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MS LONERGAN: My apologies to those at the bar table and those also present in court for the late start this morning. Given this is the last day of the public sittings in Newcastle, as inevitably happens, a number of matters have arisen that had to be dealt with before we commenced this morning and will have to be dealt with.

There are two transcript corrections, helpfully drawn to my attention by Mr Skinner. One is on page 2264 at line 42 , it reads "that's a whole extra set-up from the administrative", it should read "that is a whole extra step up".

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, that's agreed.
MS LONERGAN: On page 2261, line 11, the exhibit number should be 60 rather than 50.

The other matter is it has been drawn to my attention by Mr Gyles that there is an issue regarding a particular report in the media which is in Mr Gyles' submission and it appears to be a submission correctly drawn to the attention of those who assist you. There has been unbalanced reporting of the evidence of Ms Keevers. Mr Gyles seeks to address you in more detail on that matter.

MR GYLES: Can I hand up a copy of the relevant article from yesterday's Newcastle Herald. Despite, Commissioner, often feeling as though what happens in this inquiry and what is reported the following day can be a parallel universe, we have resisted the temptation of raising such matters and we only do so because this is something we regard as a seriously slanted and biased reporting of the events yesterday. It is also a matter of importance otherwise.

You will see the headline "Choice of cops or cash" whether or not Mr Kirkwood himself was responsible for that - he probably wasn't - but that's typical of what we have seen in terms of what might be described as sensationalised criticism of the church personnel, officials and procedures, often. All, of course, having impact on reputations of the institution and the procedures of the individuals concerned.

One possible imputation of a headline like this is that people, survivors, victims, are being paid off not to
go to police. The difficulty with the use of headlines like that is, as you would appreciate, Commissioner, that some people might read the headline, roll their eyes and move on without getting to the detail. It is a mischievous headline in the extreme when one looks at the evidence on this issue and the way in which these claims are dealt with.

In that respect, for the benefit of both the press and the public gallery, so there is no confusion about the way these things are handled, the first point is where a Towards Healing complaint is made, and compensation is one of the remedies that is sought, namely, the allegation which is the subject matter of the complaint has to be investigated, obviously. That's the first point.

The second point is where there is a police investigation on foot or anticipated, the church cannot conduct its own investigation, because in doing so, it can hinder or detrimentally impact the police investigation. We see Mr Harben's client Bishop Malone having been continuously criticised in the press for the last ten years for what is described often as tip-offs and the like in terms of a visit that he has told you, Commissioner, he regarded as a pastoral visit to one of his parish priests.

MS LONERGAN: I don't like to interrupt my learned friend.
THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, we might be straying --
MR GYLES: I will move on. The point is that if a victim decides to go the police, there is a timing issue in terms of dealing with a Towards Healing complaint. It is not a quick choice of cops or cash. Where there is no police investigation, that is not anticipated, and there is no impediment upon the church processing a Towards Healing complaint, that happens. The difficulty there is that as soon as someone doesn't go to the police, there is then some inference that people are being discouraged from doing so, because the church doesn't want the police involved.

THE COMMISSIONER: And the compensation aspect muddies the waters further.

MR GYLES: Yes, but on any view these complaints have to be investigated.

THE COMMISSIONER: By someone.
MR GYLES: By someone. The difficulty with the appearance of people not going to the police is the reason why we see in the protocol that the importance of encouraging people to go to the police is identified by or borne out by what we saw yesterday in the appendix to the 2003 Towards Healing protocol where it is necessary for someone who chooses not to go to the police to say this:

> The ... Church has strongly urged me to take my complaint to the police ... It has been carefully explained to me that any process the Church establishes cannot compel witnesses, subpoena documents ... [and the like]. It cannot impose the same penalties as a criminal court. Aware of those limitations, I still state that I do not wish to take my complaint to the police...

THE COMMISSIONER: Perhaps you might remind the inquiry of what year that clause introduced?

MR GYLES: It was introduced in 2003. That's the other difficulty with the report. In terms of the process, that is why that happens. So far as the evidence is concerned in terms of encouragement to go to the police, the evidence on that issue of a number of people is that victims are encouraged to go to the police as the best solution.

Ms Keevers says that what she encouraged people to do. We know in 1997 Bishop Malone was encouraging victims to do that.

MS LONERGAN: I rise to interrupt my learned friend. I don't mean to be rude. We are dealing with a particular allegation about a particular report and, in my respectful submission --

THE COMMISSIONER: A particular witness's evidence.
MS LONERGAN: -- the right way to deal with it from this stage would be to take those in court to the evidence which occurred at pages 2179 and 2180 of the transcript yesterday where it appears the article has not dealt with the entirety of the evidence and, in particular, has not dealt
with the clause identified by Ms Keevers as being the matter she is referring to in a certain part of her statement and, therefore there is, a lack of balance, it appears, in the reporting of her evidence in effect about that issue.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
MR GYLES: I am getting to a point, and I'd like the opportunity to do it.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr Gyles.
MR GYLES: It's an important issue for this reason:
Commissioner, you'11 hear from Ms O'Hearn shortly. She is a thoroughly decent and compassionate person who is dealing on a day-to-day basis with victims. She will tell you, Commissioner, that one of the difficulties with victims is obtaining their confidence - their confidence in the church, because they are people who have been abused. That is a significant threshold to pass over.

This sort of reporting, when it questions the whole process in this way, makes it even more difficult for those who are taking up the opportunity to take advantage of the processes that are being offered - it makes it even more difficult not only for the victims to have confidence in the process and more difficult for Ms O'Hearn and those sort of people when the integrity of this is questioned in this way - in way which is very unfair. I'll go to the particular article. You'll see the difficulties of it are, that, first, in the first paragraph, it is put - this was dealt with very fairly and fully by Mr Hunt yesterday I raised it with him and he dealt with it in a way which was entirely satisfactory to me, so I didn't need to ask any questions about it.

The first paragraph indicates there is this choice of one or the other. Now, that is not the evidence. The second point is it is said that Ms Keevers was giving evidence concerning matters when she was assisting Bishop Malone. Her evidence was that she was directing her evidence to when she was at Centacare in 2003 and 2004. That was before she was employed in her role at Zimmerman House.

There is a quotation of the transcript where Mr Hunt
is recorded as putting to her the either/or situation either go to the police or Towards Healing. What is reported is Ms Keevers saying, "That is how I understood it", but the next question is:

> Q. Was it your view in terms of the way this operated that if someone elected to go to the police in preference to, at that point, advancing a matter through Towards Healing, once the police processes were complete, that person could elect to then exercise Towards Healing rights?

The answer:
They certainly could return then.
The question which was directly relevant to the headline was not included. That is obviously our primary concern and the reason that I've taken the time this morning to raise it with you.

The other difficulty with the article in the following paragraph is that it is said:

Mr Hunt told the inquiry the situation in this regard was amended in the 2010 version ... which advises the Church "strongly urges" people to go to the police.

What he was referring to was the 2003 version.
I apologise for taking perhaps more time than Ms Lonergan might have thought appropriate, but it is a matter considered to be very important by the church in terms of fair reporting of this issue. Obviously a certain amount of damage may have been done but it is very, very important that people have confidence in the likes of Ms O'Hearn and what's being done to assist these victims.

THE COMMISSIONER: An article of this nature does have the capacity, I can see, to undermine that public confidence in Zimmerman Services. Ms Lonergan?

MS LONERGAN: I would not want it to be thought that I thought Mr Gyles took an inappropriate amount of time. It's a very appropriate matter to raise. I simply was
directing the matter back to the particular harm relating to the particular reporting of Ms Keevers' evidence yesterday. I certainly make no comment at all about my friend raising it. I consider it most appropriate that it be raised and I agree that it is an unfair and unbalanced reporting of the evidence from Ms Keevers.

Can I add that Mr Hunt also tendered the particular document from which the quote was taken. That particular document was made available to members of the press yesterday evening. It is expected that the members of the press will access those documents when evidence has been taken about them to ensure their reporting accurately reflects the documents the witnesses have been taken to.

THE COMMISSIONER: Even a temporary choice does not arise until the person has signed the clause that says "The Catholic Church has strongly urged me to take my complaint to the police."

MS LONERGAN: That's right, Commissioner. Mr Gyles is most proper to have raised the issue.

THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Gaols, if I may say so, with respect, you have comprehensively dealt with the answers to each paragraph in that reporting. I can only say that I agree that if Ms Keevers answer "That's definitely how I understood it" to be reported, it would on1y be fair for the following exchange to be included to give the balance to that report. The error to say that it was amended in 2010, when in fact the clause took effect in 2003, is a very important matter when reporting on the operations and dealings of Zimmerman Services.

I just put that on the record and perhaps an expectation that something in the nature of a correction might be published in the very near future.

MS LONERGAN: I've just been instructed by Ms Sullivan, special counsel, to note for the record that there will be contact made with the particular author and some discussions had about how best to remedy the situation.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms Lonergan. Thank you, Mr Gyles, for raising it.

MR GYLES: Thank you, Commissioner.

MR KELL: Commissioner, I call Jason Robbs.
MR ROSER: While Mr Robbs is being called, Commissioner, I take an application under 23.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, certain1y, that is granted, Mr Roser.

MR KELL: There is no statement from Mr Robbs, but there is a diary entry that has been circulated this morning.
<JASON SCOTT ROBBS, affirmed:
[10.56am]
MS McLAUGHLIN: Commissioner, Mr Robbs can be seated, but he is to give evidence in relation to a matter raised that concerns my client about which evidence was given on
Friday. I seek a prospective non-publication order at this stage. It is hard, particularly without a statement although I have been given some information by my friend Mr Kell, as to what the nature of Mr Robbs's evidence might be.

Notwithstanding that, it will touch on matters that were the subject of a non-publication order on Friday. For that purpose I seek a prospective order at this point, again to be looked at again at the conclusion of the witness's evidence. It may be that it's of no weight or only relevant to certain aspects of it, but I'm concerned to protect --

THE COMMISSIONER: Or favourable to your client.
MS McLAUGHLIN: Perhaps, that's quite correct.
THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Ke11, what do you say?
MR KELL: Commissioner, it would be open to you to make a temporary order to hold the position until the conclusion of Mr Robbs' evidence. At an appropriate time, which may be after morning tea, it will also be necessary for counsel assisting to perhaps revisit the application of non-publication orders relating to this area generally, given --

THE COMMISSIONER: It doesn't seem to be an area that can be discretely hived off into one section.

MR KELL: Yes, and to ensure that there is a consistency with evidence that has been released, for example.

THE COMMISSIONER: In that case, I am disposed to accede to your question, Ms McLaugh1in and then we will revisit it at the conclusion of Mr Robbs' evidence.

MS McLAUGHLIN: Thank you, Commissioner.

## <EXAMINATION BY MR KELL:

MR KELL: Q. Your full name is Jason Scott Robbs?
A. Yes.
Q. You are presently an officer with the Australian Bureau of Statistics?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. From 1998 to 2008 you were a serving officer with the NSW Police Force?
A. From 1988 to 2008, yes, I was.
Q. You left with the rank of detective senior constable?
A. I did, yes.
Q. You had attachments during that period of time, principally within the Lower Hunter command?
A. I did.
Q. And you did work in general duties?
A. Yes.
Q. Highway patrol?
A. Yes.
Q. And criminal investigation?
A. Yes.
Q. As at 2003 you were based at the Maitland detectives office?
A. Yes.
Q. That's within the Lower Hunter Local Area Command?
A. It is.
Q. As at December 2003 you held the rank of senior constable?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Were you a detective at that stage?
A. No, I wasn't a designated detective. I think the term we used back then was plain clothes senior constable.
Q. You subsequently became a detective?
A. I did, yes.
Q. When was that?
A. I tried to find my certificate last night. I have moved house. As I explained before I couldn't find it, but I am assuming it would be somewhere 18 months past that date, two years.
Q. 2004 or 2005 , you were designated as a detective?
A. Somewhere around that date, yes.
Q. I want to ask you about a particular attendance that took place in late December 2003.
A. Yes.
Q. As at that time you were at the Maitland detectives office?
A. Yes.
Q. Who was your immediate supervisor at that time?
A. It would have been Chief Inspector Fox.
Q. At that time did he hold the rank of chief inspector or was he --
A. No, at that stage I think he was still detective sergeant.
Q. I want to show you a duty book entry which has been provided today. I'11 hand up a copy for the witness and the Commissioner. This has been provided by the NSW Police Force today. Is it the case, Mr Robbs, that you yourself do not have copies of any notebooks or duty books from your time as an officer?
A. No, they would have been left there when I left the Police Service and should have been - I would assume filed as per police procedure.
Q. Could I ask you to look at that document. Do you identify that as one of your duty book entries?
A. It's my handwriting, yes.
Q. That's an entry from 30 December 2003?
A. The date indicates that, yes.
Q. Perhaps if you can read out - there are certain parts that have been redacted that don't relate to this matter what you've written in that entry?
A. Yes. It's got there:

On duty 8am --
which is underlined usually in red --
Maitland Detectives Office.
Then the redaction is taken out:
Out [Lower Hunter] 101 --
which is a call sign for the detectives vehicle --
Detective Sergeant Fox to Presbytery in
William Street, Raymond Terrace.
Speak with Priest Des?
Return to Raymond Terrace station re [enquiry]. Then return to Maitland [police] station.

I've got a meal taken between 12.30 and 1 , also underlined in red. Underneath the next redacted piece, it's got:

Off duty 6pm.
Q. I want to ask you some questions about your recollection of the attendance that is noted there.
A. Yes.
Q. First of all I want to ask you before you went on this attendance out that's noted, can you recall the circumstances how that came about?
A. No, I don't actually. I initially thought I was at Raymond Terrace police station at that point in time, but my duty book indicates $I$ was still at Maitland.
Q. When you say you initially thought you were at Raymond Terrace, that was before you received your duty book entry today?
A. Yes, I thought I was at Raymond Terrace before I saw this, this morning. As you can appreciate, we used to work Cessnock, Maitland and Raymond Terrace and we often used to change around quite a bit. But I recall being back at Raymond police station that day, so I initially thought I was actually stationed at Raymond Terrace at that time. Sorry.
Q. That is all right. Your evidence is you don't recollect the circumstances leading up to you going on that attendance out?
A. No.
Q. You don't recall being asked by anyone to attend?
A. No, I don't recall. I do recall going there, but I don't recall the circumstances.
Q. We'll come to that. The attendance itself was in the morning, was it?
A. I've got in my duty book as some time between - it would be between 8 am and 12.30 when I took a meal.
Q. The reference to "LH 101" is a police car?
A. "LH" stands for Lower Hunter and detective vehicles starts with a 100 series number - we had 100 to 105.
Q. Is it the position that you've got some recollection independent of this note of the attendance at the presbytery --
A. Yes.
Q. And you've got some recollection of parts of the conversation, but you don't recall most of the conversation in "I said/He said" type fashion?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Would that be a fair description?
A. It would be fair to say that, yes.
Q. You've driven to the presbytery with Detective Fox; was that the case?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall arriving at the presbytery?
A. I can recall walking on to, I think it's like a front verandah area and we were met at the door by a male person, who I assumed was the person we were going to speak to. Do
you want me to proceed?
Q. The male person was the priest that you spoke to?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. Is that the person that you've identified in your duty book as "priest Des?" ?
A. Yes. We moved to a room.
Q. Just pausing there. Did either you or Detective Fox speak to the person that answered the door?
A. I can't remember the conversation, but it would have been Detective Fox.
Q. He was your supervisor and your senior officer?
A. Yes.
Q. You can't recall what was said at that stage?
A. No.
Q. What happened next?
A. I think we went into, like, a lounge room-type area or a reception-type area, something like that, and I remember sitting on a chair. I think Detective Fox was on to my left and the priest chap was in front of or directly sort of opposite us.
Q. Just pausing there, before we get to the conversation inside the room, do you recall having any discussion - if you don't, that's fine - with Detective Fox on the journey to the presbytery regarding the purpose of the visit?
A. No, I don't remember the actual journey in the car.
Q. You're not saying it didn't happen but you just don't recall it?
A. I just don't recall it, no, I'm not saying --
Q. That's all right. We're asking you about events ten years ago?
A. Yes, almost ten years.
Q. You've since left the Police Force?
A. I have.
Q. You've put these as another chapter of your life, in one sense?
A. I have, yes.
Q. You're now being brought here today to be asked questions about these events, so we understand and we want to test aspects of your recollection.
A. Yes.
Q. You were invited into a room and you sat down?
A. Yes.
Q. Detective Fox sat down as well?
A. Yes, I think he was on my right.
Q. The priest, Father Des?
A. Father Des was opposite.
Q. Then do you recall the general topic of discussion, and I'll ask you for some specific matters, but do you recall what was discussed?
A. The general topic of the discussion was in relation to some pornographic material.
Q. Just pausing there, what material do you recall, pornographically or otherwise? Had you brought any paperwork with you to the meeting?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall Detective Fox bringing any particular paperwork of any sort?
A. I can't recall him bringing any paperwork, but every police officer carries a notebook and a pen or a notebook to make some notes.
Q. Do you recall any other material of any sort being brought to the meeting?
A. No, I can't, sorry.
Q. So there was a discussion about the topic of pornography?
A. Yes .
Q. Did you yourself take part in the discussion, or is it fair to say that Detective Fox did most of the talking?
A. No, Detective Fox did the talking. I was, for want of a better expression, mainly an observer.
Q. You don't recall yourself doing any of the talking?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. Other than to say hello?
A. Perhaps to introduce myself.
Q. Do you recall, doing the best you can now, what

Detective Fox had asked Father Des once you sat down in the room inside the presbytery?
A. The basis of the conversation was in relation to some pornographic material that was at the Lochinvar presbytery.
Q. You recall the subject matter being pornographic material that had been at the Lochinvar presbytery?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall whether you had any of that material with you at the time?
A. No, not to my recollection, we didn't have any. No.
Q. You don't recall that having been with you at the time?
A. The actual material? No, I don't.
Q. Or samples of material?
A. No, I can't recall that.
Q. Would it be unusual in any way to bring samples of pornographic material in a police car to attend on a person where it wasn't a formal interview of any sort?
A. Well, I would think if it was a formal interview, it would have been done at the police station, and an exhibit or something, might have been produced during a formal interview. That's what a normal police procedure, from my recollection, would be. I can't recall any material of that nature being shown to the police by Mr Fox, no.
Q. Do you think it would be the case that had there been pornographic material brought in the police vehicle from the police station to attend on a priest, that's something that you would recall?
A. More than likely, yes.
Q. Your best recollection is there was no such material?
A. I can't recall any material, no.
Q. There was a discussion about pornographic material in a presbytery?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall within that discussion the nature of the material that was being described?
A. It's orientation you mean?
Q. Yes, to start with?
A. It was male homosexual pornography.
Q. Just so we can put it to one side, it wasn't a discussion about child pornography in any way?
A. No.
Q. It was adult male pornography?
A. Yes, adult male, yes.
Q. Putting to one side its character, was there a discussion as to the form of it, whether it was magazines or some other type of material?
A. Movies and magazines were mentioned, but I don't know whether that was in the form of VHS video cassette or DVD.
Q. So magazines were mentioned?
A. Yes, I recall magazines.
Q. Your recollection is that movies were mentioned?
A. Yes, the term "movies" springs to mind but, like I said, I can't say whether that was DVD or, yes, video cassette.
Q. Whatever medium, or media, there was a discussion, was there, beyond magazines of pornography in a film-type nature?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. Yes?
A. I think so, yes.
Q. So there was a discussion about pornography that had been in Lochinvar presbytery. Can you recall at a more precise level what was asked of Father Des relating to that pornography?
A. I can recall Mr Fox asking Father Des who owned the material. He said it wasn't his, that it was Father Fletcher's material.
Q. So you recall Father --
A. No, sorry, I've got it around the wrong way. I recall
him saying it was his, not Father Fletcher's material.
Q. So the priest you had seen, Father Des, had been asked whether certain pornography that had been found in
Lochinvar presbytery was his pornography?
A. Yes.
Q. Or was that of Father James Fletcher?
A. That's correct.
Q. And your evidence is Father Des, the priest that was in the room with you, had said that it was his material? A. Yes, that's correct.

MS McLAUGHLIN: I think that it actually wasn't Father Fletcher's material. He made a positive statement to that effect.

MR KELL: Yes, I am just going to that.
Q. He indicated to you, from you recollection, that it was his material?
A. Yes, from my recollection.
Q. "His" being Father Harrigan and that it was not Father Fletcher's?
A. To my recollection, yes.
Q. I want to ask you about your general impression. By this time you had met Father Des at the door, you had come in, there'd been some discussion, you had been sitting down in the seats with him. Just as a general impression, how did he present to you? How did Father Des present to you when you saw him?
A. I'd explain this - is it guarded?
Q. Yes.
A. He was just - yes, it was guarded in his answers.
Q. That, presumably, may not be unexpected with a couple of police officers coming to ask you questions?
A. No, it wouldn't.
Q. You're not saying that there was anything improper in being guarded?
A. No, not at all.
Q. Did he appear otherwise to be cooperative in responding to questions?
A. From my recollection, he answered the questions that Detective Fox put to him.
Q. Did he otherwise appear to be engaged in the process?
A. Well, from recollection, he seemed to understand the questions and the conversation.
Q. He appeared to be attentive?
A. Yes.
Q. And it was an attendance at some time in the morning?
A. Going off my duty book again, it would be somewhere between - I've got there 8 - 12.30 , it certain1y would not have been 8am in the morning. I'm assuming around mid --
Q. Before lunchtime?
A. Before lunchtime.
Q. He maintained that appearance to you, did he, during the time of your attendance, that is to say he was attentive and focused on the matters you were asking him? A. Yes.
Q. I want to ask a more specific question now. Did he at any stage appear to you to be affected by any substances, that is to say, alcohol?
A. No, no, not from my recollection. No.
Q. Do you think that is something that you would recall, had he presented to you in an intoxicated state?
A. What type of intoxication level are we talking about?
Q. You've got no recollection of Father Des being intoxicated at all, is that the case?
A. No, I don't.
Q. If you had attended on a priest who presented to you as being affected by alcohol, that would be a fairly unusual attendance, one would assume?
A. It would be, yes.
Q. That's something you would be likely to remember?
A. It would be likely, if he was drunk or intoxicated, yes, I'd remember that. Yes.
Q. Similarly, did you see within the proximity of Father Des any alcoholic bottles or a bottle on the carpet or near him?
A. No, sorry.
Q. You recall within the conversation that there were questions being put to Father Des in relation to ownership of certain pornographic material?
A. Yes.
Q. And that Father Des had indicated that the material was his and not Father Fletcher's?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Do you recall after that any other parts of the discussion that took place?
A. No, I don't. No, no. The basis of what I can remember was what we've already discussed.
Q. Doing the best you can sitting here now, how long were you at the presbytery?
A. It wasn't terribly long. I'd be - say somewhere - I'd on1y be guessing - somewhere between 10 to 20 minutes, somewhere in that time frame, I would say.
Q. It wasn't a lengthy attendance in the sense that it wasn't something like an hour?
A. I'd only be guessing.
Q. We don't want you to guess.
A. No.
Q. Doing the best you can, it was --
A. From my recollection, I'd say somewhere between the 10, 15 - 10, 20 -minute mark, somewhere in that range.
Q. I just want to ask you whether you have any recollection about any discussion taking place, and then I'11 ask you about the more specifics, about any discussion taking place relating to disposal of pornographic material. Was that a matter that you recall being asked by Detective Fox?
A. I can remember the topic being raised. I can't remember what --
Q. Just pausing there, when you say "the topic being raised", it was raised by Detective Fox?
A. Yes, it was, yes.
Q. Or you? What do you recall being asked?
A. I recall Detective Fox asking Father Des where that material was. As I said before, I can't remember the exact words but it was in relation to, "Where is that material at now?"
Q. And?
A. His reply?
Q. No. So he asked Father Des where that material was now?
A. Yes.
Q. Detective Fox had asked Father Des to the effect, "Where is that pornographic material now?"; is that what your evidence is?
A. Yes.
Q. What do you recall, if anything, in terms of the response that was made to that?
A. I can recall Father Des responding to the answer [sic], but I can't recall what the actual answer was, whether he had disposed or had not disposed of that property. I honestly can't say what his response was. I recall he responded to the answer [sic], but I can't recall whether it was, "Yes, I still have it", or, "No I've got rid of it", or, "It's still at Lochinvar", I honestly can't remember that part.
Q. Disposal, you're also including, are you, notions of destruction, as to whether pornography had been destroyed? A. I'm assuming, yes.
Q. You recall the question having been raised. You recall that it was addressed by Father Des?
A. Yes.
Q. But doing the best you can now, ten years later, you're not able to say what his answer was?
A. I couldn't 100 per cent give you an answer.
Q. I want to ask whether you recall any other aspects of the conversation at all at that time, or is that the extent of your recollection now looking back on matters?
A. That's basically the extent of my recollection of the
events of what happened inside the --
Q. This attendance took place, did it not, as part of the overall investigation relating to Father James Fletcher?
A. I would assume it was, yes.
Q. Is it correct to say that this attendance that took place on 30 December was your only role in relation to the Fletcher investigation?
A. Yes, as far as I know, it was, yes.
<EXAMINATION BY MS McLAUGHLIN:
MS McLAUGHLIN: Q. Mr Robbs, your employer has provided a copy of your duty book to the Commission today. You would have had a notebook as well; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall if you made any notes in your notebook at the time?
A. No, I don't believe I would have.
Q. But would you have taken them both with you; is that correct?
A. I would have --
Q. Would that have been your usual practice?
A. I would have taken my briefcase which would have contained my appointments, notebook and other material I used in that day.
Q. A briefcase?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall Detective Fox taking anything with him in the line of a briefcase?
A. I can't recall him taking anything, but everyone carried a briefcase or bag of some type with materials they needed to work with.
Q. Your evidence is that you were at the Maitland police station that morning and you realised that from looking at your duty book?
A. Yes.
Q. But that as a detective in the Lower Hunter, you were variously at Cessnock and Raymond Terrace?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall how many police officers were under the supervision of Detective Sergeant Fox around that time in December 2003?
A. I can't exactly remember Peter's role, because from memory, there was a thing they used to call a dual role in the Lower Hunter where they used to cheap out on one sergeant and make the Maitland sergeant be the investigations manager as well. So if Peter was the immediate supervisor at Maitland, he would have had probably - we only had 12 detectives at that time and I think they were divvied up as three at Cessnock, three at Raymond Terrace and possibly three or four at Maitland, something along those lines. If he was just the sergeant at Maitland, he would have been the direct supervisor for those chaps. If he was the investigations manager at that time, he would have been the supervisor for the three stations - the three offices, if you know what I mean.
Q. It's your evidence that you've had no other involvement with the Fletcher investigation?
A. Not to my recollection, no.
Q. It's your evidence, isn't it, that on that morning you were approached by Detective Sergeant Fox to go with him to the Raymond Terrace presbytery?
A. Yes. I'm only going off my duty book. That's all I can tell you, that - I can't recall the conversation, him asking me to go or anything like that, but my duty book indicates that that's what I did.
Q. Do you recall if there were any other officers around at that time you were asked to go on that trip with Detective Sergeant Fox?
A. No.
Q. Would it be unusual that there were other officers around at the time?
A. 8 o'clock in the morning is usually start time.

Whoever was working that day would have been in or around the office, I would assume.
Q. If you look at your duty book entry there, as I read it you've got a question mark after the name "priest Des"? A. Yes.
Q. Can you explain what that means?
A. I would have been merely I probably forgot his surname and put a question mark there.
Q. The next sentence is:

Return to Raymond Terrace station re enq.
Does that mean "re enquiry"?
A. Enquiry, yes. I probably had another matter I was investigating. That is what might have led me to go to Raymond Terrace with Peter that morning, that I had another job to do at Raymond Terrace, after - or doing what he was doing.
Q. You weren't asked by Detective Sergeant Fox, as he then was, to undertake any inquiries as a result of the meeting with Father Harrigan?
A. No, that would be another inquiry in relation to another matter, I would assume.
Q. But were you asked, to your recollection?
A. To do another --
Q. To do anything else in relation to Father Harrigan after that meeting?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall any caution being issued to Father Harrigan during the course of the discussion that he had?
A. No, I'd remember - an official police caution?
Q. That's correct.
A. No, I'd remember that.
Q. I think your evidence is that Father Harrigan was attentive during the meeting. Do you recall him getting upset perhaps towards the end of the meeting?
A. No, I don't, no.
Q. Is it possible that you just don't recall, but it did happen?
A. I can't say that it didn't happen. I can't recall.
Q. Is it correct to say that your recollection is very patchy of that particular meeting?
A. Yes, it's ten years ago, sorry.
Q. But you are quite certain that Father Harrigan made an explicit statement to Detective Sergeant Fox that the material did not belong to Fletcher?
A. That's right, yes.
Q. You're quite clear about that?
A. Yes.
Q. You're also quite clear that you were met at the door by Father Harrigan?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. I think you were asked questions in relation to the issue of disposal of material and you said that you recall that topic coming up in general discussion. Do you recall the words used? Is "disposal" the word that you recall being used?
A. I don't think it was the actual word "disposal". I think it was, "Do you know where those items are now", something of that --
Q. As in "disposal" meaning "Where have they gone"?
A. Yes.
Q. You don't recall if the word "destroy" or "destruction" was used explicitly?
A. I can't recall those two words specifically, no.

MR KELL: I might take the opportunity to tender the diary entry of 30 December 2003.

THE COMMISSIONER: From the duty book.
MR KELL: Yes.
THE COMMISSIONER: The copy of Mr Robbs' duty book of 30 December 2003 will be admitted and marked exhibit 212.

EXHIBIT \#212 COPY OF MR ROBBS' DUTY BOOK DATED 30/12/2003
MS McLAUGHLIN: Q. Do you recall if there was a lecture of sorts provided to Father Harrigan by Detective Sergeant Fox?
A. No, I don't recall that, no.
Q. A talking-to of sorts?
A. No.
Q. I think your evidence was that you don't recall any material being brought with Detective Sergeant Fox to that meeting; is that correct?
A. Not that I recall, no.
Q. So you don't recall that happening?
A. I don't recall him taking any material with him, no.
Q. So it's possible he might have brought some material with him?
A. I would assume he would have had a notebook or a notepad and a pen to take notes or something.
Q. I appreciate you assume that that would have been the case, but you've said your recollection is patchy.
A. Yes.
Q. And that you don't recall whether he brought any other material with him?
A. No, I don't recall.
Q. So the question is: is it therefore possible that in addition to his duty book or notebook and pen, that he may have brought other material with him?
A. I can't recall him taking any with him, but it's a possibility it may be there.

MS McLAUGHLIN: No further questions.
<EXAMINATION BY MR COHEN:
MR COHEN: Q. Mr Robbs, I appear for Detective Chief Inspector Fox. What I propose to do is put the gist of the conversation to you as has been recorded in other evidence, but before I do that, if I might ask you a number of preparatory questions, please. You've indicated, quite fairly and properly, that your recollection is of ten years ago and it's somewhat degraded by the effluxion of time. I think I understand, and please correct me if I'm wrong, that you've told the Commissioner that your recollection, such as it is today, is prompted by seeing your duty book entry and certain things come back to my mind by reason of that?
A. Yes.
Q. But a number of the matters of which you have a recollection are hazy?
A. Yes.
Q. But that some are clear. Is it possible, for example, that when you arrived at the presbytery, you were not met by Father Harrigan, but you had to let yourself in, because the door was open and you went inside and met him inside in the sitting room you've given evidence about. Is that a possibility?
A. It may be a possibility. I can't recall. I thought that --
Q. You wouldn't exclude it entirely, I take it?
A. No, I wouldn't exclude it.
Q. Is it a possibility that there was indeed a bottle of alcohol on the floor next to the seat where Father Harrigan was sitting?
A. I can't recall.
Q. Again you would not exclude it, you don't recall it. Is that a fair way to --
A. I can't exclude it, because $I$ can't recall it.
Q. At the time the business of the meeting happened, the discussion of the things you've already given evidence about, what I propose to do now is put to you from other evidence what is the conversation that occurred. I will then ask you to inform the Commissioner whether you accept or reject the statements that are made. I think it's clear, nonetheless, that you were, as it were, the observer or the corroborative witness during the exchange between then Detective Sergeant Fox and Father Des Harrigan. That's correct, isn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. You've set the scene that all three of you were in the sitting room in the presbytery, all three of you sitting. Coming to the conversation that is of most materiality, this is the conversation and the flow that I propose to put to you. I'11 identify what is said by then Detective Sergeant Fox and then what is said by Father Harrigan. I'11 do it question and answer and then ask you whether you can recall or confirm or reject. Is that a convenient way of doing it for you?
A. Yes.
Q. At the time of this discussion, the first statement by Detective Sergeant Fox, as he was, directed to Father Harrigan and after the pleasantries had been gone through, is:

I am told that you resided at the Lochinvar presbytery earlier this year prior to James Fletcher; is that right?

Father Harrigan said, "Yes." Does that accord with your recollection?
A. It's like I said, I apologise, but I can't recall the conversation verbatim.
Q. There is no need for an apology. We understand the difficulty, but you would not reject that statement as having been said?
A. I can't reject it, because I can't recall it.
Q. But it's not an outlandish proposition; you'd accept it as a possibility?
A. The question sounds like an introductory question into an interview that a police officer would make speaking to somebody --

MR ROSER: Commissioner, I object to this procedure. This witness has said numerous times he has no recollection. He's given clear evidence of what he recollects from this meeting. Going through this process doesn't assist you.

THE COMMISSIONER: It may not be unusua1, Mr Roser, if something is suggested to the witness that he suddenty has had a flash of extra memory. I think Mr Cohen is permitted to put the version of events. The witness can say either, "Yes, I remember it", or, "No I don't remember it", or that something else happened.

MR COHEN: I'm endeavouring to do this as fairly as I can in the circumstances, Commissioner, but thank you.
Q. I apologise to you, Mr Robbs. The next question and statement was by Mr Fox:

I have been told that after you left
Lochinvar presbytery and Jim Fletcher moved
in, a number of homosexual pornographic
magazines and videos were found in that
presbytery. I need to know if those items belonged to you or Father Fletcher.

The response by Father Harrigan is, "They are mine." Does that accord with your recollection?
A. I recall Detective Fox asking Father Harrigan were the pornographic items his and that's what he responded, yes, but again $I$ can't recall that conversation verbatim.
Q. In detail?
A. In detail, no.
Q. But you wouldn't object to that being the form of the words used?
A. Well, I can't, because I can't recall it.
Q. Sergeant Fox then said, "Are you sure?" And at that point, on my instructions and on the evidence, after that question was put by Detective Fox to Father Harrigan, "Are you sure?" Father Harrigan became upset, but then said, "Yes". Do you recall him --
A. No, I'm sorry, I don't, no.
Q. So it's clear, do you have any recollection of that at al1, or --
A. I don't have any recollection of the father being emotionally upset, sorry, no, I don't.
Q. Then Detective Sergeant Fox went on to say:

Do you have them? Did James Fletcher hand them back to you?

The response by Father Harrigan was:
Yes, he did, and I have destroyed them.
When is all this going to stop? It has hurt a lot of people.
A. No, sorry, I don't.
Q. Sorry?
A. I don't recall that exact conversation, no.
Q. Is that because you don't have any recollection, or is it just --
A. I just don't have any recollection of that, no, sorry.
Q. But it's not impossible that that occurred?
A. Well, no, it's not impossible, but I can't - I can't recollect it.
Q. Do you recall that, at this point in the conversation, Father Harrigan became visibly upset to the extent he began to cry?
A. No, I can't recall that at all, I'm sorry, no. It would be something I think $I$ would remember if it happened.
Q. Detective Sergeant Fox then went on to say to Father Harrigan:

I would expect Jim Fletcher's trial about mid 2004. I am sorry for any hurt or embarrassment this has caused you. Have you ever seen any similar material in the possession of Jim Fletcher?

The response from Father Harrigan was:
I suspect he had some, but I never saw him with it.
A. I can't recall the conversation.
Q. You can't recall it, but you don't say it's impossible?
A. No, I don't say it's impossible. I just can't - I just don't recall that conversation, no.
Q. To that level of detail?
A. Not to that level of detail, no.
Q. Detective Fox went on to say:

Why did you destroy the magazines and videos?

Father Harrigan's response was, "I don't know". Is that familiar with you or does it ring a bell?
A. As I gave in evidence before, I said that I can
remember him responding to a question of that nature, but not what his actual response was in relation to the destruction or disposal of the property.
Q. It's possible, or indeed plausible, that that was the statement?
A. It may well be. I can't say.
Q. Then Detective Sergeant Fox went on to say:

Did any of them have images of men under the age of $18 ?$

The Father Harrigan's response was, "No, they never." Does that accord with your recollection?
A. No, not at all.
Q. That is to say, you don't recall or it didn't happen?
A. No, I'm saying I don't recall. I'm not saying it
didn't happen. I just don't recall that part, sorry.
Q. Detective Fox went on to say:

Did you loan them to Jim Fletcher or forget to take them with you?

Father Harrigan's response was:
I am not sure. I think I just left them there.

Do you have any recollection of that?
A. No, sorry.
Q. Again, no recollection, but not an impossibility?
A. No. Correct, sorry
Q. I'm sorry?
A. What you said, yes.
Q. Father Harrigan at this point again in the conversation became emotional and his further response was:

This has hurt so many. When is all this going to end?

Detective Sergeant Fox's response was:
I suppose when allegations stop of clergy sexually abusing children. That's all

> I need to speak to you about at the moment. Can I phone anyone for you?

Father Harrigan's response was:
No, I will be fine.
Do you recall that?
A. No, I don't recall that conversation.
Q. The same category - you don't recall it, but not impossible?
A. Exactly.
Q. It's about then in the conversation that the business of the meeting finished, and again pleasantries, and you let yourself out and returned, as you've indicated in your duty book, on the way back to, in your case, Raymond Terrace, was it?
A. Yes.
Q. When you gave some evidence earlier in response to questions from Dr Kell and also from Ms McLaughlin, you were asked about the possession of some magazines at the time of the meeting. You've indicated you don't recall it. It's true, is it not, that there's no reference to an exhibit of any kind in your duty book extract? Is that right? If you look at exhibit 212 ?
A. That's my duty book entry, is it?
Q. Yes, your entry. There's no --
A. Not that I can see, no.
Q. Your evidence before - I think it was to Ms McLaughlin but it was evidence in response to other questions - was that you gave some evidence about the procedures with regard to exhibits and what your expectation would be if there had been a formal interview, at a station, with a caution and the production of exhibits. My question therefore is: if such exhibits were available at the time and had been taken to this meeting, that is to say, the exhibits of pornographic material, I take it you would have recorded such a matter in your duty book?
A. No, I wouldn't have recorded it in my duty book. The procedure, as I would understand it, would be the officer in charge of the matter, if he was taking an exhibit with him, if it was lodged at Maitland police station, he would
sign it out in the exhibit book and there would be an audit marking there of time, date, place, when it was taken, the purpose it was taken for. Then there would be a subsequent entry back when it was returned back into the police evidence exhibits room.
Q. So an audit trail to ensure the chain of custody wasn't contaminated?
A. That's right, yes.
Q. You have no recollection of that occurring?
A. No, I don't, no.
Q. Presumably, that situation, the use of an exhibit in that way, out of the station away from a formal interview would be of the type that would be sufficiently unusual and you would recall it, I take it, if it occurred that way? A. It would be unusual, I think, yes. Like I explained to you with normal police procedure, you would sign it out - what we would call "sign it out" - and sign it in.
Q. If it had happened in that way, it would have triggered some sort of memory, I assume?
A. It would have, and there would be an exhibit book entry with what that exhibit was and it would show its trail, where it had been.
Q. In the circumstances, and having regard to the practice you described, it's unlikely these magazines of the type that were put to you earlier were actually taken with you to the presbytery?
A. I can't recall the magazines, but the only other way they could get there is if they were never lodged as exhibits in the first place.
Q. But you have no recollection of that?
A. No, I don't.

MR COHEN: Thank you, Commissioner. Thank you, Mr Robbs.
MR GYLES: I have no questions.
<EXAMINATION BY MR ROSER:
MR ROSER: Q. You only came into this matter that morning, didn't you, when Detective Fox asked you to go with him to Raymond Terrace?
A. I'm assuming, just off my duty book, yes.
Q. Then you just followed with him to Raymond Terrace?
A. Yes.
Q. And just sat there as an observer?
A. Basically, yes.
Q. Your clear recollection is that you were met at the door by the priest?

MR COHEN: I object. It's not a clear recollection.
MR ROSER: Do you mind? I'm putting a proposition.
MR COHEN: I maintain my objection.
MR ROSER: Q. Your clear recollection is that you were met --

MR COHEN: I maintain my objection.
THE COMMISSIONER: The witness can answer the question.
MR ROSER: Q. Your clear recollection is that you were met at the door by the priest when you arrived there?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. And also your clear recollection is that when you were taken into a room by the priest, you sat down?
A. Yes.
Q. Detective Fox sat down?
A. Yes.
Q. The priest sat down?
A. Yes.
Q. And you didn't observe any bottle of alcohol near the chair where the priest was?
A. No, I can't recall a bottle. No, not at all.

## <EXAMINATION BY MR KELL:

MR KELL: Q. I want to ask you to confirm one matter. Your recollection is that you didn't see any pornographic magazines being shown to Father Des, is that the case, at
the interview?
A. I would remember if that was - if he was shown anything.

THE COMMISSIONER: Might Mr Robbs be excused?
MR KELL: Yes.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you for your evidence and you are excused, Mr Robbs.
<THE WITNESS WITHDREW
MR KELL: It might be convenient to take the morning tea adjournment, Commissioner.

MR GYLES: May I make one comment before you rise, Commissioner. Apropos of what I said this morning, Mr Kirkwood has told me now that the relevant article has been taken down from the Newcastle Herald website. We are grateful that he dealt with at least that aspect of it so quickly.

THE COMMISSIONER: I am grateful, too, Mr Kirkwood. Thank you very much.

## SHORT ADJOURNMENT

MS LONERGAN: Before proceeding with the evidence of this next witness, Maureen O'Hearn, I wish to make further submissions on the evidence relating to the question of ownership or otherwise of pornographic material by Fletcher.

Commissioner, you'11 recall that there was some evidence from now three witnesses on that particular issue.

THE COMMISSIONER: I do, Ms Lonergan.
MS LONERGAN: You will no doubt also recall that initially I tried to deal with that subject matter obliquely in an effort to protect, to the extent possible, the reputations and feelings of those who may be affected by that evidence. It became clear that that was not going to be able to be an approach that would be adequate, and Father Harrigan's solicitor, Ms McLaughlin, was instructed to cross-examine Detective Chief Inspector Fox on the issue and that opened
up the issue. I make no criticism at all of Ms McLaughlin in doing so. It was a forensic approach that was open for her to take and no doubt it was on instructions from her client.

Consistently with the general approach taken by this Commission when the application for a non-publication order was made, it was opposed by me despite the evidence having a tendency to embarrass persons concerned. There was an argument, you'll recall, Commissioner, about that evidence that was given by Detective Chief Inspector Fox that touched on those matters.

While I'm making these submissions, could I ask for a non-publication order of them until we've completed the submissions? Would that be appropriate?

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
MS LONERGAN: Thank you. Consistently with the position in relation to Chaffey, it is acknowledged, as a general proposition, that publication of some matters that we need to canvass in this Special Commission of Inquiry will or may cause hurt or embarrassment given the subject matter covered, but that is a matter with which we have to grapple.

Commissioner, you'11 recall that your decision on or around 8 July in relation to Detective Chief Inspector Fox's evidence was that there should be no non-publication order applied to it. Indeed some of that evidence was published in a number of media positions on and around 8 July.

The next chapter was that, last Friday, Father Harrigan himself gave evidence and an application was made by Ms McLaughlin for non publication of her client's evidence in its entirety because of the tendency to affect his reputation and cause hurt and embarrassment to him. You will recall that Mr Hunt indicated that such a non-publication order would have limited utility given there had already been some publication of matters generally relevant to that particular subject matter at the time Detective Chief Inspector Fox gave his evidence and Ms McLaugh1in argued strongly to the contrary.

At that time, Commissioner, that application was
acceded to, because of particular considerations that applied at that time and a particular situation where Father Harrigan's privacy was outweighed by the public interest of publication at that point in time.

Commissioner, today we've heard evidence from the third person who was present at that particular meeting that concerns the subject matter. That former police officer has given evidence that is partly consistent with part of the versions of those two other persons who have already given evidence - Detective Chief Inspector Fox and Father Harrigan.

Those who assist you take the view, Commissioner, that in the interests of balanced and fair reporting of the evidence presented on that issue and, also, in consideration of the need for this Special Commission's processes to be transparent, all evidence on this issue, including that given by Father Harrigan last Friday, as well as Mr Robbs' evidence today should be published.

THE COMMISSIONER: You mentioned, Ms Lonergan, that the order that I made last Friday was of limited utility. For the reasons that I made it, it was probably of greater utility for Father Harrigan on the very day he was giving evidence.

MS LONERGAN: Yes, Commissioner. May I clarify, if I was unclear, that I was simply stating for the record to remind those present that Mr Hunt made that submission last Friday. It is appreciated by those who assist you that there were particular personal matters relevant to Father Harrigan last Friday that may well have reduced in their acuteness in terms of the evidence today.

THE COMMISSIONER: We can all imagine what the headline would have been.

MS LONERGAN: Yes, Commissioner.
MS McLAUGHLIN: Commissioner, the order that was made by you on 26 July last Friday was made on my application. That application relied generally on the submission that Father Harrigan was only giving evidence to rebut allegations made by Detective Chief Inspector Fox.

The submission I made was that there was a
considerable lack of forensic weight or evidentiary weight to support those accusations, which, when balanced with the public interest of his privacy, merited the order been being made.

I also referred you, Commissioner, to counse1 assisting's generous and appropriate concession, which is at transcript page 1886 at line 37 , that there was no material before this Commission that there has been any destruction of evidence. It's my submission that that situation has not changed today and that the situation that Father Harrigan found himself in on Friday is exactly the same situation he finds himself in today. The passage of two or three days would not alleviate the damage that might occur to him personally, attached to his reputation, if the order were to be lifted or not applied to Jason Robbs' evidence today.

My friend Ms Lonergan referred to there being, generally speaking, a similarity between the evidence of Fox in his evidence-in-chief and cross-examination and the evidence of Father Harrigan and therefore there being some inconsistency in having one subject to an order and another not having such an order apply.

Whether that submission was made explicit by Ms Lonergan or not, in my submission, that's not the case. There are some distinctively different aspects to Father Harrigan's evidence that weren't raised in Detective Chief Inspector Fox's evidence and they are these: the issue of intoxication was not raised with Detective Chief Inspector Fox at all in evidence-in-chief or cross-examination. I am prepared to stand to be corrected on that particular issue, but, as I understand it, it certainly wasn't made public in the course of his evidence. The only insinuation that could be taken from is exhibit 81 , but my recollection is there was an order attached to that particular exhibit. I prepare to stand to be corrected, but that is my recollection. The other aspect --

THE COMMISSIONER: It was certainly put to your client that --

MS McLAUGHLIN: That is correct, but it wasn't raised in the course of Detective Chief Inspector Fox's evidence, which was not subject to a non-publication order. There's a point of distinction there.

The other distinction is the issue of distress and being visibly upset came about only in Father Harrigan's evidence. It was not raised in Detective Chief Inspector Fox's evidence. Also the issue about the source, the nature and form of the pornography was put quite explicitly before Father Harrigan and it wasn't brought up before Detective Chief Inspector Fox.

There's no criticism of that, but that's to point out that it is simply not a matter to say that there is an inconsistency in having an order apply to the evidence of Father Harrigan and not to Detective Chief Inspector Fox's evidence.

MS LONERGAN: My submission in relation to that has been misapprehended by Ms McLaugh1in, but I will address you --

MS McLAUGHLIN: Perhaps, but regardless that is my submission today; that there is a distinction between that evidence and the evidence of Detective Chief Inspector Fox.

Nonetheless, in my submission today, Jason Robbs is giving evidence for the same reason Father Harrigan is, and that's to rebut or fully investigate the evidence of Detective Chief Inspector Fox. Those allegations, as they relate to the terms of reference are the destruction of pornography that may or may not have involved young persons, that may or may not have involved Father Fletcher. That's as far as it goes.

In my submission, the forensic weight of the evidence to back up those claims has not been changed today. Admittedly, there are some matters that go to the credit of one or other witness, but not to the central feature, namely, was there material that is relevant to the Fletcher investigation owned by or possessed by my client that was destroyed? That situation hasn't changed.

My concern is that if a non-publication order is not made in relation to matters today, a truthful reporting of the situation will still have a negative impact on my client's reputation for these reasons. If there were a byline that read "Police officer cannot recall whether a priest was upset and intoxicated when confronted by Fox in relation to pornography allegedly owned by Fletcher", that would be a correct representation of the general evidence
of Jason Robbs, but that's a fairly damning summation to read in the newspaper. That's my concern when we are dealing with, as I have said before, a serving member of the clergy. That is an accurate statement, it is a damaging statement and it is one that, in my submission, would be unfair to be allowed to be reported and presented. Commissioner, that is the nature of my submissions to Father Harrigan.

I note my friend has referred to the decision of Chaffey. The only point I make in relation to the provision for non publication under the Act that applies to these proceedings is that it's different to those that apply in relation to the ICAC proceedings. Here it's simply a bald, open discretion that you have in relation to a non-publication order. The issue of public interest is explicit in the relevant legislation that applies in the matter of Chaffey, but I don't take the matter any further than that.

MR GYLES: I support Ms McLaughiin's position and say there were good reasons on Friday for the making of the order. Nothing has changed today. The evidence today on this issue is simply that this witness can't assist essentially in respect of how that issue is ultimately dealt with. It may end up simply being a matter of credit in the end.

There is always a balancing exercise between public reporting of information in an inquiry like this with coercive powers, to be balanced against the interests of individuals. The fact that, at some prior time, there was reporting of the evidence of Detective Chief Inspector Fox on this issue, that is not an answer to this application, because, as my learned friend has correctly pointed out, there are differences in the evidence now and if an order is not made, one must assume there will be further reporting. What has happened has happened, but that is not a reason, in my respectful submission, why the order you made on Friday was not appropriate.

MS LONERGAN: Commissioner, may I be heard further. It seems my submission was significantly misapprehended by Ms McLaughlin. It's not about the nature or character of the evidence that has been given and what weight it should be given. To make a submission based on that would be to prejudge the weight that ought to be given to evidence, and
it is not the time or place to do that now.
The basis of my submission is the necessity to address the asymmetry of the information current in the public domain. Currently in the public domain is evidence to the effect by a still serving police officer, a senior police officer, that Father Harrigan destroyed pornographic material. It has other associations with it, that is, to interfere with investigations - the very things that Father Harrigan and those who represent the diocese are ensuring are properly ventilated and considered. So we have a position of some evidence being out there that appears to not correctly reflect the evidence before this Commission and no evidence that does correctly reflect the evidence on this issue that the Commission has received.

So it's a matter of fairness and balance that has led me to request a revisiting of last Friday's non-publication order.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you, Ms Lonergan. Something that Mr Robbs' evidence certainly supported was the fact that if there was pornography, it was not child pornography, that's the first thing, and there is a complete absence of evidence to suggest that the material was of that nature.

There is also an absence of material to suggest that any of the material, if it existed, was used in connection with the sexual assault of any person. There is also an absence of evidence to suggest that if there was any material, that it was ever Fletcher's or had anything to do with Fletcher's activities.

Probably the first point is the most important for your client, Ms McLaugh1in, or perhaps they are equally important, but the highest it can be taken, I expect, is that at some stage your client has admitted that he had some form of pornographic material, the possession of which is not illegal.

MS LONERGAN: Commissioner, may I add an observation? The first time this matter was raised, one thing that was protected in terms of any association with Father Harrigan was any suggestion that there was any child-related pornographic material. Any suggestion that there was any association between Father Harrigan and that type of
material ought to be the subject of a non-publication order, but otherwise not, in our submission.

THE COMMISSIONER: I think it can go further than that. There is absolutely no evidence of any kind from any source that the material was child pornography.

MS LONERGAN: That's right. That's why there should be a non-publication order over even a question about it and the answer so that no inference could be drawn that there was a suspicion to that effect. Anyway I interrupted you, Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: So those matters are important. I can see that there is a residual matter which, in the case of a priest, may present some reputational difficulties, Ms McLaughlin. However, as today is not the day that your client has given his evidence, I don't believe, in all of the circumstances, that it is as damaging for him as it may have been if I had come to the conclusion then, which I will do now, that the non-publication order on your client's evidence should be lifted, and it is a matter of redressing the asymmetry and having all of the material about this issue in the public domain.

MS McLAUGHLIN: If the Commissioner pleases.
MS LONERGAN: The non-publication order or the temporary non-publication order over the evidence of former officer Robbs should also be lifted.

THE COMMISSIONER: That is also lifted. Thank you. MS LONERGAN: I call Maureen O'Hearn.
<MAUREEN O'HEARN, sworn:
[12.35pm]
MR GYLES: Might I raise section 23, Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Mr Gyles.

## <EXAMINATION BY MS LONERGAN:

MS LONERGAN: Q. Your full name is Maureen O'Hearn?
A. That's right.
Q. You are currently the coordinator of healing and
support at Zimmerman Services?
A. That's right.
Q. Ms O'Hearn, you prepared a statutory declaration dated 3 June 2013. A copy of it is going to be handed up to you now and a copy for the Commissioner. Is that statutory declaration true and correct to the best of your knowledge? A. It is.
Q. The purpose of the statutory declaration was to set out your training, background and experience in child care and protection related matters?
A. Yes.
Q. And, in particular, to address the aspects of your role as coordinator of healing and support at Zimmerman Services.
A. That's right.
Q. Third, to set out, in broad terms, the obligations, as you understand them, of reporting to the police, being a matter directly of interest to this inquiry?
A. Yes.
Q. Ms O'Hearn, you've been a social worker for 30 years?
A. Yes.
Q. Your background has been significantly based in child abuse and child protection?
A. That's right.
Q. In 1977 to 1981 you worked for Centacare providing counselling of a general nature to families and others?
A. Yes .
Q. You worked for the Department of Community Services as a child protection worker from 1981 to $1983 ?$
A. Yes.
Q. And then you were promoted to the more senior position of child protection consultant for the Hunter region with some oversight roles from 1983 to 1985?
A. That's right.
Q. From 1985 to 1989 you performed the role of sexual assault counsellor for the Department of Health in the Hunter region. You state in your statutory declaration in
paragraph 3(c) that you provided crisis as well as long-term counselling for child and adult victims of sexual abuse?
A. Yes.
Q. With crisis counselling, what does that mean?
A. That involved being called in to situations where people had recently or immediately recently been sexually assaulted. They were brought into the sexual assault centre and you were called in to deal with that presenting crisis.
Q. The long-term counselling, can you explain what that is and why it's provided long term?
A. Yes, the long-term counselling was for both child and adult victims of sexual abuse, so adult victims may have been victims of a recent sexual assault by another adult or they could have been adults who were survivors of historical abuse. The long-term counselling, in that setting, that counselling was probably a more structured counselling but it occurred over a longer period of time to deal with issues that were long-term.
Q. "Issues that were long term" means ongoing sequelae from the particular abuse they had suffered?
A. Yes.
Q. Switching, for the purposes of this question, to your experience with the people you have dealt with via Zimmerman Services, is it your experience that the effects of sexual abuse suffered as a child can indeed be very long term and lifelong in some cases?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Is it fair to say there is a type of journey that occurs in terms of dealing with these matters and returning, if possible, to a status of equilibrium, or is it more complex than that? Can you outline in brief terms how you see that process?
A. It probably is complex. I guess there are a few stages of that journey. I think the first part of that is to consider how long it is that people don't tell their story for, that it remains a secret, so that's sort of one stage. Then when people start to talk bit, I guess that's, hopefully, the presenting or the beginning phase of their journey towards healing. I guess my experience is when people first start to talk about it, that they still feel
that undeserved sense of shame and embarrassment and still feel that they don't want people to know that that's happened to them, and that gradually, as they tell their story and share their story more, and hopefully that story is met with acknowledgment and belief and acceptance and support, for most people, certainly not all but for most people, that they will more move towards having that, I guess, more integrated experience of their life.
Q. From 1989 to 1992 you worked for the Department of Community Services coordinating a particular child protection and assessment centre. In 1996 to 2002 you worked for CatholicCare children's services in an out of home care program?
A. That's right.
Q. Then in 2002 to 2004 you were project officer at CatholicCare where you dealt with adoptions and British child migrants and after care programs, and then in 2004 to 2007 you were the manager of children's services related to British child care migrant programs. Your commencement at Zimmerman Services, your position was initially a temporary position?
A. That's right.
Q. Is it fair to say that once you commenced there, in an interface with Bishop Malone, it became evident that there was more work to be done that you could assist with? A. Yes.
Q. Did you find Bishop Malone helpful and supportive in continuing your role there to offer support to those affected?
A. Yes, very, very supportive.
Q. Have you found the same position applies with the current bishop, Bishop Wright?
A. Yes, Bishop Wright continues to strongly support it.
Q. In terms of the provision of services, is there any line drawn in the sand where a person who has interface with Zimmerman Services is only allowed a certain number of consultations or interfaces with you, or is it an ongoing service?
A. No, it's an ongoing service.
Q. Can we take it that it's provided free by the diocese
as part of the service that the diocese provides to people that have gone through these experiences?
A. Yes, my support of these people, that's a free service.
Q. Can we take it that there is no requirement in accessing these services to have brought some sort of claim against the diocese?
A. Sorry?
Q. Some sort of legal proceeding or claim against the diocese, they can simply access you and the services you provide by contacting Zimmerman Services?
A. Yes.
Q. You mention in your statement in paragraph 6 that you have a number of particular roles. "Referral to and facilitation of counselling services for individuals", can we take it that's where persons need a more long-term counselling situation with somebody separate from the diocese?
A. Yes, my role is not to offer counselling per se, I guess because there are too many people, but it's to outsource that counselling to people who have experience and expertise in this area and who live sort of locally.
Q. You assist with matching people to counsellors you think will assist?
A. Yes, making those referrals and ensuring that it's happening and it's a right fit for the person.
Q. "Reporting abuse to police and supporting and facilitating a person making a statement to police or other statutory authority", is it the position that you
personally, on occasion, accompany people to the police?
A. Yes.
Q. And sit with them while they go through that process?
A. Yes, that's happened, and I guess there have been occasions where people have had mixed feelings about whether they want to make a statement to the police and I've facilitated them meeting with the police who would be involved and listening to the police, explain what would happen before making the decision, and, yes, sometimes sitting with people when they make a statement.
Q. When you are talking about "other statutory
authorities", are there other organisations separate to the police to whom people have to make a particular complaint or statement that's dealt with outside the diocese?
A. Well, if it was a child, it would be reported to family community services and, also, it would be reported through the investigations team at Zimmerman Services in terms of the ombudsman's legislation.
Q. In terms of reporting matters to the police, is it the position that historical complaints of abuse are still reported to the police by you - we'11 just deal with you at the moment - even though the perpetrator is deceased? A. Yes .
Q. Has that always been the position since you've worked at the diocese, in terms of yourself?
A. It has sort of changed a bit. I guess sometimes when we report that to police, certain police will say, "If the perpetrator is deceased, we don't really want that information", whereas others are happy to take it. But I guess now we just have a blanket - report everything to the police.
Q. That has been a confirmed and written statement of policy since the middle of this year?
A. Yes.
Q. Is it your understanding that there is no requirement for persons working at the diocese to have to go through the diocesan child protection unit to report to the police, they can do that as well as report to the police personally?
A. People who work in the diocese?
Q. Just people who work generally in the diocese, does it have to be filtered through the diocesan child protection unit, the reporting to police, or is there also an ability for an individual to report directly to the police if they choose to?
A. They can. I guess the policy would be that that would get reported through Zimmerman Services so we can ensure all the associated services can get offered to that person so there can be some track, but if someone has a reason for not doing that, they can certainly contact the police directly themselves.
Q. In terms of interrelationship between - I'11
concentrate on you at the moment - and the police, is it the position that you have ongoing interface with police yourself regarding victims of child sexual assault including historical child sexual assault?
A. What do you mean "ongoing interface"?
Q. Do you, on a day-to-day basis, have conversations with the police about matters that have come up and matters that are before the courts?
A. Yes, and I refer - I guess that I personally worked very closely with Strike Force Georgiana, so I was making referrals to them. Also when they get new people coming forward, they automatically refer them to Zimmerman Services for support.
Q. In that way you sometimes are acquainted with people who are referred to you by the police?
A. Yes.
Q. And you provide persons referred that way with all the services you would provide to people who come directly to Zimmerman Services?
A. Yes. Probably the police would be my greatest referrer.
Q. Do you find that some persons are more comfortable dealing with somebody who is a representative of the church but a lay person as opposed to a clergy member, or are you unable to offer an opinion about that?
A. I would imagine that they would - if you've got someone coming forward, for example, who has been abused by a priest, they would feel more comfortable meeting with someone who was not a priest.
Q. In 6(d) of your statement you mention that one of your roles is to advocate:

```
... to the diocese or other Church
authorities on behalf of the person or
groups of people who have been adversely
affected [by child sexual abuse.]
```

What sort of advocating are you talking about there? What sorts of matters?
A. Well, I guess sometimes there might be situations in terms of people's own healing journey, that there might be particular things that they would like happen. For
example, some people would like to meet with the bishop. Other people, because of where the abuse occurred, there might be particular things that they would like done around sort of the facility in which they were abused or - so I sort of advocate on their behalf for that to happen. In terms of - yes, I guess, if there are groups of people who are wanting sort of particular services or support, that I can advocate to the diocese on their behalf.
Q. Do you find that those who are senior to you at the diocese are responsive to your requests and initiatives? A. Yes.
Q. And in circumstances where a person affected wants to meet with the bishop, he accedes to that request, or those requests?
A. Yes.
Q. You mention in (e) supporting the person and their family through periods of distress. In practical terms, how does that happen or work?
A. Some of the people that I have contact with, I've had contact with sort of from the beginning of the service in 2007. Some people sort of come and go, and might feel for the moment that things are sort of settled and for whatever reason or for whatever trigger might occur, it could set things off again and that family, that person, might need particular support through that time. I guess that sometimes there might be other life events that occur that require some sort of extra support as well.
Q. Is it fair to say that each person has their own individual responses to different stressors and recollections and events and, as a result, you're unable to map out clearly what people will need and it may change from time to time?
A. Yes, and it's hard to anticipate. What might be a trigger for one person may not be a trigger for another person, so it's hard to - every person's journey, I guess, is unique and you can't really anticipate those things along the way.
Q. Are there still persons affected by sexual abuse by McAlinden and Fletcher coming forward to you?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. Even as recently as while this Special Commission has
been running?
A. Yes.
Q. You mentioned the importance of working with groups. Can you outline your perception of the usefulness and importance of the group setting in dealing with these particular matters of child sexual abuse?
A. I think that people meeting in groups, that the members of these groups - they are very informal gatherings. They are not sort of formal structured groups per se, it might be meeting over a coffee, but people who have experienced similar things derive a great deal of support from other people who have experienced that.

I sometimes find when I first meet people that they may reach a stage where I can see that it would be beneficial for them to meet with another person who has experienced a similar sort of thing. I guess that there are groups of people, and sometimes it might be beneficial for people to meet with the group; or, on other occasions, it might be beneficial for someone to meet sort of one-on-one with that person, just to know that they are talking to someone who has been through exactly the same sort of thing. That might be someone who has been directly affected themselves, it might be the partner of someone, it might be the mother of someone, but my experience is that there is great support derived from that mutual support and sharing.
Q. In paragraph 7 you talk about the practice in your role as coordinator of healing and support to report complaints of child sexual abuse to the New South Wales police and that, during your time at Zimmerman Services, it has always been policy to do that. Can we take it that you are not able to confidently say what the policy was before you arrived?
A. No.
Q. By "no" you mean yes, you are not able to say?
A. That's right.
Q. In terms of paragraph 8, you quote some of the policies and procedures from Zimmerman House that include that it is the practice of Zimmerman House to notify the police of any potential crime and the name of the alleged offender, et cetera, even if the aggrieved person will not allow their name to be disclosed. Is it your understanding
that that includes any potential concealing offences? A. Yes.
Q. In paragraph 9 and paragraph 10, you outline two particular circumstances where you helped facilitate particular meetings with the police that then led to police action and statements being made by the persons concerned. Were you present physically with these two interfaces with the Police Force, or did you just facilitate them happening?
A. I wasn't present when both of those people gave their statements - oh, no, in the first one where the person didn't want - was happy for the police to know, but he didn't initially want his name mentioned, after I met with him a few times, he then agreed to meet with them. So I was there for that meeting. He then decided he would make a statement.

In the second occasion, the man said that he was happy for me to pass on all of his details to the police, but he was unsure about making a statement. He said he just wanted to make sure that the same things didn't happen to anyone else again, and I said to him that the best way of doing that was to actually make a statement to the police. He then agreed for me to actually arrange a joint home visit with the particular detective, so that she could explain the whole process to him and what would be involved and, following that, he agreed to make a statement.
Q. You found that you were able to facilitate that direct contact occurring?
A. Yes.
Q. Ms O'Hearn, in paragraph 11 you talk about the policy, that is the investigations policy of the diocese, confirming an obligation to report suspected criminal conduct and that, at the time at least of that particular investigations policy, all relevant information is given to the police, including the identity of the reporter and the alleged victim as well as the perpetrator. Are you able to say whether, prior to that investigations policy coming in, you know about a process of blind reporting where as much detail as possible was provided, but not the names of the victims, or is that something before your time?
A. It probably was before my time. That first person I just referred to, that was probably a matter of that blind reporting when he was happy for the details to be
given without his - the details of the offence, but not his details, but then we became aware that he was actually one of a number of people who had been abused by a certain perpetrator, so it was more important that he - but from that point on, I think that was probably the last of the blind reporting.
Q. You've given evidence to the effect that persons affected by sexual abuse, particularly the ones who have been abused directly, feel a sense of misplaced shame about what's happened to them. Do you find that that affects people's willingness or otherwise to state in a public domain how these things have affected them?
A. Oh, yes, very much so.
Q. Even in criminal proceedings, have you found that, on occasion, the victim's impact statements, for example, need to be read by persons other than the victim who was the subject of a particular criminal matter?
A. Yes. They very much want the court to know how they feel, but they don't fee1, at that point in time, quite able to deliver that themselves.

MS LONERGAN: If I could tender the statutory declaration.
THE COMMISSIONER: Ms O'Hearn's statutory declaration will be admitted and marked exhibit 213.

EXHIBIT \#213 STATUTORY DECLARATION OF MAUREEN O'HEARN, DATED 3/06/2013

MS LONERGAN: Ms O'Hearn, others at the bar table may now have questions for you, or not, as the case may be.

MR BICKFORD: No, thank you.
MR ROSER: No, thank you, Commissioner.
<EXAMINATION BY MR GOGARTY:
MR GOGARTY: Q. Just a couple of questions, Ms O'Hearn. You discussed earlier in your evidence victims undergoing a journey towards healing; is that right?
A. Yes .
Q. Would you agree with my proposition that that journey towards healing is for many people a life-long journey?
A. Yes, absolutely.
Q. Could you describe to the Commissioner why that might be the case?
A. I think for some, probably for many, sadly, some people don't have the strength to make that journey which ends with suicide. I think for others, whilst the abuse may not dominate their lives, it becomes a part of their lives, but at any time there can be triggers that set that off again, so I think the abuse will never go away and it can't be undone, so even though it might become a smaller part of the person's life, it has the potential to sort of erupt at any time because of those triggers, so it can never be completely over, I guess.

MR GOGARTY: Thank you, Ms O'Hearn. Thank you, Commissioner.
<EXAMINATION BY MS GERACE:
MS GERACE: Q. In paragraph 14 of your statutory declaration, Ms O'Hearn, you talk about victims of church abuse almost needing permission from the church to pursue making a complaint to the police. Can I ask you some questions about that from your experience. Have you observed that where a person has been abused by a member of the church, that person needs to feel confident in the response of the church to them if they were to go the police?
A. Yes, that has certain1y been my experience.
Q. In your observations of the victims of sexual abuse by clergy, do you observe, for instance, the victims to have been in a position where they have looked to the church as a body of authority for them in their life in the past, prior to the abuse or at the time of the abuse?
A. Yes, and I guess that often people who are abused by church personnel have been very much a part of a devout family where the church has been very important to them. So, yes, I think to have the church's blessing in a sense to go ahead and do something is very important for some people.
Q. And for those who you've observed and dealt with who have had that devout relationship, have you observed in them, or have they told you, that the church has stood as a way where they have been guided in their day-to-day life as
to what to do?
A. For some people, older generations, yes.
Q. In the role that you have now with Zimmerman Services, is it fair to say that the approach being taken, at least from the time you've been there in 2007, is one of being open and non-judgmental when someone comes forward to you with a complaint?
A. Yes.
Q. When you talk about providing the permission by way of practical support and encouragement, is the approach now being taken by the church through Zimmerman Services to provide an imprimatur or the encouragement in a holistic way of whatever the victim wishes to do about their abuse in terms of their relationship with the police?
A. Yes, I think it's giving them a message that there is an openness and acceptance of that, yes.
Q. Can I ask you about your paragraph where you've said that victims often can return to a child-like state and be concerned about repercussions for them in coming forward with their complaint. You've said in paragraph 14 that part of the problem for the victims is that they are fearful of repercussions from the church and you've then gone on to state that this fear is $i 11$ founded. Can I understand that comment? Does that comment refer to your experience of the response of the church from the time you've been at Zimmerman House since 2007?
A. Can you just clarify that?
Q. I'm just asking, you've said that the fear of repercussions from the church is $i 11$ founded.
A. Yes, that has been my experience, that it is ill founded.
Q. From your experience from working at Zimmerman Services from 2007 onwards?
A. Yes.
Q. Can I ask further about the support services that are provided. One of the things that's also provided through Zimmerman Services, is an external funded counselling; is that right?
A. That's right.
Q. As I understand it, that is limited to five sessions;
is that correct or not?
A. No, it's five sessions initially and then there are extensions with review. I guess we want to make sure that it's the right counselling for that person, that it's a good fit, that it's working, so the five sessions are the initial approval.
Q. Are you happy with the way the external funded counselling is working in terms of what you are observing from the victims who you are dealing with and liaising with?
A. Yes. I guess that is why it's important for me not just - yes, it's not just a matter of simply referring someone off to a counsellor and never having to worry about them again. Part of my role is to keep in contact with the person and also to liaise with the counsellor to make sure that it's working for the person, that the person is getting there, that it's having some sort of effect for the person.
Q. You were asked about your knowledge of other victims coming forward concerning Father McAlinden. Without going into any names, am I correct in understanding that victims have come forward from as far as New Zealand concerning Father McAlinden?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you had experience of other victims coming forward from interstate?
A. Yes .

MS GERACE: Those are my questions.

## <EXAMINATION BY MR COHEN:

MR COHEN: Q. I have one question, Ms O'Hearn. You indicated in your paragraph 15:

My experience is that some members of the church community (parishioners) are quite vocal in proclaiming the innocence of the accused and staunchly support that person.

The "accused" there is a priest, is it?
A. An accused member of the church, mostly a priest, or it could be a schoolteacher in a Catholic school.
Q. Ms Gerace has asked you a question about your reference to the fear of repercussions being ill founded. Do you accept that there are a number of people that have given evidence that their fear is neither ill founded nor absent?

MR GYLES: I object. It's referring to witnesses who have given evidence. Ms O'Hearn's evidence is that, in her experience, people should not fear coming forward because there will not be repercussions.

MS LONERGAN: I agree with Mr Gyles's objection.
THE COMMISSIONER: And it has been unfounded from the church. I think any suggestion of repercussions cannot be sheeted home to officials of the church, Mr Cohen.

MR COHEN: I'11 approach it this way.
Q. Do you understand that there is a fear that appears to be well founded --

MS LONERGAN: I can't hear Mr Cohen.
MR GYLES: I object.
MS LONERGAN: And I think I object to the question.
MR GYLES: I object to Mr Cohen asking questions in this area, in any event. It hasn't anything to do with his client.

MR COHEN: My client has given evidence about the very question of ostracism, and I am entitled to test this. This notion that $I$ can be shut down at every opportunity if I ask a question that is inconvenient, with respect, is not --

MS LONERGAN: Commissioner, I rise to take exception to the idea that there has been a shutting down of Mr Cohen's questioning. It has already been raised that the area in which he is treading now is an objectionable area. If Mr Cohen could ask the question, then Ms O'Hearn can pause, and then objections could be taken in turn.

MR COHEN: I wasn't referring to what Ms Lonergan was saying. I was referring to the notion that $I$ can't
possibly stray into this area as it's put.
THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Cohen, Ms O'Hearn's experience only starts relatively recently in history, doesn't it?

MR COHEN: Let me ask this question:
Q. I take it you don't have any experience of anything of this sort before 2007; is that right?
A. Any experience of?
Q. Of ill-founded repercussions, as you put it, before 2007?
A. No, it has not been an area of my work so it has not something I've been aware of.
Q. So you can't give any opinion about this matter before 2007; is that right?
A. Not really.

MR COHEN: Thank you, Commissioner.
MS NEEDHAM: No questions.
MR HARBEN: No questions.
MR SKINNER: No questions, Commissioner.
MS McLAUGHLIN: No questions.
<EXAMINATION BY MR GYLES:
MR GYLES: Q. Ms O'Hearn, you were asked some questions about external counselling. Obviously that is one of the services that is provided by you in your role?
A. Yes.
Q. If you think that is appropriate?
A. Yes.
Q. And if the survivor or victim obviously agrees to that course and wants to do that?
A. That's right.
Q. You talked about an initial five consultations?
A. Yes.
Q. And if it is thought beneficial for the victim or the person you're dealing with to have additional counselling, presumably you will make a recommendation and that will be authorised and that will happen. Is that the case?
A. That has been my experience, yes.
Q. To be clear, that external counselling is funded by Zimmerman Services, not by the - at least that which we are talking about is funded by Zimmerman Services?
A. Funded by the diocese, yes.
Q. Can we take it from the evidence that you've given that you take your role as an advocate for victims extremely seriously?
A. I do.
Q. You do that without fear or favour?
A. That's right.
Q. One further matter, again to avoid any confusion about this: when a person comes into your orbit, when a person comes to you, whether it's a recommendation or referral by the police or someone comes in themselves, there are a range of services that are available to them.
A. Yes .
Q. Agreed? One of the avenues they have is to seek compensation.
A. Yes.
Q. If they do seek compensation, there can be an impact in terms of the timing of that process if there is an extant police investigation in relation to that allegation. That's the case, isn't it?
A. There can be, and the police sometimes have a view about whether that should happen at the end of the investigation or the end of the trial, or whatever. Yes, that's not my call. There are other constraints around that.
Q. In some circumstances there will not be a police investigation because the perpetrator may be dead?
A. That's right.
Q. Where there is a police investigation which is extant and where that impacts upon the timing of the compensation procedures, in other words, it has to be done at some later
time, to be clear, the other services you offer, such as external counselling, group sessions - all those other things - are available to that person. That's the case, isn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. So the Towards Healing protocol and the services provided by Zimmerman Services are available to a person where there is an extant police investigation, subject only to a qualification or a restriction in respect of the compensation aspect?
A. Yes, the Towards Healing protocol is quite separate to Zimmerman Services. So we provide support and healing. Towards Healing is a process through which people may make a claim.
Q. But so far as you are concerned --
A. Yes, our services of support, counselling, whatever, absolutely continue.
Q. Are available to everyone?
A. Yes, and part of my role is to support people through making a civil claim, so, yes, our services certainly don't stop. They continue throughout that.
Q. Again, that's a process you undertake to the extent that you are advocating a victim's position in that respect - that is a role again that you take seriously and you do that without fear or favour?
A. Yes.
Q. On their behalf; agree?
A. Yes.
Q. To the extent you've given evidence about some reluctance of individuals or requiring permission from the church to go to the police, what you are effectively telling us is that the way you manage these matters, you make it clear that, to the extent that there is a person labouring under a misapprehension that they need permission, that you give them that permission?
A. I guess that's figuratively speaking. I think I do that by very practical means, by taking them to the police, supporting them going to the police, sitting with them while they are making a statement. So I see that as the most practical way to demonstrate any approval that they might feel they need.
Q. On a day-to-day basis, what you tell us in your affidavit is that whatever misgivings or concerns people might have when they come to see you, they have always gone to the police, despite such misgivings, perhaps through the work you do with them to facilitate and support them in that process?
A. Yes, my experience has been that they have always been very open to me reporting matters to the police. Even if down the track they may choose not to make a statement, I've never had anyone who has strongly resisted my reporting something to the police.

MR GYLES: Those are my questions.
<EXAMINATION BY MS LONERGAN:
MS LONERGAN: Q. Ms O'Hearn, you've had many dealings with victims of McAlinden?
A. I have.
Q. Are you able to estimate about how many people you've spoken to who were victims of McAlinden, just
approximately?
A. About 28.
Q. Is it fair to say that the earliest complaint that you've dealt with is about 1953/1954?
A. Actually I had one earlier than that. I had a woman who was abused in 1949. That was the earliest one I knew.
Q. The latest was about 1986 in terms of abusive conduct - sexually abusive conduct by McAlinden; does that equate with your recollection?
A. With someone --
Q. Who was abused in about 1986?
A. Yes.

MS LONERGAN: That's the re-examination, Commissioner. If Ms O'Hearn could be excused from her summons. However there is one further matter. Ms O'Hearn has given evidence to the effect that victims and people who have been sexually abused by people such as McAlinden have great difficulty in stating themselves, in a public domain, what's happened to them. It is proposed that Ms O'Hearn will read two impact statements - one from a woman who was
sexually abused by McAlinden when she was a little girl of 10 in 1954, and another by a young woman who was sexually abused by McAlinden when she was a little girl aged 11.

THE COMMISSIONER: In what year was the second one?
MS LONERGAN: The second one was in 1986. Commissioner, to this stage of the inquiry, we've heard from one particular person who was abused by Fletcher and it is recognised very much that these are only two examples of people who have suffered at the hands of McAlinden, but they provide an indication of the type of effects these matters can have on these people.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. As to your evidence, Ms O'Hearn, thank you for it, and you are now excused. I would be very grateful if you would read on to the record the victim impact statements from those two women with whom you have been working.

THE WITNESS: The first impact statement is from [ABR]:
I was born in September 1944. I am nearly 69 years old.

Denis McAlinden came to Taree in 1954. He started to sexually abuse me soon after he arrived in the parish. I was only 10-years-old when the abuse started. When he arrived in Taree he became a part of our family, and was welcomed into our home by our parents. My siblings and myself at the time thought we were pretty "special" having a priest as a friend of our family.

My family was very involved in the church. McAlinden was always "dropping in" to see us. He was regularly invited to our home for family dinners and special occasions like birthdays and Christmas. Sundays were usually picnic days in the bush or at the beach, and McAlinden was always there. He came on family summer holidays with us every year he was in Taree. My parents even allowed me to go on holidays with him and another girl to Melbourne.

> My parents died not knowing of the truth about McAlinden. They would have been so devastated if they were alive today and knew about my abuse and that so many other girls were abused over so many years. They trusted him.
> I was raised in a very good Catholic family, attending Mass weekly, sometimes more, and participating in a lot of church activities. My parents were wonderful workers for the church. My siblings and I attended a Catholic school from kinder to year 9.
> I was involved in many groups through the schools, one being The Legion of Mary. McAlinden was put in charge of The Legion of Mary. The average age of the girls was 10 to 12 years. I often wonder about those girls. I realise now it probably was not just me that he was abusing.
> After Legion of Mary meetings, McAlinden would drive some of the girls home and I was always the last to be taken home. He would drive me to the bush and this is where my nightmare began. He would sexually abuse me and told me it was all right and to be very quiet. I was so scared of him and he said that he could read my mind.
> I believed him when he said that if I told anyone he would know because he could read my mind and I would be in a lot of trouble. Although I was only 10 years old, I knew that priests were held in high esteem and everyone trusted them, so why would anyone believe me?
> McAlinden would give us gifts for birthdays and Christmas, always giving me the most expensive gifts, probably another way of keeping me quiet.
> McAlinden left Taree in 1958 but he stayed
in contact with our family for nearly 20 years until the mid 1970s.

When I was engaged and planning our wedding in 1965, my parents thought it would be wonderful if I asked McAlinden to come back to Taree and perform the wedding ceremony. I said, "No". They were disappointed and did not ask why. Even though I was 20 years old, I could not tell them.

When I got married in 1965, McAlinden sent a wedding present of Irish linen. I gave it away.

A couple of years after we were married, we moved to another mid-north coast town. When we were living there, in the early to mid 1970s, McAlinden would turn up unannounced at our home.

By 1972, we had three little children, two girls and a boy. I did not let our children out of my sight when McAlinden was visiting. At these times of "surprise visits" I would feel the need to protect my family. He came four or five times and then his visits suddenly stopped. I think that we last heard from him in 1976. My sister lived in Forster and she told me that he had suddenly left the Parish and she thought he had gone to Western Australia. I was glad that he was finally gone.

It was only four years ago when I saw media statements about McAlinden that I told my husband and siblings about what had happened to me. I had filed this all away in the back of my mind but had never forgotten. While I write this statement I can so clearly recall what happened back in the 1950s. Everything to do with McAlinden comes flooding back.

Denis McAlinden was an extremely bad tempered, evil man. He was a sexual
predator of little girls. I am so angry that I feel that the church kept him hidden and so many women and their families have suffered. That suffering goes on now as the truth comes out. So much secrecy when so many people knew. Someone has to be held accountable. Nearly 60 years after he abused me, finally the truth is coming out.

This impact statement is from [AQ]:
I was born in April 1975. I am now 38 years old.

When I was 11 years old, Denis McAlinden came to Adamstown. He befriended my parents who were very involved in Parish 7ife. He spent a lot of time at the school. Very soon after he arrived in the Parish, he was visiting our home several times a week in the evenings. Ours was a household full of girls.

Through my last two years of primary school in 1986 and 1987 this so-called priest sexually abused me in the presbytery, at the church, in my home, on the school playground, in his car, and during parish events and family outings to which he was frequently invited.

He got away with abusing me almost in front of people because everyone was blinded by his being a priest.

I have lived with so much pain because of this abuse of me. Some days I can barely function. The constant media is very hard although I am glad that the culture of secrecy is being exposed.

I am now a 38-year-old mother of four. I have painstakingly tried to remain anonymous whilst dealing with all of this as I do not want the matters at hand to fall on the shoulders of my precious children. I do not want them to carry the
burdens of my abuse throughout their lives and relive the pain I have lived with for so long.

I have carried the burdens of the Catholic Church for far too long and believe that the pain this has caused should stop here with me. I'm doing my best.

When news broke that the wrongdoings of McAlinden had been brought to light, I was home alone with three of my children: the front page of the Newcastle Herald slapped me in the face hard. I was totally terrified - to the point where I repeatedly threw up for hours and could not talk to anyone for such a long time. My husband was away at the time. I remember it as a blurry, sickening haze. I remember not wanting to be alive.

Who else had he hurt? Were they my friends? My family? I was absolutely terrified. I felt like I was back there, like I was that little girl hiding something. I felt that if anyone knew about me - if someone found out - that I would be in trouble. My terror continued for so 7 long .

I didn't sleep (I still don't do that too well). I didn't eat and just tried to take it day by day.

This went on for a long while until I finally had the courage to tell my husband. It was only with his support that, eventually I too found the courage to speak out and report what had happened to me, 20 years after the abuse.

Life does not stop while I tried to deal with these things. I still have to try to carry on as usual, being a mum, a wife, and trying to run a business. All of these tasks I still find to this day so very hard. To everyone on the outside looking

> in, I may seem fine. I have become so good at hiding my terror and distress that no-one really knows what goes on in my daily life. On the inside it's pretty messy. It's ugly. I don't think that will ever go away. There is sadness, so much sadness - you become friends with sadness as it's here more days than it's not. You know some days will be better than others but sadness is always there and I suspect it will always be there.
> Anger. Anger came later but it stayed for a long while and is not going anywhere. I want to know why? Why did no-one stop him before he got to me? Can someone please tell me?
> If only someone had stopped him before he did that to me.
> I often wonder what life would have been like had this not happened to me? The pain I live with and do my best to deal with is there every single day. For the rest of my life I will wake up with that pain. Why was he involved so heavily with the school I attended? Why was he free to be around so many young innocent children?
> If the Inquiry finds that there were people who knew about this and allowed this to happen, we the victims might find some peace knowing that at least, after all these years something has been done and someone has been held accountable.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much, Ms O'Hearn.
MS LONERGAN: I suggest a short lunch today, unless those at the bar table would prefer a longer break, and we recommence at 2 pm .

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, I will adjourn till 2 pm LUNCHEON ADJOURNMENT

UPON RESUMPTION:
MS LONERGAN: I call Bishop William Joseph Wright.
<WILLIAM JOSEPH WRIGHT, sworn: [2.29pm]
<EXAMINATION BY MS LONERGAN:
MS LONERGAN: Q. Your full name is William Joseph Wright?
A. Yes.
Q. You are the bishop of Maitland-Newcastle?
A. Yes.
Q. At the request of those who assist the Commissioner you prepared a statutory declaration dated 29 July 2013 for the assistance of the Commission?
A. Yes.
Q. I'11 hand a copy of that and one for the Commissioner. I note, Commissioner, and Bishop Wright, that paragraph 40 and the question above it have been redacted out of the document. Is paragraph 40, and the question above it, crossed out of your document or not?
A. No, it's not. It's still there.

MS LONERGAN: Commissioner, it's the intention of those who assist you that when this document is tendered that question and paragraph 40 will be redacted out of the document because it refers to matters prior to Bishop Wright's time at the diocese and thus is not helpful in that form to the Commission.

I tender the statutory declaration.
THE COMMISSIONER: The statutory declaration of Bishop William Wright of 29 July 2013 will be admitted and marked exhibit 214.

EXHIBIT \#214 STATEMENT OF BISHOP WILLIAM WRIGHT, DATED 29/07/2013

MS LONERGAN: Q. Bishop, this year you prepared a particular letter to be forwarded to all in the Catholic diocese of Maitland-Newcastle?
A. Yes.
Q. That letter is dated 1 March 2013 and that letter addressed your wish and request that persons cooperate and assist this Commission of Inquiry with their work?
A. That's correct.
Q. I hand up a copy of that letter and a copy for the Commissioner. Bishop, was it the position that after the formal opening of the inquiry, you reiterated particular comments by Commissioner Cunneen for the benefit of those within the diocese who had not heard them? Was that your purpose of reiterating those comments in this pastoral letter?
A. Yes.
Q. You state particularly in this letter that you --
A. I would have put those comments there for those who had not heard them or perhaps to remind them.
Q. Was it your opinion, and is it your opinion, that the work of the Special Commission is something helpful to victims of child sexual assault in terms of ventilating relevant issues and history?
A. Yes.
Q. Bishop, you were in court this morning when Ms O'Hearn gave particular evidence regarding the diocesan position on various matters to do with reporting to police and assisting and supporting victims of child sexual assault? A. Yes .
Q. Is it the position that you agree with her evidence in terms of diocesan commitment to assisting those persons so affected?
A. Yes, it is. I do.
Q. Bishop, is it the position that the diocese has a review process in terms of looking at these matters of child protection and support of historical victims of child sexual assault and indeed current victims?
A. Yes, it's an ongoing matter. Any development along the way, we look at and we review matters, yes.
Q. In terms of processes and the engagement with outside authorities, could you articulate for the benefit of those present the position of the diocese in terms of your role as head of the diocese as to engagement with those outside
authorities on these issues?
A. Generally speaking, the engagement is handled directly by Zimmerman Services on my behalf. Where questions arise
as to the appropriateness of responding in particular ways to an outside authority, that may be brought to me. My generalised direction is we cooperate in every way possible.
Q. Bishop, is it fair to say that there is a commitment to a transparency of processes within the diocese and in those contacts with outside authorities?
A. Yes, it is. I believe it's fair to say, yes.
Q. Is that a position that you will support continuing into the future?
A. Certainly. Into the future we will continue to hear from victims, I imagine, the sorts of things that might be of help to them, we'll certainly hear from the conclusions of an inquiry like this and the coming Royal Commission. Other bits of experience along the way, we'11 continue to try to improve what we can do in all of these matters of child protection and supporting victims, and with transparency and openness, as you've said, but it's an ongoing commitment to do the best we can with it at any given time, yes.

MS LONERGAN: I tender the letter from Bishop Wright dated 1 March 2013.

THE COMMISSIONER: The letter from Bishop William Wright to all in the Catholic diocese of Maitland-Newcastle dated 1 March 2013 wil1 be admitted and marked exhibit 215.

EXHIBIT \#215 LETTER FROM BISHOP WILLIAM WRIGHT TO THE
CATHOLIC DIOCESE OF MAITLAND-NEWCASTLE DATED $1 / 03 / 2013$
MS LONERGAN: Those are my questions.
MR BICKFORD: I have no questions.
MR ROSER: No, thank you.
MR GOGARTY: No, thank you.
MS GERACE: No.
MR COHEN: No.

MS NEEDHAM: No.
MR HARBEN: No.
MR SKINNER: No questions.
MR GYLES: No questions.
MS LONERGAN: Commissioner, could Bishop Wright be excused.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much for your evidence. You are excused.
<THE WITNESS WITHDREW
MS LONERGAN: Commissioner, today represents the end of a significant phase of the inquiry, that being the public hearings, which have proceeded for eight weeks here in Newcastle.

This inquiry is a process involving many stages both public and private. At the end of this important stage, I pause to summarise some of the Commission's important work to date.

The inquiry's terms of reference were initially promulgated on 21 November 2012. Further terms of reference were promulgated on 25 January 2013. To date, there have been more than 100 summonses issued for production of documents and records. There have been more than 100 private hearings and interviews, including international and interstate teleconferences. There have been 33 in-camera public hearings, and 41 witnesses called to give evidence on the public hearings conducted over the last eight weeks or over the eight weeks, with a break in between.

This inquiry has presented, and indeed continues to present, a complex set of challenges. These have included the need to sit extended hours and for practitioners and witnesses to attend lengthy and late after-hours sessions.

This has involved a great deal of extra work for our hard working court staff and of course long hours for a very dedicated transcript reporters. Practitioners, and of
course, also Mr Peter Gogarty, and witnesses summonsed before the inquiry have shown a great deal of fortitude and flexibility in accommodating those irregular arrangements. On behalf of those assisting, I express our gratitude for their cooperation and assistance.

Members of the public have also shown great commitment to the important work of the inquiry and have stayed here listening to extended public hearings, including a 6pm finish this week, and other late finishes on other occasions.

We also thank the press for their cooperation with the inquiry's processes. Their coverage has assisted in ensuring an important level of transparency in the ongoing work of the inquiry, to the extent possible in all the circumstances, and the subject matter with which we are dealing.

There are, of course, some phases of the inquiry's work still to come. One of the next phases will be a further two weeks of in-camera hearings to be conducted in Sydney. Any further matters that need to be ventilated in public are likely to be heard in Sydney and relevant dates will be notified on the inquiry's website and via media release. Arrangements will be put in place to notify practitioners of further procedural commitments as soon as possible.

It is likely that those procedural matters, such as the tender of additional documents and the like, will be dealt with in Sydney, but this is for practical purposes. Those hearings will be public sessions that will again be notified by media release and advertisement on the websites. The courts will be open for the public to attend those sessions, Commissioner.

Commissioner, given the wide interest in the work of the inquiry and the importance of public scrutiny of these important issues, you determined that it was necessary to undertake public hearings regarding the inquiry's terms of reference and to hold those hearings in Newcastle, being the region most affected by this subject matter.

Commissioner, it has been the tremendous privilege of those assisting you to meet with members of the Hunter-Manning community and the people of Newcastle who
have been so deeply affected by the matters the subject of this inquiry and who have been affected by the scourge of child sexual abuse generally. Many of these people have sat day by day to follow the evidence, often, I am sure, in solidarity for family members or for others who cannot be here. We thank those people for their attendance and support for this inquiry's important work.

## Thank you.

THE COMMISSIONER: I certainly endorse your sentiments, Ms Lonergan, and I would like to make some additional comments of my own. I wish to thank all the practitioners and other persons involved in this inquiry for their unfailing goodwill and cooperation with all of the inquiry processes.

I have never sat facing this way in a courtroom before, so I thank all counsel for your patience, forbearance and your charm and understanding.

The work of the inquiry has, at times, imposed enormous pressures and really long time commitments on the part of you all, and, of course, on courtroom staff and I really appreciate everyone's cooperation and goodwill.

Special mention must go to the superlative transcription reporters, represented almost all the time by the wonderful Ms Bairbre Sullivan, and Maureen Dunn and all the colleagues from Merrill Corporation, who I'm sure have worked harder than any transcription reporters ever have. So thank you very much.

Thank you to the wonderful sheriff's officers, who have impressed us mightily with their efficiency and friendliness.

I would also like to thank the members of the public, who have attended the public sittings of the inquiry for their ongoing interest in this inquiry. Your role in observing the public workings of the inquiry is a very important aspect of the inquiry process and it is appreciated so much by me and by those assisting me.

I, too, would like to acknowledge the work of the media representatives who have been covering the work of the public sittings of this inquiry. This is also a
valuable part of the processes of the inquiry.
Importantly, I would like to take the opportunity to thank all of the people, including survivors and their families, who have come forward courageously, willingly, perhaps in some cases unwillingly, to provide important information for the inquiry and to ensure that their voices have been heard.

To my magnificent counsel assisting, Ms Julia Lonergan SC, Dr David Kell, Mr Warwick Hunt, the redoubtable Ms Emma Sullivan, and Ms Jessica Wardle from the Crown Solicitor's Office, also from the Crown Solicitor's Office, Ms Kate Vale, Ms Ingrid Keyzer, Ms Ellie Haas, Ms Gizelle van Zyl, I've never seen such a hard-working team. After 37 years in the public service, it's an eye opener to me, but thank you so much. Your joint and several skills and your enormous hard work, diligence and friendship is just so valued by me. Thank you very much.

Finally, I acknowledge that, for good reasons, certain aspects of the inquiry's hearings have had to be conducted in camera. However, as part of my role as Commissioner, when providing my report to the Governor, I am permitted under the Act to make such recommendations relating to the publication of the whole or any part of the report as I consider appropriate.

I confirm what I have stated earlier, that my intention is to recommend to the Governor that the major part of my report dealing with matters other than relating to the in-camera hearings be made public as soon as practicable after the report has been properly considered.

Matters relating to in-camera hearings will be likely to be included in a separate volume of the report. It may be expected that publication of this part of the report would be deferred, at least for a time, having regard to other potential processes.

However, my present intention is to recommend to the Governor that, at an appropriate time, my report be made public in its entirety. Thank you once again.

MS LONERGAN: Commissioner, you spoke of members of the public and their support. I understand one such person wishes to come forward and say some words.

THE COMMISSIONER: I see [BI].
[BI]: I won't take up too much of your time, but what I'm about to say I think has to be said. I'm not representing anybody in particular. A lot of people have come back and forth over the last eight weeks. I'm not a spokesperson for any group but it would be remiss of me not to thank a few people. I also do not wish to sound patronising to anybody in thanking them.

You personally have managed this difficult process in a professional and caring way. Your support staff have also exemplified your lead and we're very grateful to all of them.

It is important, also, to recognise the enthusiastic advocacy of other counsel in this matter, even though sometimes being on the end of it, we weren't terribly happy, but that's all part of the process.

Also thanks to the media for their efforts in the reporting of these matters.

I and all the others thank you all again and wish you well in your future deliberations in this matter. Thank you very much.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you so much, sir. I will adjourn.
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