one of the priests being spoken about as homosexual and preferring youngsters was Peter Rushton. There was also a lot of talk about 'Robinson House' and homosexual activities going on in there.

Within the first six months of living on Campus I decided to speak with the then principal of the College about the rumours. I questioned how this sort of sexual activity aligned with the teachings of the faith and the sanctity of marriage. I said I was concerned this was happening and among people who would be ordained. I recall he said that when it came time for these people to be ordained they would have to take a vow of celibacy, but while they were at college they did not. I decided to just 'keep my head down' and do what I had to, to cope with living on Campus.

In 1981 we left St John's College. In 1987 my ex-husband was offered an opportunity to continue learning
as clergy in England, which he accepted. In 1987 my ex-husband, myself and our three kids all moved back to England. In 1990 I separated from my ex-husband and we had formalised the divorce in 1995. In 1996, I returned to Australia from England with my children.

Early in 1997, I entered the Closebourne Conference Centre, which was part of the training facility of St John's Ministry Centre, Morpeth in New South Wales. This is a Theological College in the Anglican Diocese of Newcastle, and I studied there in 1997 and 1998, after which I was ordained as a Reverend.
[CKU] and I moved into a house at St John's in Morpeth at the beginning of 1997. At the time [CKU] was 12, [REDACTED] was 17 and [REDACTED] was 19.

Meeting and Interactions With Ian Barrack
In 1997 Ian Barrack ('Ian') was a student at St John's College studying theology. He would have been about 28 years old. He was a large man with dark hair and was solidly set. My initial impression of Ian was that he appeared to be very caring and interested in people.

As far as I am aware Ian applied for ordination via a lengthy and detailed selection process known as a selection conference on three separate occasions. I didn't know at the time, but now know Ian was refused ordination the first two times on account of his confusion about his sexuality. He was accepted on his third attempt.

In 2003/2004, after [CKU] reported his abuse to the Police, and when I was living in The Entrance I received a package from an anonymous sender. In that envelope were documents from the Newcastle Diocese, from selection and selectors concerning Ian.

When I began studying in 1997, I became friends with Ian and his wife. Ian was a computer expert. My son [CKU] had a keen interest in computers. He and Ian quickly became friends. Ian encouraged [CKU] and his friend to go to his house at St John's Campus, where he would teach the boys about computers.

During 1997 [CKU] and [REDACTED] spent a considerable amount of time at Ian's home on Ian's computer and learning
about computers. [CKU] would often sleep over at Ian's home on Friday nights. At the time I did not think this was odd because we were in a Christian community. Ian was married and I knew [CKU] was very interested in learning about computers. I also thought that it was good for Ian to spend time with [CKU] because [CKU] was living in an all female household.

As time went on, Ian seemed to require more of [CKU]'s company. To pay for his internet use, [CKU] cut Ian's lawns. Ian invited [CKU] to join him on computer jobs, where they went to people's homes. In early 1998 [CKU] decided to buy his first computer. Ian took control of this project and helped [CKU] buy the necessary parts and build a computer.

Towards the midd1e of 1998, Ian and [CKU] frequently started meeting for breakfasts on Saturday mornings. After breakfast the two of them would go out on computer jobs. Often if I had been out to the parish and was late coming home, I would arrive home and find out [CKU] was still at Ian's home. [CKU] then stopped telephoning to tell me where he was as he had done to that point.

By June 1998 [CKU] started to spend so little time at home that I became concerned. Ian began to ring [CKU] if he came home from school and did not go to Ian's house first. By June 1998 Ian was buying [CKU] expensive presents such as model ships and planes. I spoke to Ian and told him this was not good for [CKU].

At this time, from 1997 til1 June 1998, I had assumed that [REDACTED] was also at the house when [CKU] stayed over on Friday nights. However, I recall having a conversation with [REDACTED] around September or October 1998 in which I asked her whether she minded [CKU] staying on Friday nights. [REDACTED] informed me that she spent Friday nights at her mother's house and was not home. This worried me and I tried to talk to [CKU] about it. [CKU] seemed afraid to speak about it and so I did not pursue it. I decided to begin keeping a more watchful eye on Ian's contact with [CKU].

Notable Changes in [CKU]'s Behaviour
As 1998 progressed, [CKU] seemed to change. He was 13 years old. He became unapproachable, reclusive,
secretive and obsessed with computers. [CKU] spent most of his time at Ian's home or alone in his room.

I was scheduled to complete my training at St John's at the end of 1998. I was then to work in ministry full time, requiring that $I$ move to a parish and live in a house provided by the diocese. Because of this I was contemplating sending [CKU] to a boarding school in 1999.

In or about September 1998, I decided to look at The Armidale School in Armidale, New South Wales. I had an old car which I did not think was capable of driving from Morpeth to Armidale and back. Ian offered to drive me and [CKU]. While we were at the school, and on the drive home, Ian kept trying to dissuade me from sending [CKU] there.

In September or October 1998, Ian repeatedly told [CKU] he should go and live with him rather than go to The Armidale School. Ian told [CKU] he would have his own room and that Ian would buy him stuff. [CKU] became confused by the pressure he was receiving from Ian. [CKU] told me he didn't want to go to The Armidale School and that he thought it might be better if he stayed in Morpeth with Ian. I responded by saying: 'No way mate'.

In October 1998 Ian sent me horrible letters outlining how irresponsible I was for sending [CKU] to Armidale and how I was making the decision for myself and not for [CKU]. Ian also telephoned me on many occasions after the trip to Armidale trying to get me to let [CKU] either stay with him or with the Archdeacon of Newcastle, the Reverend Bruce Hoare. I went down to Ian's house and said: 'I make the decisions for [CKU], not you'. Reverend Hoare customarily had various boys residing with him at the Cathedral complex at Christ Church Cathedral clergy house. I'd heard regular rumours around 2002 that Bruce Hoare was bi-sexual and it was inferred he liked younger boys. I would never have allowed [CKU] to stay with him.

Around October 1998 Ian also started to spoil [CKU] even more than previously and required even more of his time. Ian started arriving at [CKU]'s school and picking him up without my permission.

In about early October 1998, I spoke to [CKU] and told him that Ian was not allowed to pick him up after school
and that instead he was to get the bus home. [CKU] became angry with me for this. I tried to explain that Ian was spending far too much time with him and that adults don't do this with young people. Then, Ian became increasingly possessive of [CKU] and Ian started to ignore me.
[REDACTED] partner [REDACTED] and I became suspicious that something sexual was happening between Ian and [CKU]. [CKU] was also not eating properly and became withdrawn.

In October 1998, I made my decision with [CKU] that [CKU] would go to The Armidale School. I told Ian that [CKU] was going to boarding school and would not be coming to live with him and [REDACTED].

After that, Ian ignored me but continued to spend a significant amount of time with [CKU], especially when I wasn't around.

On a Saturday morning in late October 1998, my daughter [REDACTED] came over to my house. [REDACTED] said to [CKU] in front of me words to the following effect: 'Have you shown Mum that awful thing that Ian gave you and told you not to show Mum?' [CKU] looked uncomfortable and said, 'No'. [REDACTED] said, 'You get it now and show her, it's disgusting'. [CKU] then retrieved that 'awful thing' and gave it to [REDACTED]. The toy was a sheep figurine with a man's penis inserted into his rear end. When it was wound up the man had sex with the sheep. [CKU] was then 13 years old.

I felt repulsed when I saw the sheep figurine depicting an act of bestiality. I thought it was time to separate Ian and [CKU] as things were becoming too intense.

In early November 1998, [CKU] was staying with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] at their residence at St John's College whilst I was away on my ordination retreat. [CKU] had a friend over. [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] overheard [CKU] and his friend talking about pornography they had been exposed to at Ian's house.

After talking with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] about this conversation we thought something had happened to [CKU] because he had changed. He was not happy, he didn't talk and he didn't laugh.

I decided to report the incidents with the 'sheep
figurine' and [CKU]'s exposure to pornography, through Ian, to the Reverend Bruce Hoare. On 16 November 1998, I met with him and showed him the toy and discussed my concerns about Ian's behaviour toward [CKU].

I did this expecting the Diocese would take some disciplinary action against Ian. When I showed Reverend Hoare the sheep figurine he laughed and did not appear to think it was offensive or inappropriate. When I said: 'It's no laughing matter that an adult is giving this to a boy', Bruce Hoare changed his demeanour and agreed with me. I could not help feeling that Reverend Hoare was agreeing with me simply to pacify me. Reverend Hoare took the sheep figurine from me and told me he would show it to Bishop Roger Herft before getting back to me.

At this time, Reverend Hoare was the Ministry Development Officer for ordinations and examining chaplains. He was essentially the supervisor for Ian and the other students at St John's. His role involved getting to know students at St John's. He was part of the selection process and disciplining students. He would have been part of the policy setting at St John's.

The day I went to see Reverend Hoare to complain about the toy was the same day that Ian was in a selection conference. The selection conference process occurred at the end of each year to determine if a student was suitable to proceed into the following year of study. Reverend Hoare indicated that Ian was not meeting academic requirements and would not continue in the course. I learnt about this only after receiving the documents I referred to earlier anonymously in the mail. I also later learnt, around 1999 from [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] that despite my complaint and failing his ordination training, Ian was allowed to remain on campus and continue with studies.

About a week after I brought the sheep figurine to Reverend Hoare, the Reverend asked me to come and see him. He told me he had shown the toy to Bishop Herft and that they had agreed I should return the toy to Ian and tell him it was inappropriate and express my displeasure. There was no mention of the Diocese taking any action to reprimand Ian.

I rang Ian and asked him to come to my house. I confronted him about the sheep figurine and told him it was an inappropriate thing to give to [CKU]. I also said that he was not to have any further contact with [CKU]. Ian was upset and very angry when I told him I had shown the sheep figurine to the Reverend Hoare. He punched a wall and this frightened me. I asked him to leave.

In January 1999 I commenced as assistant priest at the Parish of Forster-Tuncurry. In February 1999 [CKU] commenced as a boarding student at The Armidale School. As far as I am aware Ian was still resident at St John's.

During [CKU]'s first term at The Armidale School, he stayed with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] one weekend. On the Saturday morning, whilst [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were out, Ian arrived at [REDACTED]'s house wanting to see [CKU]. [CKU] did not open the door and he rang me in a panic. I told [CKU] to keep the door locked and I called the Principal of St John's, Dr Ann McElligott, and asked her to go to the residence to give [CKU] some protection. She went to the residence and took [CKU] across to the Principal's lodge.

Ian continued to try to make contact with [CKU]. In May 1999, he sent [CKU] a birthday card and some money. Ian wrote letters to [CKU] at The Armidale School, saying he wanted to come and visit him. In October 1999, Ian wrote to [CKU] saying he was going to be visiting a friend at The Armidale School, Brother James. [CKU] told me he was very scared of this and wanted to return home. [CKU] would not tell me why he was scared. [CKU] destroyed the letter Ian sent to him. I only learnt about the letters in 2002 after [CKU] told me he had been abused by Ian.

From October 1998 to March 1999, there had been no conversation between me and the Diocese in relation to the complaints referred to in Bishop Herft's letter. In February 1999, [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] wrote statements about [CKU]'s disclosure to them that Ian had showed [CKU] pornography and about how Ian would verbally abuse [CKU] at the bus stop after we learnt of the sheep figurine. These statements were provided to Bishop Herft.

I received a letter from Bishop Herft dated 13 May 1999 asking me to come into the Diocesan Office in Darby Street, Newcastle, for a meeting on 27 May 1999 with
a representative from DoCS and a police officer. The meeting was to discuss the statements provided by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] concerning the sheep figurine and pornography [CKU] was exposed to.

On 27 May 1999 I met with Bishop Herft, Reverend Hoare, Peter Mitchell (Business Manager and Registrar of the Diocese), Joanne McLeane (DoCS) and one other person whose identity I do not recall at the Newcastle Diocesan Office. At the meeting we discussed the written statements made by [REDACTED] and [REDACTED].

At the end of the meeting there was no debriefing or care offered to me pastorally, and no discussion about what was to be done about the matter.

At some point that same year I also had a separate telephone discussion with Bishop Herft in which he told me he had spoken to the Ombudsman in response to a question I had posed about the legal concept of duty of care: 'Who has duty of care to parents who have children living on Campus, when that parent is absent at the request of the Bishop and is working in parishes and the child is on Campus...' Bishop Herft said the Ombudsman had told him that the Diocese did not have a duty of care to either me or [CKU] or anyone else in the College because we were renting accommodation and were not live-in students. Bishop Herft said the duty of care remained with parents in spite of the fact the Diocese paid everyone's rent.

Following the meeting in May 1999, Reverend Hoare began to pay more attention to me and asked about [CKU] frequently. Reverend Hoare was in charge of newly ordained priests and their training. There were a few lunches with Reverend Hoare when I was in Tuncurry and Singleton. No matter the conversation, Reverend Hoare would always turn the conversation to [CKU]. I felt Reverend Hoare was fishing for information and I became very wary.

In 2001, I moved to Singleton for further training and my second Curacy in the Singleton Parish. [CKU] moved with me and attended a local school. [CKU] was 15 years old.

During May 2002, I was in Taree for priest training for three days. During this time, two parishioners cared for [CKU]. On the second day I was away, [CKU] received an email from Ian. [CKU] became very distressed after
receiving it and rang [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] then rang me at 9 pm that night and told me what happened. I rang [CKU] and told him I would be home early the next morning.

When I arrived home, [CKU] and I sat, and I said words to the following effect: 'Right, mate. Why are you so afraid of Ian?' [CKU] was able to reveal to me that he had been sexually abused by Ian. We discussed what to do and [CKU] told me he wanted to go to the police.
[CKU] and I went to Singleton Police Station where [CKU] provided a signed statement. Constable Julie Williams rang me after [CKU] gave his statement and she told me some of the details. Julie felt I should know certain things as [CKU]'s mother. It was during this conversation I learnt [CKU]'s abuse included oral sex.

I rang Reverend Bruce Hoare shortly after [CKU] told me he had been abused by Ian, the same time I made the appointment for [CKU] to go to the police station. I told Reverend Hoare [CKU] told me that he had been sexually abused by Ian when we lived at St John's. Reverend Hoare told me he would take the matter up with Bishop Herft. There was no direct contact between me and Bishop Herft. I later discovered that Bishop Herft had asked Reverend Hoare to 'look after' me, by which I presume meant that Reverend Hoare would be my contact person with respect to my complaint. I was told by Bishop Herft's secretary that Bishop Herft called DoCS on 20 May 2002. His secretary also gave me a contact number for Joanne McLeane, our DoCS case worker. However, when I rang Joanne, she said that because of [CKU]'s age, it was a police matter and not a DoCS matter.

After [CKU] and I reported the matter to Singleton Police, I heard nothing further from either the Police or from the Newcastle Diocese. I believed the Diocese was handling the matter and that the issues with Ian had been reported to the Department of Professional Standards.

A few weeks after reporting to Singleton Police, I was yet to receive further contact, so I rang Julie Williams. Julie informed me the matter had been transferred to Maitland Police. By July I had still heard nothing from the police so I rang the Maitland Police. I was informed that John Mongan was the detective in charge of the matter.

Detective Mongan later told me that because John's matter was not a current case it was not being given any urgency.

In November 2002 I was made the Rector of [REDACTED], a parish in NSW. [CKU] had finished school and elected to remain in Singleton where he had a casual job and boarded with a local parishioner.

Two weeks before Christmas 2002, Detective Mongan rang me, asking if we still wanted to proceed with the case. I told him we definitely did and he told me he would get to it in the New Year. I heard nothing more, so in March and April of 2003 I rang him several times. I was told by Detective Mongan that he was busy with other cases. I felt like giving up.

Early in 2003, a parishioner of mine complained to me that her son had been brutally abused by a Church of England Boys Society leader. I contacted the Professional Standards Committee ('PSC') [then the Committee of Allegations for Sexual Misconduct 'CASM'] of the Newcastle Diocese and spoke with Jean Sanders ('Jean'), who was the Chair of CASM. Jean arranged to speak with my parishioner and her son. After the meeting in my office, I then said to Jean: 'I suppose you know about the abuse my son [CKU] encountered at St John's College, Morpeth?" She replied by saying, "No, tell me about this".

I explained my son's story to Jean. She responded with words to the effect, ' I am going back to the office to look in your file.' Jean rang me a few days later and informed me there was no report or file in the records of CASM .

On another occasion, I cannot recall the month or year, it was towards the end of Jean's time at the Diocese, Jean rang me to tell me she had been called into a meeting with Bishop Herft, Robert Caddies (solicitor representing the Diocese), Bruce Hockman (Diocesan Business Manager) and Keith Allen."

There was one other person which is one of the amendments.
Q. Yes. This is paragraph 57 that you wish to make an amendment to. Could you explain what that is now. A. There was another person present and that person,

I believe, was the Reverend Graeme Lawrence, the Dean, and that it was a general consensus in that meeting that it was agreed that whoever mentioned 'Oh, we don't have to worry about this case. It's never going to get to court', I think it would have been Bruce Hockman who - that's the memory that has come back to me.
Q. Thank you.
A. Yes.
"I surmised that unless a matter was going to court, the Diocese did not regard it necessary to conduct an internal professional standards process or inquiry.

On 16 July 2003 I met with Detective Mongan at Maitland Police Station. Detective Mongan drafted a brief statement based on his discussion with me which I signed. Detective Mongan told me that it would take at least three years before the matter would get to court. When I left the station $I$ felt guilty for wasting the detective's time and felt that [CKU]'s case was not a priority. I felt the Police were not taking the matter seriously. The words at the top of the statement say: 'In the matter of: sexual assault of [CKU] Barrack (Statement 16 July 2003)'. The detective did not even get the names correct.

After first disclosing the abuse to Jean, she sought to get [CKU] and myself some support services at the Diocese's expense. After much follow-up, in or around August 2003, Jean recommended [CKU] and I contact a clinical psychologist, Michael Corbett-Jones from Kincumber Central Coast. [CKU] and I saw Dr Corbett-Jones for three or four sessions. Jean arranged some reimbursement from the Diocese for counselling. The remaining monies were claimed back from health insurance.

In August 2003 there was considerable correspondence between myself and Jean Sanders. I became increasingly angry at the Diocese because I felt that no action was being taken. On 15 August 2003 [CKU] retained a solicitor, Chris Shrewsbury of Lawsons Solicitors to assist him in seeking financial compensation from the Diocese of Newcastle and St John's College, Morpeth.

On 3 August 2003, Jean advised me that she had passed information to Bishop Roger Herft about my complaint. On 12 August 2003 I received a letter from Jean enclosing
a memo and letter forwarded to Bishop Herft by Jean. In a letter to me from Bishop Herft dated 22 August 2003, he berated me.

After this, from 2003 to 2005, there was not much communication between me and the Diocese, except about what was happening with the police investigation into Ian. For example, on one occasion before trial in around 2004 or 2005, my mobile rang. [CKU] answered the mobile phone and said it was Bishop Herft's secretary and that Bishop Herft wanted to speak with me immediately. I took the phone and Bishop Herft said words to the following effect:
'What is happening with the court case? I am going to Lambeth next week and need to know because I don't want it happening when I am away'.

I told him not to telephone me and to ring the police if he wanted information about the case. I then terminated the call by hanging up. I didn't feel I received any support or pastoral care from the Newcastle Diocese whatsoever. I felt very angry about the pressure that was on me and I came to realise why victims feel like giving up.

On 15 December 2003, I received a letter from Bishop Herft saying that he was frustrated by the lack of progress in the matters.

In or about 2005, I received a cheque from the Newcastle Diocese for $\$ 2,000$ on [CKU]'s behalf. I later received a letter from Philip Gerber of Professional Standards on behalf of the Newcastle Diocese indicating that the $\$ 2,000$ was an ex gratia payment to [CKU] as he had heard [CKU] was travelling overseas and wanted to assist in financing the travel. Whilst the letter from Gerber indicated it was a gift from the Diocese and not meant to prejudice any other rights of [CKU], I believe that the payment was an attempt at buying us off.
[CKU] received a letter dated 3 September 2009 from Bishop Brian Farran with an apology for the abuse perpetrated by Ian.

Criminal Proceedings
Between 2003 and 2005, I continued to pursue

Detective Mongan.
Finally, on or about 6 December 2005, Ian was charged with several offences and the matter was taken to Court. After plea negotiations, Ian agreed to plead guilty to one offence.

Before the court matter, I received a couple of phone calls from Reverend Hoare. One Saturday, Reverend Hoare rang me to tell me that the police were coming to interview him and he was scared. I terminated the conversation. A week or so before Ian's trial, Reverend Hoare rang me again to say that the then Dean, Graeme Lawrence of the Newcastle Cathedral, had asked Reverend Hoare to ring me to find out what was happening with the case. I was not very polite to him and told him where to go.

During the court matter we were provided a social worker from the DPP office. She was absolutely amazing and sat with [CKU] and I and talked us through the process. I felt really cared for, unlike the support or lack of support we received from the church.

At court on 27 March 2006 I noticed that Ian was supported by another member of the Diocese, the Reverend Wayne Sheean. Sheean was Rector of Kurri Kurri and a friend of Ian's. Sheean was dressed in his full clerical attire which made it look like he was representing the Diocese at the hearing rather than present in a private capacity. Sheean was at one stage on CASM and even gave character evidence at Ian's sentencing. Also at court was Paul Rosser, who was a solicitor who represented the Diocese.

After the sentencing hearing on 14 August 2006, I rang Philip Gerber, the Director of the PSC. I asked Gerber about the presence of the Reverend Wayne Sheean at the hearing who appeared to be present in support of Ian and was wearing full clerical dress. Gerber denied that Sheean was representing the Diocese but said: 'Even the alleged offender needs support from a friend and a priest'. I replied: 'But we weren't offered any support?' Gerber then replied: 'I suppose we should offer you one then. Who would you like?' I said: 'Reverend Rosemary Gilham'. Following this request Rosemary attended some court appearances with us. I do not know why I had to ask for
a support person when the procedures required that support be provided whenever a member or parishioner is in need.

The sentence was handed down on 22 September 2006. Ian was sentenced to two years' penal servitude with a non-parole period of 12 months, backdated to 21 September 2006.

During the court proceedings in 2006 there was a new bishop for the Newcastle Diocese, Bishop Brian Farran. At one point the DPP solicitor spoke to Rosser as to why he was there, and Rosser replied he attended at Bishop Farran's request. I emailed Bishop Farran about Rosser's presence at court. He denied arranging for Rosser to be present. I believe the Diocese provided legal representation for Ian during the court proceedings. I say this because the Diocese was using the same law firm for their defence of [CKU]'s civil claim as Ian was using for his criminal defence.

On 4 October 2006, I had an interview with Reverend Rosemary Gilham in relation to how I felt throughout the period 1998 to 2006. During the interview I emphasised what I regarded as the failings of St John's College in its duty of care. These failings included:
(a) the confusion as to the roles being played by senior clergy;
(b) not knowing who was responsible for doing what within the Diocese;
(c) conflicts of interest;
(d) the sense of isolation felt from colleagues after [CKU]'s complaint was made;
(e) the fact that I was ignored by other clergy after [CKU]'s complaint had been made;
(f) the fact that the case was not dealt with in accordance with the diocesan processes of dealing with allegations;
(g) the Diocese seemed to be more worried about covering up than assisting allegations of sexual abuse;
(h) the lack of support for the victim;
(i) the support for the perpetrator;
(j) lack of attention for our ongoing needs; and
(k) I feel I was made to feel guilty because I was a priest within the church making these complaints. I felt like I was being completely attacked on many different levels.

When I referred to 'conflicts of interest' in point (c), I am talking about the conflict in the two 'roles' I held. First, as the parent of [CKU] who had been sexually abused by someone within the church and diocese. Secondly, as a priest employed by the Diocese. As John's mother, I wanted to support my son and the investigation and conviction of his abuser.

I also felt the pressure placed on me by the diocese. I felt like I was expected to 'toe the line' because I was employed by the Diocese.

On 17 October 2006, I met with Rosemary again and she prepared a Contact Person's Report on our meeting. The report noted that I wanted an apology from the Diocese and those involved.

Following the court matter we sought civil compensation for [CKU]. We went to a firm of solicitors in Newcastle. When we arrived at the offices, we were advised that a female solicitor from the firm would be able to help us. We waited, and then were told that the solicitor had been an advisor on Ian's case and she couldn't help us due to a conflict of interest. I do not understand how Ian was able to be represented by the same firm that looked after Newcastle diocesan matters.

In November 2006, I wrote a letter to Philip Gerber asking 11 questions about the inaction and selection actions and issues of duty of care at Morpeth. I had no acknowledgement that this letter was received. On numerous occasions that $I$ rang Gerber, I felt like I was being fobbed off.

In early 2007 I telephoned Gwen Vale who was by then the Chair of the Professional Standards Committee.

I inquired about the letter I had sent to Gerber and she said words to the following effect:
'There is not much we can do with the letter as Bishop Roger has gone to higher places, namely, Archbishop of Perth'.

In 2007 [CKU] reached an out of court settlement with the Diocese for about $\$ 60,000$ including some money for counselling. [CKU] had asked for a public apology but the Church did not agree to that. In return for the monetary settlement and apology, we were asked to sign a confidentiality agreement agreeing not to talk to anyone about what happened or to take any further court action against the Diocese.

On 24 March 2009, I received an email from John Cleary, the diocesan Business Manager, asking for a meeting with me and the new chair of the Professional Standards Committee, Dr Ann Taylor, to discuss my concerns about the handling of [CKU]'s matter. I met with Dr Taylor and Michael Elliott on Friday, 22 May 2009 to discuss my 11 point letter.

On 25 May 2009 I received an email from Dr Taylor proposing a second meeting at Zimmermann House with her and Michael Elliott. I went to that meeting on 18 June 2009 and took a support person, Nicholas Dan, who is a solicitor with Bilbie Dan Pty Ltd. I took a support person because I was terrified and trusted no-one.

At the meeting I was given a report prepared by Dr Taylor which addressed each of the questions I had sent to Gerber. In my view the answers in this report were inconclusive and some of the dates of the events were not correct.

I subsequently received a further email from Dr Ann Taylor requesting another meeting, which I attended with solicitor Mr Dan. In this meeting I asked for the Diocese to pay for counselling sessions and I was given ten sessions with the same psychologist $I$ had seen previously.

Throughout the entire ordeal, I have felt that the Church has never acted fairly, compassionately or pastorally. In 1996 the Newcastle Diocese published a document titled 'Principles and Procedures for dealing
with allegations of sexual misconduct'. I believe the Diocese failed to meet its obligations under this policy. This matter has damaged my trust in the processes and systems the Church has for victims of sexual abuse. I am stil1 traumatised by the events. My son [CKU] has also been irreparably damaged in particular to his self-confidence and has been - his boyhood dream of following in the family tradition of joining the army."

May I finish my statement, I have asked that I can, by a quote --
Q. Yes.
A. -- and it is this:

The world wi 77 not be destroyed by those who do evil, but by those who watch them without doing anything.

That is Albert Einstein.
Q. Thank you. Your Honour, I see the time. I only have about two minutes of questioning.

THE CHAIR: Do others have questions? I think it might be best if we take the 1 uncheon adjournment now which we will do until 2 o'clock.

LUNCHEON ADJOURNMENT

## UPON RESUMPTION

MS SHARP: Q. Ma'am, I just have a few clarifying questions for you, if I may. Can I take you, please, to paragraph 7 of your statement. You there speak about your hearing of rumours when you were living at Morpeth College. It is right that at that time you 1 ived at Morpeth College for three years, from 1979 to 1981?
A. Yes.
Q. Could you explain a little bit more about what these rumours were that you were hearing at the time?
A. They were sort of rumours about Robinson House and I think it was the - there were two stories, so it was the second storey and up in the - up there there was one end where it seemed to be that all the gay clergy, or not clergy but the gay students were all 1 iving, and so often
you were invited up for cups of tea and coffee, my husband and I, and you just heard rumours about who was with who and what was going on and it was just general knowledge, basically. They just, you know, talked about it openly, and the rumours from other students about "Have you heard what's going on up in Robinson House?", and, you know, you did. It was just a general culture, what was there.
Q. Just to clarify, Robinson House is part of

Morpeth College?
A. It was, yes.

THE CHAIR: Q. How many people were in Robinson House? A. It was - I don't know how many were in Robinson House, but it was a full college, 30 students, and lots of children at that time on campus.
Q. Can I ask you about paragraph 8. There you say you spoke to the principal.
A. Yes.
Q. Who was the principal?
A. It was either the vice principal or the principal and at that time, when [REDACTED] was at college, it would have been - John Sutherland was the vice and then I can't remember his name, Lance Johnston.
Q. In your statement you say you were concerned that this was happening amongst people who would be ordained.
A. Yes.
Q. You say you recall that he said when it came time for these people to be ordained, they would have to take a vow of celibacy.
A. Yes.
Q. Perhaps I should tell you, I was brought up as an Anglican, I didn't understand that Anglican clergy took a vow of celibacy.
A. Well, no, that was the confusion when I left the office and I was walking away feeling a bit stupid for going there and that didn't add up to me.
Q. Am I right, my understanding is, Anglican clergy don't take a vow of celibacy?
A. No.
Q. But would I also understand that the Anglican teaching would be that there shouldn't be sexual activity outside of marriage?
A. Yes.
Q. Would that be right?
A. At that time, yes. Yes.
Q. How did what was happening sit with your understanding of what was to be expected?
A. Well, that was - that was the question I had because, you know, how does that - that teaching seemed to be contrary to what was actually happening on campus.

MS SHARP: Q. Could it be that the vow of celibacy that you were referring to was instead a vow of chastity? Was that the nature of the conversation?
A. No, it wasn't.
Q. Could I ask you just a little bit more about paragraph 7 of your statement. Of course, there is a distinction between being a homosexual on the one hand and a paedophile, on the other?
A. Yes.
Q. In your statement you refer to one of the priests being spoken about as preferring youngsters, that person being Peter Rushton. Could you say a little bit more about that, please?
A. Yes. That name stuck in my, if you like, subconscious over the years and what brought it to the conscious was that when I went the second time to Morpeth and we - the first - I think it was the first community eucharist we had in the chapel, with a dinner following, I walked in and sat down and I looked to the principal's stall and there was Peter Rushton sat and I suddenly said to myself, "What's rolly-polly doing there?", I don't know where that name came from. "Well, perhaps those rumours weren't true; he's still got a licence", but the rumours which were going around at St John's in 1979ish, during those three years, was that Peter Rushton liked little boys.
Q. Are you clear that the rumour was to "little boys" rather than young adult males?
A. It was youngsters, yes.
Q. Tell me, while you were at Morpeth between 1979 to

1981, did you ever hear Morpeth being referred to as Satan's Playground?
A. It did have a nickname and I couldn't remember it, but I can't be - I can't definitely say it was the one which was spoken about yesterday, but there was a nickname for Morpeth that, in a sense, it was a bit like the Devil's Playground because anything seemed to go on there and it was acceptable. It was an awful place to live.
I mean, I'd come from country Victoria in Ballarat to live on campus because my ex-husband was training for the priesthood for the Ballarat Diocese and so I was new to Newcastle anyway and it was - you know, living on campus with a young daughter at the time and all these other children and everything, it was, you know, there was all sorts happening. There was I suppose a bit like everything was emphasised. You had people running off with other people's wives and disappearing off college. I mean, it was - I couldn't believe all this stuff was happening on this college and there didn't seem to be much control in the place, I think that's how I would describe it

MS SHARP: Thank you. I have no further questions.
THE CHAIR: Does anyone else have any questions? Could I see who are all the people who want to ask questions? Does anyone suggest who should go first?

MR HEAZLEWOOD: I don't mind, your Honour.
THE CHAIR: You would like to go first.
MR HEAZLEWOOD: I'11 be short, yes.

## <EXAMINATION BY MR HEAZLEWOOD:

MR HEAZLEWOOD: Q. Madam, my name is Heazlewood, I appear for Bishop Farran. I just wanted to ask you something about your involvement with him in about mid-2006. Do you agree that's when he came here? A. Yes.
Q. It wasn't long after he arrived that you had a meeting with him to discuss your displeasure about the way you were being treated. Do you agree with that?
A. I can't 100 per cent agree with that because I cannot remember the meeting.
Q. Pardon?
A. I can't 100 per cent agree with that because I cannot remember the meeting.
Q. I will put it then to you directly, that you did meet with him in October 2005 and you discussed your problems and, in your presence, he tried to contact Mr Gerber who was then the head of Professional Standards; do you recall that?
A. Was that 2005 or 2006 , because you said 2006 in the beginning.
Q. Did I?
A. Yes.
Q. A11 right. I meant 2005. If I said 2006, I'm sorry.
A. That's a possibility but I can't, again --
Q. Okay. Thereafter, you and he exchanged emails from time to time about the progress of the court case?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. Not at all?
A. As far as I remember, I did not.
Q. Well, didn't you, as it were, keep him in the loop by informing him what had happened from time to time when the case was adjourned?
A. No, because I was told that I wasn't to discuss the case before it went to court, so I wasn't - I was being very careful about speaking about it and in any detail to anybody.
Q. It is not a matter of discussing the case, it's just what happened about it being adjourned.
A. I don't remember it being adjourned.
Q. The case went on for some months, didn't it, or years even?
A. It depends what you mean by that.
Q. Do you recall then an occasion you were sent flowers by him?
A. Yes, and I wasn't - I didn't appreciate them. That was done just before the court case because, basically, I had not had much correspondence at all. I certainly did not get any help whatsoever, and I did not receive a
support person to come alongside John and I. And to send somebody a bunch of flowers, I could have flushed them down the 100, to tell you the truth, I thought it was most insensitive.
Q. Let me say that was not the way you responded to him in an email after you received them, was it?
A. Maybe I'm polite.
Q. A11 right. In short, I am suggesting to you that you contacted him shortly after his arrival and, thereafter, there were a number of emails between you and he and, indeed, a number of meetings?
A. There was certainly a lot of meetings and if you really want to go into the meetings with Bishop Farran, it is probably not a good place to go, because I found him I was harassed and bullied by this man and I would not wish to meet with him.
Q. Madam, let me put it to you then very clearly, that there were a number of emails you sent him that expressed a contrary view?
A. Right, okay, maybe, but $I$ have not any recollection of those emails.

MR HEAZLEWOOD: Yes, thank you.
THE WITNESS: And I keep all my emails.
THE CHAIR: Yes, who is next?
<EXAMINATION BY MR WILLIAMS:
MR WILLIAMS: Q. Madam, my name is Williams and I appear for Bruce Hockman. I just want to take you to some evidence you gave in paragraph 57 of your statement, if that can be brought up. Do you have that there?
A. Yes.
Q. I think it is undated but it appears to have been signed on 21 July 2016. It is undated at the top.
A. Yes .
Q. Do you agree?
A. Yes.
Q. In paragraph 57, you deal with a conversation you had
with Jean Sanders who at the time was the Chair of the Committee For Allegations of Sexual Misconduct or CASM; is that correct?
A. That's right, yes.
Q. This conversation was in relation to a meeting she told you she had attended with Bishop Herft and others, including Bruce Hockman, who was at the time the diocesan manager; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. You obviously were not present at any such meeting? This is a conversation you had with Jean Sanders about a meeting that she was relaying to you information about? A. I think that's what is written here, yes.
Q. Yes. So what you know about this supposed meeting is based only on what you were told by Jean Sanders in this phone call you refer to; is that correct?
A. That's what it says.
Q. You also say you don't recall the month or year you had that conversation, although you believe it was towards the end of Jean Sanders' time at the Diocese; is that correct?
A. That's what I recall, yes.
Q. It was clearly after the report had been made about [CKU]'s abuse, the report that had been made to Singleton Police, it was clearly after that, wasn't it, the first report?
A. Yes.
Q. What you recall being told by Jean Sanders was that she had asked at that meeting why there was no record or file on your son, [CKU], and the abuse that he had suffered. Do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. In your statement, paragraph 57, you then say this:

She was told by Bishop Herft ...
Again quotation:
Oh, we don't have to worry about this case. It's never going to get to court.

That is what is recorded in your statement, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. In other words, you clearly say in your statement that that comment was attributed to Bishop Herft by Ms Sanders; is that correct?
A. Yes, but I think there was an amendment to that.

THE CHAIR: That's what I understood. She gave evidence about this.

MR WILLIAMS: Q. I will take the witness firstly to what was in her statement, if your Honour please.

THE CHAIR: Yes, but then she has told us, and told you, that she wished to amend the statement.

MR WILLIAMS: Yes.
Q. I will take you to your evidence in respect of the amendment that you made when giving your evidence before lunch. I think it was at transcript 16592 from about line 36. There you talked about, in that evidence, there being a general consensus in that meeting and that it was agreed whoever mentioned the quotation "Oh, we don't have to worry about this case. It's never going to get to court", you referred in your evidence before lunch to there being some sort of consensus. Do you remember that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. You gave evidence to this effect, "It was agreed that", and then you said, "whoever mentioned", and you gave the quotation. Do you recall giving that evidence?
A. Yes.
Q. And then you said:

I think it would have been Bruce Hockman.
That's the memory that has come back to me.
Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Well, what did you mean?
A. It means I suppose that I - if you like, I've been waking up rather early in the morning and going over what

I'm going to have to do here with the Royal Commission, in my head, and basically, that came back into my head, that perhaps it wasn't Bishop Herft that said that but it was Bruce Hockman. Do you ever have memories what come back?
Q. Madam, can I suggest to you this: you were very specific in your statement that you had been told by Ms Sanders that those words had been attributed to Bishop Herft, do you agree with that?
A. Yes.
Q. What you say today in your evidence is that as you sit there, a recollection came back to you that you think it would have been Bruce Hockman who said that?
A. It was not while I was sat here, it was while - it was a few days ago and that memory came back and I didn't know what to do with it, so I sought some advice whether I could amend that by putting the other name forward. But the general consensus which came from that meeting, which was conveyed to me, was that it was said and everyone agreed with that because I was a priest within the Diocese.
Q. All right. You are saying now that the memory you have is that what you were told by Ms Sanders was that Bruce Hockman had said those words? Is that your memory? A. That's what's come back through my memory, yes.
Q. But you're certainly not entirely clear about that, given the way you have couched that evidence, even when seeking to amend your statement?
A. Let's put it this way: the general consensus was that everyone agreed with whoever said that, no-one disagreed, right, by that, what was said.
Q. But you've --
A. If there had been, then I wouldn't have been told it that way, would I?
Q. But you certainly are unsure then, as you sit there now, as to who it was you were told those words had been attributed to by Ms Sanders, is that the position?
A. I would say I'm pretty sure now because, as I said, in the amendment there was another person who was present in that meeting and it was Graeme Lawrence, and that was the other name what came back to me.

MR WILLIAMS: May it please the Commission, that's the
cross-examination.

## <EXAMINATION BY MR HEALY:

MR HEALY: Q. I have a question. I am Healy on behalf of Bishop Herft. Can we go to paragraph 44 of your statement. In paragraph 44 you refer to Bishop Herft and say that he'd been informed that information about the duty of care by the Ombudsman?
A. $\mathrm{Mmm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Is it possible that Bishop Herft told you that he had been informed of that information by Robert Caddies?
A. No, I don't recall that.
Q. Is it possible that he told you that he had been told that by Robert Caddies?
A. Not what I can recall.
Q. What you can recall being told is that he informed you about this duty of care?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you specifically recall he told you that he had been told that by the Ombudsman?
A. Yes.

MR HEALY: No further questions.
<EXAMINATION BY MR HARPER:
MR HARPER: Q. [CKW], can you hear me?
A. Oh, yes.
Q. Thank you. Could I take you, firstly --

THE CHAIR: I am sorry, can you tell everyone who you are and who you appear for?

MR HARPER: I'm sorry, yes.
Q. My name is Harper and I appear for the person you refer to as the Reverend Bruce Hoare, now Bruce Hoare.
A. Oh, yes.
Q. Thank you. Could I take you, firstly, to paragraph 23 of your statement. Do you have that in front of you?
A. I will in a minute.
Q. Thank you. Could I take you to the second half of that paragraph on page 6. Do you see the pagination at the top of the page?
A. Mmm-hmm.
Q. You speak of:

Reverend Hoare customarily had various boys residing with him at the Cathedral complex at Christ Church Cathedral clergy house.
A. Yes.
Q. You then go on to say: I'd heard regular rumours around 2002 that Bruce Hoare was bisexual and it was inferred he liked younger boys.
A. Yes.
Q. When you state that, "Reverend Hoare customarily had various boys residing with him", was that a rumour you had heard or did you actually have firsthand knowledge of it?
A. Actually, it was Ian Barrack who told me that when he was trying to get [CKU] to come and reside with him and his wife.
Q. Right.
A. And when I put my put foot down he tried saying,
"Well, he could go to the Newcastle Grammar School and stay with Bruce Hoare because he often has young boys staying with him."
Q. The entirety of information came from --
A. Ian Barrack.
Q. -- Ian Barrack. When you say that you'd heard rumours --
A. There was always rumours around in Newcastle Diocese.
Q. About everyone, it seems.
A. No, ones which were a little bit - yes.
Q. Those that were perhaps homosexual, to start with?
A. No, not necessarily.
Q. When you say "I'd heard rumours around 2002 that Bruce Hoare was bisexual and it was inferred he liked younger boys", does that mean, are you saying that you inferred?
A. No, I didn't infer it at all.
Q. Who inferred it?
A. It was a conversation who $I$ honestly cannot remember who I had with, but it could have been a number of people because often you had coffee around tables with people and conversations like that happened.
Q. I would just like now to ask you a few questions, if I may, about what seems to be your perception about the lack of pastoral care offered to you by Bruce Hoare. A. I wouldn't have wanted any pastoral care from Bruce Hoare.
Q. Okay. Can we start with paragraph 31. On 16 November 1998, you took to him the figurine that had been handed to you?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. You say that his immediate reaction was that he laughed?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. He was certainly taken aback, I suggest to you?
A. No, he wasn't taken aback, he laughed.
Q. He wasn't taken aback by the figurine that you produced?
A. No, he sat and looked and laughed.
Q. I suggest to you that he didn't laugh?
A. I think you're wrong.
Q. In any event, you, on your version, criticised him and he immediately changed tack?
A. No.
Q. No?
A. No.
Q. What do you say happened?
A. I walked in and I produced it and he laughed and I said, "It's no laughing matter." And then I was very angry that he laughed because it had been given to a 13-year-old boy, and then he changed his tune and got a little bit quieter.
Q. Was it then discussed between you and he that the best plan of attack was for you to confront Barrack with the figurine?
A. Not then, that was later. He said he would take it with him and go and give it to Bishop Herft and show Bishop Roger and he would get back to me, and I left him with the sheep toy and I went back home.
Q. You also discussed at that meeting the pornographic videos and magazines that had been shown to your son, did you not?
A. No, because I didn't know about it at that time.
Q. Whether the figurine went to Bishop Herft and then came back or whether it didn't go there, eventually it was returned to you and you did confront Barrack?
A. It came back about - it could have been a week, maybe 10 days later and I was asked to see Bruce again and I went in and he had the figurine with him and he said, "I've shown it to Bishop Roger and we both feel that you should give it back to Ian Barrack."
Q. Confront him with it?
A. Give it back to him, and confront him in the sense of saying, "This is inappropriate to be giving this to a 13-year-old boy."
Q. On that timeline we're up to about the end of November - 16 November, the first meeting with Bruce Hoare, and then a couple of weeks later the figurine goes to Roger Herft and back to you?
A. I'm not sure on those dates, they could be a little bit off, because it all happened with Ian Barrack going to Armidale, coming back, all that stuff going on at college. He went away for a weekend down to Sydney and I believe it was that weekend, after the weekend, when he came back he gave the toy to [CKU], right? So, I mean, one of the questions I suppose I could ask you is that if the selection conference which Ian was supposed to be in when I gave him the toy, maybe you could trace the time from that.
Q. Can I take you to paragraph 31 where you place the date 16 November 1998. The reason I am raising these dates is to take you to what action occurred from your first meeting with Bruce Hoare.
A. Well, I've just told you what happened.
Q. Okay. So 16 November is your date that you say the first meeting occurred with Bruce Hoare, is that --
A. I didn't keep diaries at college, so it's approximately around that time.
Q. Okay. And then sometime later you were asked to confront Barrack with the figurine?
A. Yes.
Q. Then in December did you not travel with [CKU] to England?
A. Yes, before I started at Forster.
Q. You went overseas I think for some weeks in December, extending after Christmas, to some weeks in January?
A. I went to England after I was ordained in November.
Q. And remained there for how long?
A. Where?
Q. In England.
A. I can't honestly recall whether it was three weeks, four weeks. I know I couldn't move into the clergy house at Forster because it wasn't ready and so it was maybe suggested that I could go - I should go over to England so he could spend Christmas with his father.
Q. What I suggest is that the understanding you relayed to Bruce Hoare was that you would be away overseas during December and into the new year?
A. Yes, but the meeting was before I was ordained.
Q. Correct. Moving into the new year, the next meeting between you and Bruce Hoare occurred in March of that year, I suggest to you, at your house?
A. It could have been in Tuncurry.
Q. It was Bruce Hoare that contacted you to meet with you, as you say, in Tuncurry at your house, I suggest? A. Yes, because he was there - at that stage he was
supposed to be looking - I suppose not looking after new ordinands and so I saw that as a visit by him to see how I was going in Tuncurry.
Q. Had you been asked at the original meeting by Bruce Hoare, this is pre-Christmas, to obtain a statement --
A. Are you still talking about the sheep figurine?
Q. At that meeting to obtain statements from your daughter and son-in-1aw who had spoken to [CKU] about Barrack's involvement with him, to find out what they knew about the extent of the abuse? Do you remember that?
A. I remember that my son-in-law said that he and my daughter had both written statements about that incident, but they had sent it in to Bishop Herft.
Q. For completeness, I suggest to you that you provided those statements to Hoare at your house in March in Tuncurry?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. At that stage the totality of what you and the family understood to be Barrack's abuse was the figurine, and nothing more, according to you?
A. At that stage, yes.
Q. We're now up to March of 1999. Then there was a meeting with Roger Herft and others, including Bruce Hoare, in May of 1999 --
A. Yes.
Q. -- where the subject of Barrack's abuse was discussed?
A. Yes.
Q. And then --
A. But in a sense, yes, it was abuse about the figurine and we met with DoCS.
Q. That's right. There was supposed to be a police officer there, according to paragraph 41 of your statement. Do you remember whether there was a police officer at that meeting?
A. There possibly was.
Q. In any event, after that meeting, you say that that's when Bruce Hoare seemed to spend more time with you and
every time he did, he'd raise the issue of [CKU]?
A. What I'm saying is that I got visits from Bruce Hoare both in Singleton and at Forster and on some occasions he took me out for lunch as a social occasion to talk about the parish, yes, but then it would always turn to "Well, how's [CKU], what's he doing? Where is he?", this sort of thing, and I just didn't like that conversation. Is he you know, is he speaking about Ian, is he - what's this? You know, he was trying to find out information.
Q. You weren't able to provide any more information?
A. I wasn't prepared to provide anymore information.
Q. Did you have anymore information concerning any
further allegations of abuse at that stage?
A. What are you talking about now?
Q. Abuse by Barrack.
A. By Barrack?
Q. Yes.
A. To who?
Q. [CKU].
A. No, because at that stage [CKU] had not disclosed --

MS SHARP: I would ask for a non-publication order over that name.

THE CHAIR: I am sure everyone has noted that a slip has occurred. Yes.

MS SHARP: There has been a cut to the live feed.
THE CHAIR: Yes, thank you.
MR HARPER: $Q$. We will move forward three years to May 2002 and that's when [CKU] did disclose the extent of the abuse --
A. Yes.
Q. -- by Barrack on him. That's when you did two things: firstly, you decided there and then to go to the police, and you did?
A. I didn't decide to go there and then to the police.
Q. Right. Who did?
A. He did.
Q. [CKU] decided to go to the police; you supported him in that decision?
A. I certainly did. I was his mother.
Q. And the other thing you did, once you had been told the full extent of the abuse, is ring Bruce Hoare to inform him?
A. Yes, because he was in charge of ordinands and young and priests who were in their first and second year of training and so I thought it was appropriate to ring him and tell him what had happened and he would then talk to Bishop Herft.
Q. Right.
A. That seemed the right procedure, what I understood to happen.
Q. I don't disagree. Can I just finally now take you to paragraph 67 for the sake of completeness. You say there that --
A. Can I get there first, please.
Q. Certainly. The police were coming to interview him concerning [CKU]'s revelations.
A. Yes .
Q. Allegations.
A. Yes.
Q. And he was scared?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that the word you mean?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. How did he appear scared?
A. I was in a meeting for youth down on the coast with another lady priest and some other people and my mobile went. I went into the courtyard, answered my mobile and it was Bruce Hoare and he said, "I've just had a phone call", I think it was, to inform him that a police officer was coming to interview him and, "What do they want to talk to me about?", and I said "I don't know", and he said, "We11, I'm scared, what do I say?". I said, "I don't know", and I terminated the conversation.
Q. Finally, Bruce Hoare has no recollection --
A. I bet.
Q. -- of being asked by Dean Graeme to speak to you about what was happening in the case. Are you sure that happened?
A. It definitely happened. I'd finished saying morning prayer in my Church and I walked across to my office and the phone was ringing, I picked it up and it was Bruce Hoare.
Q. You understand I don't say it didn't happen.

Bruce Hoare says he has no recollection of that happening?
A. Right. Well, what happened was - I said who I was and he said, "It's Bruce"; I said, "Hi Bruce". He said, "The Dean has" - he'd just finished morning prayer too. The Dean had asked him to give me a ring to find out what was happening with the court case. I won't tell you what I said to him because it's not very polite, and I hung up.

MR HARPER: Yes, thank you.
<EXAMINATION BY MS DAVID:
MS DAVID: Q. My name is Ms David and I represent Roger Dyer. You may have heard his evidence a few days ago. Just a few brief questions.

THE CHAIR: Ms David, I am not sure that microphone is picking you up.

MR DAVID: Oh, sorry.
Q. Just to repeat that, I am David and I represent Roger Dyer who gave evidence the day before yesterday. I just noted, when you gave evidence, you said that you were expected to toe the line because you had been employed by the Diocese. Would you agree that you were very dependent upon the Bishop's approval of you to continue practising the ministry that you loved?
A. Yes.
Q. If you didn't toe the line, you risked never being given another position, or being sidelined from your position, would you agree with that?
A. Yes, and I think if I - it would be really hard for me

other than very polite to a Bishop?
A. Oh, yes, because you are - there's a - I suppose this is where, in a sense, you can get into conflict of interest. One, I'm a priest and, two, I was a mother.
Q. Yes.
A. You have obedience to your Bishop and I couldn't be obedient to my Bishop.
Q. Bishops are very powerful figures in a Diocese, aren't they?
A. Oh, yes, very. Yes.

MS DAVID: Thank you.
THE CHAIR: Anyone else? No. Ms Sharp?
MS SHARP: Nothing arising.
THE CHAIR: Yes, thank you for your evidence.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE CHAIR: You are excused.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
<THE WITNESS WITHDREW
MS SHARP: Can I tender some documents before I call my next witness, your Honour.

The first of these is a statement of a witness given the pseudonym [COH], dated 3 August 2016. I am told it is reproduced at tab 40AA of the Commission's bundle. It has been served upon the parties. I tender that document.

THE CHAIR: Is it already in the bundle?
MS SHARP: I am told that it is in the bundle.
THE CHAIR: It is already in evidence, is it?
MS SHARP: No, this is the statements bundle, so it is not in evidence yet.

THE CHAIR: I see. It will become exhibit 42-028.

# EXHIBIT \#42-028 STATEMENT OF [COH] DATED 03/08/2016 

MS SHARP: Next I tender the statement of Tim Mawson, dated 3 July 2016. That also has been served upon the parties. I am told that it is in the Commission's bundle of statements at tab 33A.

THE CHAIR: That will be exhibit 42-029.
EXHIBIT \#42-029 STATEMENT OF TIM MAWSON DATED 03/07/2016
MS SHARP: The next statement I will hand up, this is a statement of Robert Leslie Wall, dated 3 August 2016. It has been served on the parties but it is not in the Commission's bundle.

THE CHAIR: That will be exhibit 42-030.
EXHIBIT \#42-030 STATEMENT OF ROBERT LESLIE WALL DATED 03/08/2016

THE CHAIR: By the way, are you proposing to call Mr Wall?
MS SHARP: I will see if arrangements can be made.
THE CHAIR: No, does anyone want him called?
MS SHARP: I haven't had the opportunity to discuss that with parties as yet. This statement has just come in.

THE CHAIR: Very well.
MS SHARP: I will now tender a document that's not in the documentary tender that has occurred to date. This is a photocopy of an extract of a 1975 Register of Services. It is a redacted document.

THE CHAIR: Is this the document about which there has been evidence previously?

MS SHARP: Yes, there has been some discussion. I expect the next witness will be asked about this.

THE CHAIR: This is the document that has been previously discussed, is it?

MS SHARP: Yes, it is.

THE CHAIR: It is almost impossible to read in this form.
MS SHARP: Yes. I might see if I can have my solicitors prepare an A3 version of this document.

THE CHAIR: I think something needs to be done.
MS SHARP: Yes, your Honour.
THE CHAIR: I would also like to see the original.
MS SHARP: Yes, I have an unredacted copy that I can have made available in A3 size as well. I understand that the Commission is not in possession of the original.

THE CHAIR: We should get it.
MS SHARP: I will see if arrangements can be made.
THE CHAIR: They will be made.
MS SHARP: Yes, your Honour.
THE CHAIR: We want the original. Yes, very well. Who has the original at the moment?

MS SHARP: I understand it is in the possession of the NSW Police at the moment.

THE CHAIR: Do you need a subpoena?
MR TEMBY: We will seek to make arrangements --
THE CHAIR: Do you need a summons? I will issue a summons.

MR TEMBY: I don't think we need a summons. I am sure you will if you need to.

THE CHAIR: I will.
MR TEMBY: I am sure you won't need to. We will do everything that needs to be done to get it. With respect, and without wishing to sound presumptuous, we will need to know that we can get it back because the police will need it back.

THE CHAIR: I will promise you, Mr Temby.
MR TEMBY: Yes. Thank you.
EXHIBIT \#42-031 PHOTOCOPY OF AN EXTRACT OF THE 1975 REGISTER OF SERVICES

MS SHARP: I call Keith Allen. Your Honour and the Commissioners will find a copy of Mr Allen's statement at tab 1 of the volume of statements.
<KEITH WILLIAM ALLEN, sworn:
[2.56pm]
<EXAMINATION BY MS SHARP:
MS SHARP: Q. Mr Allen, could you state your full name to the Royal Commission?
A. William Keith Allen.
Q. Your address is known to those assisting the Royal Commission?
A. I'm not sure if the Commission has my new address, ma'am.
Q. Arrangements can be made to provide that to the Commission; is that the case?
A. Yes.
Q. You sent a letter to the Royal Commission dated 27 June 2016?
A. Yes.
Q. With that letter you enclosed what you describe as statements relating to Stephen Gray, [CKN] and [CKC]? A. Yes.
Q. Are the contents of that letter and those enclosed statements true and correct to the best of your knowledge?
A. Yes .

MS SHARP: I tender that document and the attachments.
THE CHAIR: Together they will become exhibit 42-032.
EXHIBIT \#42-032 LETTER SENT BY KEITH WILLIAM ALLEN TO THE ROYAL COMMISSION, WITH ATTACHMENTS, DATED 27/06/2016

MS SHARP: Q. Mr Allen, I understand that you wish to make one correction to a date?
A. Yes, that's so.
Q. Where will we make that correction?
A. I haven't got here the hard copies of the statement.
Q. Is it right that you wish to make an amendment to a date on the last page?
A. That is so, at the top, the first line.
Q. Yes.
A. There's a typographical error, I believe. It should be "1990", on reflection, and on the last line, "1998" should be inserted, not "1989".
Q. For the purpose of preparing that attachment, did you have available to you any documents to assist you in remembering the dates?
A. I looked at the yearbooks of the Diocese of Newcastle to ascertain that my client was appointed to the Parish of Wyong and that was shown in the yearbook.
Q. Is that the only document you had available to you for the purpose of preparing this attachment?
A. That's so.
Q. How can you be so sure, at the distance of this many years, that the date of 16 February 1990 was the date upon which Reverend Stephen Gray was charged?
A. I looked at, I believe, a yearbook to show that he resigned at a particular time. I believe it was 16 February 1989 but I cannot be exactly certain.
Q. You've just corrected your statement so that it should now read 16 February 1990.
A. I'm sorry, yes.
Q. Is your evidence that you looked at a yearbook and it confirmed that he resigned on a particular day?
A. There is a date in the yearbook, I believe.
Q. You on 1 y wrote this statement on around 27 June this year?
A. That's so.
Q. It was at about that time you looked at the yearbook?
A. That's correct.
Q. And you still have a copy of that yearbook?
A. Yes, I have yearbooks from about 1960 to 2014.
Q. Would you be so kind as to bring that yearbook to the Commission on Monday?
A. I am happy to do so.
Q. Thank you, sir. Can I take you back to the first attachment to your statement, that is, the second page. You're here recounting some details in relation to [CKC]. You of course know the real name of [CKC]?
A. I am aware.
Q. Yes. Did you have any documents available to you when you were preparing this attachment to your letter?
A. I had the indictment sheet showing the dates set out in items 1, 2, 3 and 4 and that document has survived.
Q. I beg your pardon?
A. That document is held by me.
Q. You have the indictment. Do you have any other documents relating to [CKC]?
A. I have the majority of the file in connection with the trial.
Q. When you say "the majority of the file", is that the solicitor's file or some other kind of file?
A. No, it's the file that was used by me in connection with the trial of [CKC].
Q. Thank you. And you still possess the majority of that file?
A. I believe most of the file is in a box. I am not absolutely able to say that every piece of paper is in the box.
Q. May I take it that you reviewed some documents in that file before you came to give evidence here today?
A. No.
Q. Have you reviewed any documents in relation to [CKC] before you've come to give evidence today?
A. I looked at the indictment sheet and cursorily looked
at some notes in relation to the evidence given in connection with the trial.
Q. Have you looked at a copy of the register of services before you came here today for the purpose of giving evidence?
A. No.

MS SHARP: Can I ask you about the second attachment to your letter which is on the next page. This relates to [CKM] and at the bottom of that - I beg your pardon, can I ask for a non-publication order over that?

THE CHAIR: I am sorry.
MS SHARP: I have inadvertently said a name. It is, in fact, [CKM].

THE CHAIR: Yes, very well. I don't think it has made it to air, has it? No.

MS SHARP: I am told not.
Q. May I direct your attention, please, Mr Allen, to the final paragraph. You say:

I have not acted further for [CKM].
At any time have you acted for [CKM] for the purpose of seeking compensation against the Diocese of Newcastle? A. No. After the matter, the criminal matter was dealt with, I provided [CKM] and both his parents with a letter that I could not act, as, at that stage, I was appointed a Trustee of the Diocese.
Q. Thank you. Mr Allen, you've mentioned that you still possess the majority of files in the [CKC] matter. Would you be so kind as to bring those to the Royal Commission on Monday?
A. I shall endeavour to.

MS SHARP: Thank you.
THE CHAIR: Q. I think we would like you to do that. Is there any reason why you can't?
A. No, sir. I will bring them.

THE CHAIR: Thank you.
MS SHARP: Q. Mr Allen, it is correct that you're a solicitor?
A. Yes.
Q. You're still on the Roll of Solicitors?
A. I am.
Q. How long have you practised as a solicitor?
A. Since, I think, May 1971.
Q. Is it fair to describe you as having provided long and significant service in the Diocese of Newcastle?
A. I have been on a number of diocesan committees over the years. I was elected to Diocesan Council and then went off Diocesan Council for a period of time and then went back. I served as a Trustee for 25 years, my term finishing in, I think, November 2014. I was the Chairman of Committees of the Synod for a number of years and on various other Diocesan committees.
Q. Is it fair to describe you as having provided a long and significant service to the Diocese?
A. That's surely for others to make the decision about. I have been continually on committees in the Diocese of Newcastle.
Q. Do you think it's a fair description?
A. I don't necessarily think I should make that self-serving decision. It is for others to say whether I have served the Diocese adequately.
Q. Is it right that you've served as a member of the Diocesan Synod for around 44 years?
A. I was first elected to the Diocesan Synod when Bishop Shevill was the Bishop of Newcastle and that's I think '73 to '76.
Q. I will have to do the maths there. 43 years.

Thank you, Mr Temby has done it for me. When were you first on the Diocesan Council?
A. In my early years on Synod I was elected, my memory says, for a term, but I can't tell you when it was.
Q. For what may fairly be described as a very long period, you have variously served as a member of the

Diocesan Council; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. A member of the Diocesan Synod; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And also a member of the Committee of Trustees for the Diocese?
A. Yes.
Q. In simple terms, the Trustees look after the physical assets of the Diocese?
A. The money and the assets and the insurance.

THE CHAIR: Q. In that time have you acted as the solicitor for the Diocese?
A. Yes, I acted as the solicitor in a conveyancing matter when the Diocesan solicitors were selling their freehold office to the Registry. There was a discussion by the Trustees under the bishop of the day. I offered --
Q. You've acted on that occasion?
A. I acted on that occasion.
Q. Have you acted on any other occasions?
A. I went to the first Inquiry on Fund Raising by Charities because I was, I think at that stage, the Chair of the Anglican Savings Development Fund.
Q. Have you ever given advice to a bishop in relation to any matter?
A. No, but I've probably had discussions where bishops have asked me questions.
Q. We11, did you respond to the questions by giving advice?
A. I would have made comments to bishops over the years when I was invited to comment about matters.
Q. And by "comment", I take it, you gave them advice, you expressed your view; would that be right?
A. That's so, sir.
Q. Sorry?
A. That is so.
Q. And do I take it you did that over many years for many
bishops; would that be right?
A. Yes. I mean I was on the Synod for something like --
Q. No, let's talk about the advice you've given to
bishops. A number of bishops over many years you have given advice to; would that be right?
A. Yes, it would.
Q. Yes. Would I be right in thinking that you have on occasions helped bishops to settle the contents of letters; would that be right?
A. On1y in respect of the reference in respect of

Stephen Gray by Bishop Holland; that is my memory, sir.
Q. You've never otherwise helped a bishop to settle the content of any letter whatsoever; is that what you say?
A. Not as far as I can remember, sir.
Q. What about the content of any other documents, apart from a letter, have you ever given advice to a bishop as to the content of any other document, a report, a minute or the like?
A. No, not the minutes of meetings. I was involved in the negotiations of a lease for the grammar school, which had some complexities about it, where the Trustees were leasing the grammar school, to the Newcastle Grammar School.
Q. Have bishops over the years asked you for advice from time to time?
A. Yes, I would - I'd say yes, sir.
Q. Can you give us an indication of the types of matters that you've been asked for advice about?
A. During Bishop Holland's time there was a priest in a particular diocese that was unlicensed and that issue came up and I was involved in the discussions with Bishop Holland and the then Registrar as to the licensing of this priest and as to the arranging of his affairs, because he was getting ready to retire, sir.
Q. Is that the only occasion you've been asked to give advice by a bishop?
A. Bishop Herft might have asked me what my views were or my opinion was about matters at Synod when the Synod was in recess for morning tea or afternoon tea.
Q. Otherwise, you've never been asked by a bishop to give advice about any other matter; is that right?
A. As far as I can remember so, sir.

MS SHARP: Q. Is it fair to say that during
Bishop Holland's tenure as Bishop, you had the ear of that Bishop?
A. I was appointed as a Trustee of the Diocese during the tenure of Bishop Holland. The Trustees are four people, I think, plus the Bishop.
Q. Is it fair to say that during the tenure of Bishop Holland, you had the ear of the Bishop?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were one of his confidants; correct?
A. Probably, yes.
Q. Is it fair to say that during the tenure of Bishop Herft, you had the ear of the Bishop?
A. I was the Trustee and the Chairman of Committees during the Episcopate of Bishop Herft for the whole of the time.
Q. Is it fair to say that during the tenure of Bishop Herft, you had the ear of the Bishop?
A. Yes, I'm happy to say, yes.
Q. Were you one of that Bishop's confidants?
A. Probably to a degree on certain things but I don't particularly know everything.
Q. Is it fair to say that you had the ear of Bishop Farran during his tenure as the Bishop of the Diocese of Newcastle?
A. I was the Chairman of Committees and again a Trustee during Bishop Farran's Episcopate.
Q. Is it fair to say that you had the ear of Bishop Farran during his tenure as the Bishop of the Diocese of Newcastle?
A. Yes, I'd probably say so.
Q. Is it fair to say that you were one of Bishop Farran's confidants while he was the Bishop of the Diocese of Newcastle?
A. I would say, yes, on some occasions.
Q. Is it correct that you were a member of the Board of Investigation in the period 1993 to 1999 in the Diocese of Newcastle?
A. If those are the years, I would say yes. I was on that Board.
Q. For a significant period of time?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that some sort of precursor to what we now know as the Professional Standards Committee?
A. No. That was a Board established, my memory says, by the Synod to investigate where parishes were in financial difficulty and where their plant and equipment may not be up to scratch, and to check that parishes were in order before a new priest would be appointed, or if a parish was going backwards financially, to look at how that could be arrested.
Q. Were you a member of the Panel of Triers of the Diocese in the period 1996 to 2007 ?
A. I believe so.
Q. Is it fair to say that the Panel of Triers was the Newcastle Diocese equivalent of a Diocesan Tribunal?
A. Yes, but it never met, as far as I know, not while I was a member.
Q. It is right that these days in the Diocese the functions of the Panel of Triers has largely been supplanted by the Professional Standards Board?
A. I am not certain. I don't know whether the Ordinance for the Panel of Triers has been repealed. The Professional Standards people deal with their work in accordance with, I think, the document from General Synod that was adopted by the Diocese.
Q. As a person with longstanding involvement in the Diocese, has it always been your view that you've owed particular duties to the Diocese?
A. As the Chairman of Committees of the Synod I had a duty not only to the Bishop but to the whole of the Synod to facilitate, in my view, the running of the Synod and on occasions that meant assisting members of the Synod with preparation of motions.
Q. Has it always been your view that you have owed a duty to the Diocese to protect its interests?
A. I have looked after and been mindful of the interests of the Diocese but I've never had anything to do with the Professional Standards Board or group.
Q. Just returning to my question, has it always been your view that you have owed to the Diocese a duty to protect its interests?
A. Yes, the care of the Diocese.
Q. Has it always been your view that you've had a duty to act consistently with the obligations that the Diocese owes others?
A. Could you just repeat that, I'm sorry?
Q. Has it always been your view that you have had a duty to act consistently with the duties that the Diocese owes other people?
A. Yes, in respect of fairness, yes.
Q. I will take you to one particular obligation and I'11 take you to a particular document to make this concrete.
Could I ask that Mr Allen be shown the document at tab 435? Could I have that enlarged somewhat. You will see there is a document entitled "Principles and Procedures for Dealing with Sexual Harassment by Ministers in the Diocese of Newcastle". Could we scroll down. You will see the date of that document at the bottom is October 1993?
A. Yes.
Q. May I take it that you're familiar with this document?
A. No, not particularly, no.
Q. Is there any reason why you're not familiar with this policy of the Diocese?
A. I have not been involved in the sexual matters and I'm not certain whether that document was a document that was agreed to by the Synod; I don't know.
Q. Could I just show you a particular page. Could I go to pinpoint reference 0062. I might need that scrolled down a little bit, please. Would you scroll down a little further. Can I take your attention to paragraph 8. Do you see that policy there states:

The Diocese acknowledges its obligations to
offer pastoral support to all who seek or need it; this includes complainants, respondents, their families and parishes.
A. Yes.
Q. Have you been aware that this is a duty that the diocese has espoused itself as owing over a very large number of years?
A. Not particularly aware.
Q. Does this come as a surprise to you that the Diocese acknowledges that it has an obligation to offer pastoral support to complainants?
A. No.
Q. So that does not surprise you?
A. No.
Q. Has it been your understanding in the last three decades of dealing with diocesan matters that the Diocese does have an obligation to provide pastoral support to those who seek it, including complainants?
A. Yes, that's my understanding.
Q. Is it right that you have at all times tried to act in such a way so that you may discharge the obligations of the Diocese?
A. Yes.
Q. You are currently married to Dr Sandra Smith?
A. That's correct.
Q. How long have you been married to Dr Smith?
A. 2013.
Q. At all times that you have been married to $\operatorname{Dr}$ Smith, she has been a practising psychiatrist?
A. Up until April of this year.
Q. You, of course, know Mr Paul Rosser QC?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. When did you first meet Mr Rosser?
A. I first met Mr Rosser, I think, at the District Court at Gosford many, many, many years ago.
Q. Could we put a decade on it?
A. No, I am sorry. I practised at Gosford, at The Entrance since 1971, but I'm not certain, but it's many years ago.
Q. Would it be prior to 1990?
A. Yes, I would have thought so.
Q. At that time, to your knowledge, was Mr Rosser involved in the governance of the Diocese in any way?
A. My memory is that Mr Rosser was elected to the Synod of the Diocese, but I can't give you a time when.
Q. During the entirety of the time you've known

Mr Rosser, have you been aware that he has been involved in the governance of the Diocese?
A. Yes, he was the Deputy Chancellor and then the Chancellor for a period --
Q. Yes.
A. -- to the Bishop.
Q. And prior to that time?
A. And prior to that, I think he was a member of the Synod.
Q. Is it right that the yearbooks would disclose the times at which he was a member of the Synod?
A. That would be correct.
Q. Do the yearbooks also disclose the times that clergy are licensed in the Diocese?
A. I think the yearbook shows the date the priest comes to the diocese. If a priest then resigns there's a list of resignations normally published in the yearbook saying
(A) resigned on such and such a date; (B) resigned on such a such a date, or (C) has died on such and such a date.
Q. Do the yearbooks record when licensed clergy have moved between parishes?
A. Yes. The yearbook would show if Priest $X$ moved from parish A to parish B.
Q. The yearbook is not a difficult document to find within the diocesan records; is that fair?
A. I would have thought not. I mean, I don't know.
Q. Is it right that it is a fairly well-known document in relation to people who have governance roles within the diocese?
A. The yearbook is produced and was sent to every member of Synod each year. I think from 2014 it's now online and you have to download it.
Q. Do people involved in the Diocese have any kind of obligation to submit information to the Diocese so that it can produce the yearbook?
A. The parish returns of each parish are sent to the Diocese, I think in the first three months of the year, and that statistical information is then, I believe, transferred to the yearbooks.
Q. Thank you. I want to ask you now some questions about your relationship with Bishop Holland. May I take it you came to know him reasonably well during his tenure as Bishop of the Diocese of Newcastle?
A. Yes.
Q. I want to show you a document which is at tab 392 of the tender bundle. Have you had a look at the tender bundle, Mr Allen?
A. No. I had a brief look with my counsel.
Q. Are you aware that there are some documents in the tender bundle which are file notes prepared by Mr Cleary of conversations with you?
A. I wasn't until last night, and I was never told that they were to be recorded.
Q. Can I ask you to have regard to this one. You will see that this purports to be a file note of a meeting between you and Mr Cleary on 11 February 2015. You can take it from me that this was prepared by Mr Cleary. Could I take your attention please, sir, to pinpoint reference 0015.
A. It's very small.
Q. Yes. I think I've jumped --

THE CHAIR: Before we do that, could we just go back to the first page.

MS SHARP: Yes.

THE CHAIR: Q. As I understand the document, but please tell me if your understanding is the same, this is a meeting between you and John Cleary with two people, [CKM] and [CKN]; is that right?

MS SHARP: The redactions are rather difficult and I might have a different version made available to your Honour.

THE CHAIR: Q. What I want to understand is, this is a record of a meeting that you were asked to attend; is that right?
A. That would be my memory that Mr Cleary may have would have contacted me to come to Newcastle.
Q. He's the business manager?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And he has asked you to come to a meeting at the diocesan office?
A. That would be my memory, sir.
Q. In the course of that meeting, as this minute records, you gave advice; is that right?
A. There were discussions by Mr Cleary and I about a number of issues in relation to the Diocese.
Q. In which you gave advice?
A. Yes. If that's the correct term, yes.
Q. That's what the words say. In fact the word "advice" is used multiple, multiple times.
A. I had nothing to do with the preparation of that --
Q. I appreciate that. I am just asking whether or not the understanding that $I$ have of the minute is the correct understanding of what happened?
A. Those issues were discussed.

MS SHARP: Perhaps I could ask a question to clarify.
THE CHAIR: Sorry?
MS SHARP: Perhaps I could ask a question --
THE CHAIR: Q. No, no, no, could you answer my question? A. I'm sorry, I said that the word "advised" appears, but I was not the author of the document.
Q. No, but what I am asking you to do is confirm that the document is correct, you were giving advice upon multiple issues?
A. There were discussions which may have been interpreted as advice, yes.
Q. Well, that's a very oblique way of talking, Mr Allen.

Can't we just be frank. Were you not giving your opinion on various matters?
A. Yes.
Q. That's advice in ordinary language, isn't it?
A. Probably, yes.
Q. Why did you think you had been invited to this meeting and your advice was sought?
A. Mr Cleary invited me to look or discuss the issues set out in the memorandum prepared by him.
Q. You see, on that first page, if you look towards the bottom of the screen at the moment:

Allen advised that it will be a "small
claim" and that it will be resolved with a few letters.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. That sounds to me like legal advice; is that right?
A. I wouldn't, sir, put it as high as that.
Q. What else could it be: "a small claim", "resolved with a few letters", that's clearly legal advice, isn't it? It is your opinion as to the legal consequences --
A. Yes.
Q. That's advice, isn't it?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Mmm?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You are said to have advised that you were assisting Michael Daley with this claim; right? What was the assistance you were giving Michael Daley?
A. I don't think that interpretation is correct. I think Mr Cleary told me that he'd had correspondence from Michael Daley.
Q. The minute says:

Allen advised that he is assisting Michael Daley with this claim.

He goes on to say:
I found this strange that Allen was assisting a victim of abuse to sue the diocese when Allen is a board member of the diocese and Allen himself advised me that
he advised Mr and Mrs [CKM]'s parents years ago that they "can sue the diocese" but "I can't act for you".

Is that an accurate account of what happened at this meeting?
A. I made no notes. I believe that Mr Cleary raised the question of correspondence from Mr Daley.
Q. Yes, but the minute records you advising that it will be a small claim and that you were assisting Mr Daley with this claim?
A. No, I have not assisted Mr Daley and I --
Q. But that's what it says, isn't it?
A. I know what it says, sir, and --
Q. So you say that's just wrong, do you?
A. I say that the interpretation placed on the words - on those words - were not, I don't think, what was said.
Q. What this is talking about is a suit against the Diocese, Michael Daley is acting, you're in a position of advising the Diocese, as revealed by this minute, and you're in a direct position of conflict if the minute is correct, aren't you?
A. On the literal interpretation of the words it appears as such, sir.
Q. And in fact, it wouldn't be honest of a solicitor to act in that way, would it?
A. No, one can't act for both parties.
Q. No. Indeed, beyond being unprofessional, it would just be dishonest, wouldn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. The minute frames that position clearly. You say the minutes are wrong, do you?
A. I am relying entirely on my memory and I don't I didn't make any notes, I didn't know these notes were made, and I'd never seen them until I think yesterday.
Q. Do you say the minute is wrong, do you?

MR WATTS: With respect, I do object to this.
THE CHAIR: No, you can't. Please let him answer the question.
Q. Do you say the minutes are wrong?
A. It appears to me that they may be incorrect, in my memory.
Q. Is the minute reflecting the actual position as it happened at the time? We know you were here giving advice to the Diocese. Were you assisting Mr Daley?
A. No.

MR WATTS: I really must object. I have not objected to a number of questions your Honour has asked. Using the word "advice" in this minute cannot be, in my respectful submission, read as legal advice.

THE CHAIR: We can come to submissions later on but we are really --

MR WATTS: The document is self-evident. Mr Cleary himself says, "I advised this to Mr Allen". Mr Cleary wasn't giving advice to Mr Allen. He uses the word "advice" more than perhaps using the word "informed" or "stated".

THE CHAIR: Mr Watts, the document speaks for itself, but thank you.

MR WATTS: It does.
THE CHAIR: Thank you.
Q. Can we go back to it. Were you assisting Mr Daley with this claim?
A. No.
Q. You are quite sure about that, are you?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. So you say, in that respect, not only is the minute not accurately recording what you advised, but also it is wrong; is that right?
A. My memory is that the Diocesan Business Manager raised that Mr Daley was acting --
Q. No, the minute says:

Allen advised that he is assisting Michael Daley with this claim.

Now, as I understand it, you say that that is not an accurate recollection of the discussion at the meeting; is that right?
A. That's so.
Q. But was it, nevertheless, accurate as to the position that was actually occurring, that you were advising Michael Daley, or assisting Michael Daley with this claim? A. No, I didn't assist Mr Daley.

THE CHAIR: Very well. Yes, Ms Sharp.
MS SHARP: Q. Can I just clarify whether, to your knowledge, Michael Daley had any responsibility in assisting [CKM] make a claim against the Diocese? A. Can I just have that repeated? I'm sorry.
Q. To your knowledge, was Michael Daley a solicitor?
A. Yes.
Q. To your knowledge, was Michael Daley assisting [CKM] make a claim against the Diocese?
A. Yes. When I was a locum in 2015, Mr Daley alerted me of the file and took the file home to his house, while I was doing a locum.
Q. Is it right that you had dealings with Mr Daley about the claim he was making against the Diocese on behalf of
[CKM]?
A. [CKM]?
Q. Yes. Do you need to look at your list of pseudonyms?
A. On here it's - no, sorry. Mr [CKN], I became aware instructed Mr Daley, because when I went to do the locum in 2015, Mr Daley raised it with me.
Q. Sorry, let me break this down so I understand it correctly. Michael Daley was a solicitor who was assisting [CKM] make a claim against the Diocese?
A. I believe so.
Q. You were providing assistance to Mr Daley in making that claim?
A. No, as far as I know.
Q. Did you ever have conversations with Mr Daley in relation to that claim?
A. When I was to do the locum in 2015, Mr Daley raised the question of the file and he took it home while I was the locum there.
Q. Were you a locum at Mr Daley's practice?
A. Yes, in 2015.
Q. Did you have any involvement whatsoever in the making of [CKM]'s claim against the Diocese?
A. No.
Q. Can I draw your attention to that file note. Midway down the page, it is recorded:

Allen advised that it will be a "small claim" and that it will be resolved with a few letters.

Is that what you told Mr Cleary?
A. I can't remember what words were said. Mr --
Q. Just stop for a moment, please, Mr Allen. Can we strike that, please.
A. Sorry, [CKM]'s - my dealing with him in respect to the criminal matter led me to a conclusion that he was not a really confident person.
Q. Is it right that you advised Mr Cleary that your view
was that [CKM] would only be making a small claim?
A. I can't remember the words "small claim" being said, but I don't say they weren't said.
Q. At that time - we'll move away from the file note - it was your view that [CKM] would only be making a small claim?
A. I don't know whether the word "small claim" was discussed or not. I have no specific recollection one way or the other.
Q. You appeared to have some knowledge as at that time about the quantum of the claim that [CKM] was going to make from the Diocese; is that correct?
A. The nature of the criminal proceedings against [CKM] disclosed certain conduct by [CKN] - sorry, yes, [CKN].
Q. I am asking you about your knowledge as at around 11 February 2015. Is it right that you had an idea that [CKM] would only be making a small claim against the Diocese?
A. Information that I obtained from the criminal proceedings as to the conduct that [CKM] was subject to, in my view, meant that it was not a claim of the highest nature.
Q. Are you trying to suggest in that answer that the only basis you had for any knowledge about the quantum of the claim was your involvement in the criminal proceedings many, many years prior to 2015 ?
A. Yes.
Q. I see. I suggest to you that in fact you were assisting Michael Daley, solicitor, make the claim on behalf of [CKM] against the Diocese. What do you say to that?
A. No.
Q. Do you deny that all together?
A. Mr Daley - Mr Daley spoke to me about the claim but I have no record of what was said or anything. It was of a cursory nature in relation to the matter.
Q. What did Mr Daley speak to you about?
A. The nature of the victim's - the nature of the victim's injuries or what the perpetrator did to him.
Q. Were you in any way leaning upon Mr Daley or [CKM] to make a claim of a modest or small quantum?
A. No.
Q. You see, can I take you --

THE CHAIR: Q. You say now that Mr Daley told you that the injuries were modest, is that right, which enabled you to form the judgment that it would be a small claim; is that right?
A. I knew what the injuries were as a --
Q. You just told us a minute ago that Mr Daley told you.
A. Yes, Mr Daley - the passage of time was Mr Daley then re-asked about the injuries.
Q. Mr Daley told you about them?
A. No, sir, not in graphic detail.
Q. Let's not worry about graphic detail. How could you honestly, having had that discussion with Mr Daley, then be involved in advising the Diocese that this will be a small claim and resolved with a few letters, how could you do that?
A. I didn't consider a conflict - if there was one, I don't know. I know that you've used - Mr Cleary has used the word "advised". I don't necessarily agree with that term, but it's what's in the notes, sir.
Q. Do you see the conflict now? If you didn't back then, do you see it now? Here you are in discussions with Mr Daley about the nature of the injuries, he acting in pursuit of the claim, and you telling the Diocese it will be a small one resolved with a few letters. Do you see the conflict?
A. I see what you're saying, sir.
Q. You should never have been in this position, should you?
A. This offence took place, I think, in --
Q. Let's not worry about the offence. You should never have been in this position in this meeting, should you?
A. Probably not now it's drawn to my attention.

MS SHARP: Q. Can I draw something else to your attention, Mr Allen. Could we scroll to the bottom of this
first page. You will see right at the end, Mr Cleary records you as saying that "claim settlement will 'be easy' with [CKM] and he will facilitate it through Daley". Is that what you told --
A. I can't pick it up on the screen. Can this come down a bit?

THE CHAIR: Q. Just the last line, the last two lines. A.

Allen advised the claim settlement will "be easy" with --
Q. "[CKM] and he will facilitate it through Daley".
A. Through.
Q. That is, you will facilitate it through Daley?
A. I don't think that was ever said, in my memory. If it was said, I don't remember it.

MS SHARP: Q. Is that in fact what was going on, you were going to facilitate the making of that claim with Mr Daley?
A. I agree with the words that are printed there, but I don't agree that they were said in the context of what's now been put into words.
Q. I will be very clear about what I'm now putting to you. At about 11 February 2015 you either had discussed with Mr Daley, or were intending to discuss with Mr Daley, making a small claim against the Diocese on behalf of [CKM]?
A. Not in February 2015. I had no contact with Mr Daley at about that time.
Q. Did you do it later?
A. Not to my memory.
Q. Are you denying that you had contact with Mr Daley in order to facilitate the making of a small claim against the Diocese on behalf of [CKM]?
A. My memory is that at some stage, and I don't know when, Mr Daley rang me about the matter generally.
Q. Could you answer my question? Did you, in the months following 11 February 2015, have dealings with Mr Daley to facilitate the making of a claim against the Diocese -A. No.
Q. -- on behalf of [CKM] that was a "small claim"? A. No.
Q. Can I again ask you to have regard to what Mr Cleary records in the last dot point of that file note. Is that an accurate statement of what you told him during that meeting?
A. I don't remember any statement about something, inverted commas, "be easy". Mr Cleary and I may have discussed that it would not be a difficult matter, but I have no recollection of what was said and I don't say or not say that the words in the last dot point were said or not said.
Q. You see, what Mr Cleary's file note records of your conversation rather suggests that you told him that you were going to facilitate the making of a small claim against the Diocese by [CKM]. Is that what you conveyed to Mr Cleary?
A. I don't remember conveying those words and I don't remember what was said.
Q. Do you deny that you said those things?
A. It could have been said but I do not remember it.
Q. At that point or shortly thereafter, did you assist Daley make a claim on behalf of [CKM] that was reasonably small in quantum?
A. Not that I remember apart from when Mr Daley rang me at some time in the past, long before this February meeting.

MS SHARP: I see the time, your Honour. I have got quite a bit to cover.

THE CHAIR: The Commissioners have to travel a great distance. It might be appropriate if we adjourn now, until 10 o'clock on Monday morning.

AT 3.53PM THE COMMISSION WAS ADJOURNED TO MONDAY, 8 AUGUST 2016 AT 10AM
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