

What risk-assessment did I make

1. Jim Fletcher's reputation
2. His illness - severe stroke
- 2A. E. coli offside - NOT the dehydrated
3. NSW Prof. standards adapted that I did not have to stand him down after initial complaint.
4. Spoke to School Principal (no risk)
5. Spoke to D of Schools
6. Spoke to Jim Saunders + Bill Burston
7. Newcastle notorious for gossip + scandal + slander
8. I know Latta (works for Sed case) + his <sup>early</sup> adults about him.

1. Personal Loan
- commercial basis (terms, rates, etc.)
  - security from a relative?
  - transparent process
  - repayment process
  - borrower could waive loan +  
Disease could pay it  
(whole or part)

(it's Jim Fletcher's call!)  
(legal aid or loan?)

Legal aid could begin now + fund  
loan later?

Issue of credit i.e., character reference  
important when it comes to a trial.

2. Contact Person he appointed  
for alleged victim.

- he/she should clear contact with  
police
- contact person is conduit
- if letter sent, make no admissions
- NO phone call out of the blue

REDACTED

CC

Informing Jim?

= intention?

= I thought it appropriate

flack possible

Remain in place?

flack possible

If contact person arranges counselling with contact person, notes could be subpoenaed for court in criminal matter

- advice could be that notes not be taken

- Bishop doesn't write unless complainant asks for it

- any meeting should involve 3 or more people

- every step of the way → transparency

- different contact person for family  
(different needs from complainant)

- NOT a priest in either case

- only appoint C.P. if complainant + family want it